## Agenda Board of Trustees

August 5, 2010 | 8:00 a.m.-Noon EDT
Toronto Marriot Eaton Centre
525 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario M5G 2 L2 Canada
416-597-9200

## Introductions and Chairman's Remarks

## NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

## Consent Agenda - Approve

1. Minutes
a. July 14, 2010-Conference Call
b. June 11, 2010 - Conference Call
c. June 11,2010 - Action Without a Meeting
d. May 18, 2010 - Action Without a Meeting
e. May 17, 2010 - Conference Call
f. May 12, 2010 - Meeting
*2. Committee Membership Appointments and Charter Changes
a. Operating and Planning Committee Membership Changes
b. Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee Charter Revisions

## *3. Future Meetings

## Regular Agenda

4. Status of Matters in Canada - Discussion

Presentations by Mr. Brad Duguid, Ontario Minister of Energy and Infrastructure and Mr. Gaetan Caron, Chair and CEO of the National Energy Board of Canada
5. Remarks by Commissioner John Norris, FERC
6. Remarks by Commissioner Cheryl LaFleur, FERC
7. President's Report
*8. Reliability Standards
a. Project 2006-04 - Backup Facilities - Approve
b. Project 2006-08 - Reliability Coordination — Transmission Loading Relief — Approve
c. Project 2007-17 - Protection System Maintenance and Testing - Approve
d. Project 2007-01 - Underfrequency Load Shedding - Approve
e. Project 2010-12 - Order 693 Directives - Approve
f. Project 2010-09 - Cyber Security Order 706B Nuclear Plant Implementation Plan Approve
g. Section 1600 Data Request - CIP-002-4 - Approve
h. Results-based Standards Transition Plan - Accept
i. PRC-002-NPCC- Disturbance Monitoring - Information
j. Discussion of Executive Forum on Reliability - Discussion
**9. NERC and Regional Entity 2011 Business Plans and Budgets and Assessments Approve
*10. Bulk Power System Critical Infrastructure Strategic Roadmap - Discussion
*11. Mandatory Data Collection of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit/System Operating Limit (IROL/SOL) Exceedance - Approve
12. Status Report on Regional Delegation Agreements Metrics - Discussion
*13. Update on Legislative and Regulatory Matters - Information
*Committee, Group, and Forum Reports (Agenda Item 14)
Compliance and Certification Committee
Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee
Member Representatives Committee
North American Energy Standards Board
Operating Committee
Personnel Certification Governance Committee
Planning Committee
Regional Entity Management Group
Standards Committee
North American Transmission Forum
Electricity Sub-Sector Coordinating Council

## Board Committee Reports

15. Corporate Governance and Human Resources
a. Board of Trustees Compensation Model - Approve
b. Board Oversight of NERC Standing Committees - Review
16. Compliance
17. Finance and Audit
a. Statement of Activities for $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter 2010 - Approve
*b. Renewal of Line of Credit - Approve
18. Technology
19. Nominating
*Background materials are included.
**Background materials posted to the web-site and distributed electronically to the board.

## Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

## I. General

It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition.

It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

Antitrust laws are complex and subject to court interpretation that can vary over time and from one court to another. The purpose of these guidelines is to alert NERC participants and employees to potential antitrust problems and to set forth policies to be followed with respect to activities that may involve antitrust considerations. In some instances, the NERC policy contained in these guidelines is stricter than the applicable antitrust laws. Any NERC participant or employee who is uncertain about the legal ramifications of a particular course of conduct or who has doubts or concerns about whether NERC's antitrust compliance policy is implicated in any situation should consult NERC's General Counsel immediately.

## II. Prohibited Activities

Participants in NERC activities (including those of its committees and subgroups) should refrain from the following when acting in their capacity as participants in NERC activities (e.g., at NERC meetings, conference calls and in informal discussions):

- Discussions involving pricing information, especially margin (profit) and internal cost information and participants' expectations as to their future prices or internal costs.
- Discussions of a participant's marketing strategies.
- Discussions regarding how customers and geographical areas are to be divided among competitors.
- Discussions concerning the exclusion of competitors from markets.
- Discussions concerning boycotting or group refusals to deal with competitors, vendors or suppliers.
- Any other matters that do not clearly fall within these guidelines should be reviewed with NERC's General Counsel before being discussed.


## III. Activities That Are Permitted

From time to time decisions or actions of NERC (including those of its committees and subgroups) may have a negative impact on particular entities and thus in that sense adversely impact competition. Decisions and actions by NERC (including its committees and subgroups) should only be undertaken for the purpose of promoting and maintaining the reliability and adequacy of the bulk power system. If you do not have a legitimate purpose consistent with this objective for discussing a matter, please refrain from discussing the matter during NERC meetings and in other NERC-related communications.

You should also ensure that NERC procedures, including those set forth in NERC's Certificate of Incorporation, Bylaws, and Rules of Procedure are followed in conducting NERC business.

In addition, all discussions in NERC meetings and other NERC-related communications should be within the scope of the mandate for or assignment to the particular NERC committee or subgroup, as well as within the scope of the published agenda for the meeting.

No decisions should be made nor any actions taken in NERC activities for the purpose of giving an industry participant or group of participants a competitive advantage over other participants. In particular, decisions with respect to setting, revising, or assessing compliance with NERC reliability standards should not be influenced by anti-competitive motivations.

Subject to the foregoing restrictions, participants in NERC activities may discuss:

- Reliability matters relating to the bulk power system, including operation and planning matters such as establishing or revising reliability standards, special operating procedures, operating transfer capabilities, and plans for new facilities.
- Matters relating to the impact of reliability standards for the bulk power system on electricity markets, and the impact of electricity market operations on the reliability of the bulk power system.
- Proposed filings or other communications with state or federal regulatory authorities or other governmental entities.
- Matters relating to the internal governance, management and operation of NERC, such as nominations for vacant committee positions, budgeting and assessments, and employment matters; and procedural matters such as planning and scheduling meetings.


## Operating and Planning Committee Membership Changes

## Action Required

Approve the following committee membership appointment and changes.
Operating Committee Election Results -Term 2010-2012

| Sector | Elected Member |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Investor-owned utility | Paul Johnson, American Electric Power |
| 2. State/municipal utility | Richard Kinas, Orlando Utilities Commission |
| 3. Cooperative utility | Chris Bolick, Associated Electric Cooperative |
| 4. Federal or provincial utility/Federal Power Marketing Administration | Louis-Omer Rioux, Hydro Québec TransÉnergie |
| 5. Transmission dependent utility | Ray Phillips, Alabama Municipal Electric Authority |
| 6. Merchant electricity generator | No nominations received. |
| 7. Electricity Marketer | Matt Greek, RRI Energy |
| 8. Large end-use electricity customer | No nominations received. |
| 9. Small end-use electricity customer | No nominations received. |
| 10. Independent system operator/ regional transmission organization | David Zwergel, Midwest ISO |
| 11. Regional Reliability Organization | None - All members are appointed by their region. |
| 12. State Government | Jerome Murray, Oregon Public Utility Commission |

Planning Committee Election Results -Term 2010-2012

$\left.$| Sector | Elected Member |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Investor-owned utility | Dick Kafka, Pepco Holdings |
| 2. | State/municipal utility | Stuart Nelson, Lower Colorado River Authority |
| 3. | Cooperative utility | Jay Farrington, PowerSouth Energy Cooperative |
| 4. | Federal or provincial |  |
| utility/Federal Power Marketing |  |  |
| Administration |  |  |$\quad$| Christian Deguire, Hydro Québec TransÉnergie |
| :--- |
| Ron Mazur, Manitoba Hydro | \right\rvert\, | 5. | Transmission dependent utility | Kevin Koloini, American Municipal Power |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6. | Merchant electricity generator | Scott Helyer, Tenaska, Inc. |
| 7. | Small end-use electricity customer | Stacia Harper, Ohio Consumers' Counsel |
| 8. | Independent system operator/ <br> regional transmission organization | Dan Rochester, IESO |

## Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee Charter Revisions

## Action Required

Approve

## Background

The following is a summary of the major proposed changes to the CIPC charter and the rationale for each.

| CIPC Charter Section Modified | Reason for Change |
| :--- | :--- |
| Old Section 3 | Removed entire section entitled "Functions - Electricity Sector"; <br> contained no actionable guidance. |
| Throughout | Capitalized terms: Committee, Chair, Vice-Chair, and Executive <br> Committee. |
| Throughout | Clarified use of acronyms (acronyms now appear after first use of <br> the full term) and NERC Glossary Terms (now capitalized) |
| Throughout | Replaced bulleted lists with overall document outline, resulting in <br> renumbering of sections and paragraphs; added paragraph headings <br> throughout. |
| Throughout | Corrected typos, clarified language; all changes are highlighted in <br> the redline version. |
| Section 2, Paragraph 2 | Removed reference to the Indications, Analysis, and Warnings <br> (IAW) Program. |
| Section 2, Paragraph 3 | Added ISO/RTO Council to list in subparagraph (a); moved <br> subparagraphs (d) and (e) into this section from Section 6 (old <br> Section 7) - Meetings |
| Section 3 (old Section 4), <br> Paragraph 3 | Reordered classes of CIPC membership; causes this section to <br> appear as mostly redline, though only minor wording changes were <br> made. |
| Section 4 (old Section 5) | Removed language related to four SME EC members from the <br> Officers section. |
| Section 4 (old Section 5), <br> Paragraph 4 | Clarified the Officer selection process to more closely align with <br> CIPC practice and Robert's Rules of Order. |
| Section 7 (old Section 8), <br> Paragraph 1 | Added language to define selection process for SME members of the <br> EC. |
| Section 7 (old Section 8), <br> Paragraph 2 | Added EPSA and IRC to the list of trade associations eligible for <br> non-voting membership in CIPC EC in subparagraph (a). |
| Section 7 (old Section 8), <br> Paragraph 4 | Removed reference to CIPC EC functioning as the Electricity Sub- <br> Sector Coordinating Council (ESCC) and replaced it with <br> subparagraph (a)(vii), referencing coordination with ESCC. |
| Appendix 1 | Added language to match OC and PC Charters |

# Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee 

## CHARTER

## August 5, 2009

## Draft for Review
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## Section 1. Purpose

The mission of the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee (CIPC) is to advance the physical and cyber security of the critical electricity infrastructure of North America.

## Section 2. Functions - General

1. Advisory Panel to the NERC Board.
a. Serve as an expert advisory panel to the NERC Board of Trustees and standing committees in the areas of physical and cyber security.
2. Advisory Panel to the ESISAG.ES-ISAC.
b.a. Serve as an expert advisory panel to the Electricity Sector Information Sharing and Analysis Center (ESISAC) including the ESISAC's role in implementing the Indications, Analysis, and Warnings Program.ES-ISAC).
z.3.Coordination and Communications.
a. Coordinate and communicate with those responsible for both physical and cyber security in all electric industry segments, including (among others) the American Public Power Association, (APPA), Canadian Electricity Association, (CEA), Edison Electric Institute $\bar{\sigma}_{\text {(EEI), }}$, Electric Power Research Institute,_(EPRI), Electric Power Supply Association,_(EPSA), ISO/RTO Council (IRC), National Rural Electric Cooperative Association,_(NRECA), North American Energy Standards Board, (NAESB), the Nuclear Energy Institute,_(NEI), and the NERC Regions.Regional Entities (REs).
b. Coordinate and communicate with the other critical infrastructure sectors as appropriate.
c. Liaise with governments on critical infrastructure protection matters.
d. Coordinate with the other NERC committees and working groups to assure the highest degree of collaboration possible.
e. CIPC actions, documents, and recommendations will be distributed to the NERC committees and working groups and posted for industry comment (assuming sensitivity so permits, at the discretion of the CIPC). NERC committee, working group, and industry comments will be considered by the CIPC prior to forwarding actions or documents to the NERC Board for approval.

### 3.4.Information Reporting.

a. Establish and maintain an information reporting procedure for critical infrastructure protection among industry segments and, as appropriate, with governments-, as appropriate.

### 4.5.Security Guidelines.

a. Develop, periodically review, and revise (as appropriate) security guidelines. Issue guidelines in accordance with the process described in Appendix 1.

### 5.6.NERC Standards.

Assist in the development and implementation of NERC standards.
a. b. Work with the NERC Operating and Planning Committees.
i. Identify the need for new or revised critical infrastructure protection standards and initiate standards actions by submitting standards authorization requests.
ii. Assist the standards process by providing expert resources in support of the development of critical infrastructure protection standards authorization requests and standards.
iii. Assist the standards process by providing a forum for education, sharing of views, and informed debate of critical infrastructure protection standards.
iv. Review draft critical infrastructure protection standards authorization requests and standards and provide comments.
v. Facilitate the implementation of critical infrastructure protection standards by developing reference documents and performing other activities.
b. Coordinate standards work with the NERC Operating and Planning Committees.

### 6.7.Forums and Workshops.

a. Conduct forums and workshops related to the scope of CIPC.

## Section 3. Functions - Electricity Sector

Protecting. Includes physical security, cyber security, emergency preparedness and response, business continuity planning, and recovery from a catastrophic event, with emphasis on deterring, preventing, limiting, and recovering from terrorist attacks.

- Deterring. To disstade one from trying.
-Preventing. To cause an attempt to fail.
-Limiting. To constrain consequences in time and scope to something less than what they would have been otherwise.
-Recovering. Returning to normaley quickly and without unaceeptable consequences in the interim.


## Section 3. Section 4-Membership

1. Owners and Operators.
a. The majority of the members of CIPC will be representatives of the registered entities that own and/or operate the bulk power electricityBulk Electric System infrastructure of North America.

## 2. Expectations.

a. Committee voting members are expected to:
i. Bring subject matter expertise to the CIPC.
ii. Be knowledgeable about physical and cyber security practices and challenges in the electricity sector.
iii. Attend and participate in all CIPC meetings.
iv. Express their own opinions at committee meetings but also represent their Region'sthe interests of their Regions.
v. Discuss and debate interests rather than positions.
vi. Complete Committee, Task Force, and Working Group assignments.

## 3. Selection.

a. There will be a minimum total of 30 voting members. The maximum will be $32 \div$, as described below.
b. Twenty-four selected from the eight NERC Regional Entities each of which will appoint three members, one each with expertise in three technical areas - physical security, cyber security, and operations - as well as policy, as defined below:
i. Physical Security - primarily focused on electricity sector facilities (including, but not limited to, generation, dams, transmission, substations, critical distribution facilities, and headquarters buildings). Candidates should have a background in Corporate or Physical Security at an asset owner utility, ISO or RTO.
ii. Cyber Security - primarily focused on bulk power control systems (including, but not limited to, SCADA, EMS, DCS, and also systems such as OASIS), but with consideration also to systems required for business continuity of control centers. Candidates should have a background in control systems, infrastructure or operations security.
iii. Operations - primarily focused on system operations at the balancing authority (control area) and reliability coordinator levels. Candidates should have a background in SCADA, EMS, substation or generating plant control equipment operation and administration.

- Two selected by the American Public Power Association.
iv. Policy - defined as having had regulatory review responsibility, strategic planning, or legislative development, review or advocacy experience positions in a NERC registered entity or an industry trade association. (The Executive Committee requires this expertise to establish strategy and policy direction for the Committee.)
b.c. A minimum of two- (more if required as stated later in this paragraph) selected by the Canadian Electricity Association.CEA. The Committee shall contain the number of Canadian voting representatives equal to the percentage of the Net Energy for Load (NEL) of Canada to the total NEL of the United States and Canada, times the total number of voting members on the Committee, rounded up to the next whole number. The Regional Entity representatives can fulfill this requirement. If the Canadian Regional Entity representatives are not from Canada-in sufficient numbers, then NERC will ask the Canadian Electricity AssociationCEA to select sufficient Canadian representatives to meet the requirement.
e.d. Two selected by the National Rural Electric Cooperative AssociationAPPA.
- Twenty-four selected from the eight NERC Regional Entities each of which will appoint three members, one each with expertise in three technical areas $\square$ physical security, cyber security, and operations $\square$ aswell as policy, as defined below:
i. Physical Security - primarily focused on electricity sector facilities, ineluding, but not limited to, generation, dams, transmission, critical distribution facilities, and headquarters buildings. Ga

ii. Gyber Security primarily foeused on bulk power control systems (ineludine, but not limited to, SCADA, EMS, DCS, and also systems like OASIS), but with consideration also to systems required for business continuity of control centers. Gandidates should have a baekground in eontrol systems, infrastueture or operations security.
iii. Operations - primarily focused on system operations at the (control area) batancing authority and reliability coordinator levels. Candidates should have a background in SCADA, EMS, substation of generating plan entrol equipmen operation and administration:

Policy-defined as having had regulatory review respensibility, strategic planning, or legislating development, review or advocacy experience positions in a NERC registered entity or an industry trade association. (The executive committee requires this expertise to establish strategy and policy direction for the committee.)
e. 4-Two selected by NRECA.

## 4. Executive Committee Review.

a. The executive committeeExecutive Committee (EC) will annually review the membership to ensure sufficient expertise is represented on the eommitteeCommittee and that the workload of the committeeCommittee isbeing fairly distributed. Discussions with Regional Entity leadership to achieve desired eommitteeCommittee membership would occur prior to any changes.

## 5. Terms.

a. Terms are expected to be for at least two years with biannual review by the appointing organizations.

## 6. Alternates.

a. Appointing organizations may appoint non-voting alternates who will have a voice at meetings and can be named as proxies by absent members.

## Section 4. Section 5.-Officers

1. 2. Terms. At its September meeting in the odd numbered years, the committee Officer Positions.
a. The Committee shall selecthave a ehair, two vice-chairs, Chair and four executive committee members from among its voting members by majority votetwo Vice-Chairs.

## 2. Terms.

a. The terms of the members of the committee to serve during the periodall officer positions are for two years and shall begin on January $41^{\text {st }}$ following their election and continue through December $3131^{\text {st }}$ of the second year following.
3. Timing of the following two yearsElections.
a. Elections for the Chair and Vice-Chairs shall take place at the September meeting in odd-numbered years.
4. 2.Selection- Process.
b-a. The committeeCommittee selects officers at its September meeting-using the following process. The chair is selected first, followed by the two vice-chairs. The executive committee is selected at the following December meeting.:
i. The nominating subcommittee will present its recommended candidate (or candidates if filling the vice chair or executive committeeVice Chair positions).
ii. The ehair opensSecretary will open the floor for nominations.
iii. After hearing no further nominations, the chair elosesor upon approval of a motion to close nominations, the Secretary will close the nominating process.

- The eommitteeCommittee will then vote on the eandidateslate of candidates recommended by the nominating subcommittee, followed by the candidates nominated from the floor in the order in which they were nominated. The first candidate to garner a $2 / 3$ majority of the committee's votes will be selected.
-__. If the eommittee nominates one person, that person is automatically selected as the next ehair.
iv. If the committee nominates or more persons, and none receive slate is approved by a $2 / 3$ majority-of, the committee's votes, thenslate shall be deemed elected and the secretaryelection shall close.
iv.v.If the slate fails, the Secretary will distribute paper ballots for the members tocontaining the names of all of the candidates, listed in the order in which they were nominated, on which the Committee members shall mark their preference(s).
vi. The secretary will-Secretary shall collect and tabulate the ballots. If the committee neminates three or Any ballot containing more votes than the number of open positions shall be deemed invalid. Any candidate(s) to garner a $2 / 3$ majority of the Committee's votes will be deemed elected.
$\forall$.vii. If open positions remain at the conclusion of the balloting process, the Chair may, at his/her discretion, open the floor for additional nominations. The Secretary shall prepare new ballots listing the names of the remaining and any newly-nominated candidates, then the winner will be selected using the Instant Rumoff Process. (Explained in Roberts Rules of Order.) the order the nominations were made, and the balloting process shall be repeated until all positions have been filled.
vi.viii. The elected leadership will be submitted to the NERC Board of Trustees for approval.


## Section 5. Section 6. Nonvoting Members

1. Types of Non-voting Members.
a. Governmental agencies at the national, provincial, and state levels
b. Other electricity industry associations
c. Vendors
d. Other critical infrastructure protection sectors
e. Other observers as appropriate
f. CIPC secretary (and other NERC Staff)

## Section 6. Section 7. Meetings

## 1. Quorum.

a. A CIPC quorum requires two-thirds of the voting roster members, excluding vacant positions, to be present or represented by proxy. Any or all members of the CIPC may participate in a meeting, including being counted as part of the quorum, by means of a communication system by which all persons participating in the meeting are able to hear each other.
2. Voting.
a. Motions carry upon affirmative vote of two-thirds of the total yes and no votes cast during the presence of a quorum. Abstentions do not count as votes.

## 3. Proxies.

a. Only fosterrostered alternates may be designated as proxy representatives who may attend and vote at meetings provided the absent member notifies in writing (letter, facsimile, or email) the ehair, vice chairChair, a Vice Chair, or the secretary. The proxy representative and his or her affiliation shall also be named in the correspondence. Each meeting attendee may only have one vote. In other words, an attendee may not have the normal one vote and also serve as a proxy for another member.

## 4. Agenda.

a. The agenda of actions to be voted upon shall include the general wording of proposed motions. Only a voting member can provide a motion. A reasonable effort shall be made by those sponsoring items on a meeting agenda to have the action to be voted on and with background material distributed with the agenda at least two weeks before the meeting.

## 5. Actions Without a Meeting.

a. CIPC may take action without a meeting if, after notice to all members, two-thirds of the members consent to the action in writing. Such action without a meeting shall be performed by electronic (facsimile or email) ballot. The executive committeeEC may initiate the call for such an action. Any member may ask the ehairChair to arrange for such an action.
6. Goordination with Other Committees. The CIPC will coordinate its activities with the other NERC committees and working groups to assure the highest degree of collaboration possible.
7. Actions, Documents, and Recommendations. CIPC actions, documents, and recommendations will be distributed to the NERC committees and working groups and
posted for industry comment (assuming sensitivity so permits, at the discretion of the CIPC). NERC committee, working group, and industry comments will be considered by the CIPC prior to forwarding actions or documents to the board for approval.
6. 8 Regular Meetings.
a. CIPC meetings will be conducted at the discretion of the ehairChair, generally once every three months.

## 7. 9-Open Meetings.

a. NERC committee meetings are open to the public, except as noted below under Confidential Sessions. Although meetings are open, only voting members may offer and act on motions.
8. 10.Confidential Sessions.
a. The ehairChair of a committee may limit attendance at a meeting or portion of a meeting, based on confidentiality of the information to be disclosed at the meeting. Such limitations should be applied sparingly and on a non-discriminatory basis as needed to protect information that is sensitive to one or more parties. A preference, where possible, is to avoid the disclosure of sensitive or confidential information so that meetings may remain open at all times. Confidentiality agreements may also be applied as necessary to protect sensitive information. (See also the following paragraph on Confidential Matters.)
9. 11-Confidential Matters.
a. On occasion, the CIPC may be called upon to provide information or support in relation to a matter that requires secrecy.confidentiality. Upon such an occasion and with the approval of the NERC President/CEO, the ehairChair of the CIPC may convene a working group to provide such information or support without notice or approval of any other member or group. The existence of such a working group, its mission and results, will be shared with the members only to the degree and at the time deemed appropriate by the NERC President/CEO.

## 10. 12. Parliamentary Procedures.

a. Roberts Rules of Order will apply to conduct of meetings.

## 11. 13.-Non-Voting Members.

a. Non-voting members will have a voice at all open meetings.

## Section 7. Section 8.Executive Committee

1. Members.
a. The CIPC shall have an executive committeeExecutive Committee (EC) with the following membership.
i. Chair
ii. Two Vice-Chairs
iii. Secretary (non-voting, NERC staff member)

- Four Committee members, nominated by the nominating task force and elected by the cemmitteeCommittee, who are subject-matter experts (SME) in one of the following: iv. areas: Physical Security ${ }_{2}$ Cyber Security, Operations and Policy.
(a) 2. NonvotingThe SME members are selected at the December meeting in oddnumbered years, using the selection process defined in the Officers section above.
(b) The terms of the SME member positions are for two years and shall begin on January $1^{\text {st }}$ following their election and continue through December $31^{\text {st }}$ of the second year following.

2. Non-voting Members.
a. In addition, the GIPC Exeentive Committee-EC includes, as nenvotingnon-voting participants, the immediate past CIPC Chair who may serve one year, and named representatives from American Public Power Association, Canadian Electricity Association, Edison Electric Instittte, and National Rural Electric Cooperative Association.APPA, CEA, EEI, EPSA, IRC and NRECA. Other recognized and wellestablished trade associations from the electricity sector that are involved in critical infrastructure protection issues will be considered for nonvoting membership if they are not all ready represented. Additional nonvotingnon-voting members must be approved by the voting members of the exeeutive committee. EC.
3. Terms.
a. Terms shall be for two years commencing on January $1 \underline{1 \text { st }}$ of the year following appointment.
4. Duties.
a. Executive eommitteeCommittee duties:
i. Provide policy direction for the operation of the CIPC and manage task force and working group workload.
ii. Review committeeCommittee member candidates for expertise qualifications.
iii. Respond to urgent matters by calling conference calls or special meetings,
iv. Prepare meeting agendas.
v. Coordinate CIPC activities with other NERC standing committees and other entities.
vi. Report to the NERC Board of Trustees.

> vii. Serve asCoordinate and collaborate with the Electricity Sub-Sector Coordinating Council, with the president of NERC and meet with the Electricity Sector Government Coordinating Council (ESCC) as needed or requested.

## Section 8. Section 9-Subgroups

## 1. Appointing Subgroups.

a. The CIPCEC may appoint technical subgroups to address security-related issues as it deems fit or may assign such issues to its working groups and task forces. Working groups and task forces will take assignments from the CIPCEC and all work products will be presented to the CIPC for any further action. Subgroups will be reviewed annually by the executive committeeEC to ensure thethat work plans are being accomplished, workload is equitably distributed, and the subgroup is still adding value to the eommitteeCommittee function.

## 2. Nominating Subcommittee.

a. At the last regular meeting (normally the MayJune meeting) before the selection of a new committee chairCommittee Chair (normally the September meeting), the incumbent ehairChair will nominate, for the committee'sCommittee's approval, a ehairChair of the nominating subcommittee. The subcommittee will recommend candidates for the committee's new chairCommittee's Chair, two vice chairsVice Chairs, and eemertive comm SME EC members.
b. The cemmittee chairsubcommittee Chair will then assemble the nominating subcommittee of five eommitteeCommittee members.
c. The subcommittee will solicit nominations from the eommitteeCommittee for the efficerOfficer and executive committeeSME EC positions.
d. The subcommittee will review the nominations received and develop a slate of seven candidates: Oneone for the committee chairCommittee Chair, two for the eommittee viee chairsCommittee Vice-Chairs, and four SME members of the executive committeeEC.
e. The subcommittee will present its slate of officers at the committee'sCommittee's September meeting and executive committeeSME EC members at the committee'sCommittee's December meeting-

## Appendix 1 - Reliability Guidelines Approval Process

1. 1.Guidelines

Guidelines are documents that suggest approaches or behavior in a given technical area for the purpose of improving reliability. Reliability guidelines are not binding norms or mandatory requirements. Reliability guidelines may be adopted by a responsible entity in accordance with its own facts and circumstances. 1

## 2. 2.Approval of Guidelines

Because guidelines contain suggestions that may result in actions by responsible entities, those suggestions must be thoroughly vetted before a new or updated guideline receives approval by a technical committee. The process described below will be followed by the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee:
a. a-New/updated draft guideline approved-for industry posting. The Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee approves for posting for industry comment the release of a new or updated draft guideline developed by one of its subgroups or the committee as a whole.
b. b.Post draft guideline for industry comment. The draft guideline is posted for industry wide comment for forty-five (45) days. If the draft guideline is an update, a redline version against the previous version must also be posted.
c. e.Post industry comments and responses. After the public comment period, the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee postswill post the comments received as well as its responses to the comments. The committee may delegate the preparation of responses to a committee subgroup.
d. A. New/updated guideline approval and posting. A new or updated guideline, which considers the comments, received, is approved by the sponsoring technicat cemmitteeCritical Infrastructure Protection Committee and posted as "Approved" on the NERC Web site. Updates must include a revision history and a redline version against the previous version.
e. e.-Guideline updates. After posting a new or updated guideline, the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee will continue to accept comments from the industry via a Webbased forum where commenter's may post their comments.
i. i.Each quarter, the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee will review the comments received. At any time, the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee may decide to update the guideline based on the comments received or on changes in the industry that necessitate an update.
ii. ii. Updating an existing guideline will require that a draft updated guideline be approved by the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee in step "a" and
proceed to steps "b" and "c" until it is approved by the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee in step "d."
| 4 Standards Committee authorization is required for a reliability guideline to become a supporting document that is posted with or referenced from a NERC Reliability Standard. See Appendix 3A in the NERC's Rules of Procedure under "Supporting Documents."
iii. 13

Agenda Item 3
Board of Trustees Meeting
August 5, 2010

## Future Meetings

## Action Required

Approve August 3-4, 2011 (W-Th) in Vancouver, Canada as a future meeting date and location.
Approve change made to the May 2011 meeting dates from May 3-4, 2011 to May 10-11, 2011.

## Information

The board has approved the following future meeting dates and locations:

- November 3-4, 2010 - Atlanta, GA (W-Th)
- February 16-17, 2011 — Phoenix, Arizona (W-Th)


## Reliability Standards

## Action Required

Approve or remand reliability standards and plans as follows:
a. Project 2006-04 - Backup Facilities - Approve
b. Project 2006-08 - Reliability Coordination - Transmission Loading Relief - Approve
c. Project 2007-17 — Protection System Maintenance and Testing - Approve
d. Project 2007-01 — Underfrequency Load Shedding - Approve
e. Project 2010-12 - Order 693 Directives - Approve
f. Project 2010-09 - Cyber Security Order 706B Nuclear Plant Implementation Plan Approve
g. Section 1600 Data Request - CIP-002-4 - Approve
h. Results-based Standards Transition Plan - Accept
i. PRC-002-NPCC— Disturbance Monitoring - Information
j. Discussion of Executive Forum on Reliability — Discussion

## Information

NERC's Reliability Standards Program works through the Standards Committee to develop and maintain continent-wide reliability standards, utilizing NERC's Reliability Standards Development Procedure. NERC also is responsible for the review of proposed regional entity standards. The program also has primary responsibility for managing NERC's relationship with the North American Energy Standards Board, which develops business practice standards and communications protocols for electric and gas wholesale and retail market participants. The standards program depends on the active involvement of industry subject matter experts to both recommend and develop reliability standards.

## a. Project 2006-04 - Backup Facilities

## Action Required

Approve EOP-008-1: Loss of Control Center Functionality and associated Violation Risk Factors (VRFs) and Violation Severity Levels (VSLs), and direct staff to file the standard with FERC and applicable governmental authorities in Canada. Concurrently retire EOP-008-0.

## Background

The purpose of the proposed standard EOP-008-1 is to ensure continued reliable operations of the Bulk Electric System (BES) in the event that a control center becomes inoperable. To accomplish this purpose and to comply with FERC directives from Order No. 693, the proposed standard:

- Now clearly delineates what needs to be in the plan for backup functionality;
- Contains a provision for managing the risk to the system during the transition from primary to backup functionality;
- Declares that a Reliability Coordinator must now have a dedicated facility for their backup functionality and Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities can have either a dedicated facility or may contract for services to provide backup functionality;
- Requires formal review and approval of the plan for backup functionality;
- Mandates the independence of the primary and backup capabilities;
- Requires testing of the plan for backup functionality; and,
- Establishes a procedure for creating a plan to re-establish backup capability following a catastrophic situation.

A link to the project history and files is included here for reference: http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Backup Facilities.html

The proposed standard addresses seven directives from FERC Order No. 693, six directly through revised requirements, and a seventh through the issuance of Compliance Bulletin \#2010-04 that addresses the issue of backup functionality for large, centrally dispatched generation control centers. The six directives provide for backup capabilities that, at a minimum, must:

- Be independent of the primary control center.
- Be capable of operating for a prolonged period of time, generally defined by the time it takes to restore the primary control center.
- Provide for a minimum functionality to replicate the critical reliability functions of the primary control center.
- Provide that the extent of the backup capability be consistent with the impact of the loss of the entity's primary control center on the reliability of the bulk power system.
- Include a requirement that all reliability coordinators have full backup control centers.
- Require transmission operators and balancing authorities that have operational control over significant portions of generation and load to have minimum backup capabilities discussed above but may do so through contracting for these services instead of through dedicated backup control centers.

Five drafts of the standard were produced, including a draft for initial ballot in September 2009 that while achieving sufficient support for passage, identified the need for further standard enhancements. The team made further modifications, conducted an industry comment period, and then a concurrent initial ballot of the standard, and a non-binding poll of associated VRFs and VSLs that concluded on July 6, 2010. The proposed standard achieved 79.45 percent weighted segment approval with 89.05 percent of the ballot pool participating. At least one negative ballot with comment was received, necessitating a recirculation ballot to be held following the team's response to the comments. The results of this activity will be provided at the August 2010 board meeting. There were no significant minority views expressed in the ballot comments although some commenter's were concerned about the need to update the backup plan for any change, indicating that action may not always be necessary and is too prescriptive.

## b. Project 2006-08 — Reliability Coordination — Transmission Loading Relief

## Action Required

Approve IRO-006-5 - Reliability Coordination - Transmission Loading Relief, IRO-006-EAST-1 -TLR Procedure for the Eastern Interconnection, the new NERC Glossary term "Market Flow," and associated VRFs and VSLs. Direct staff to file the standards and new definition with FERC and applicable governmental authorities in Canada. Concurrently retire IRO-006-4, with its attachments and regional differences, and the term "Reallocation" from the NERC Glossary.

## Background

The proposed versions of the IRO-006-5 and IRO-006-EAST-1 standards are incremental improvements over the existing IRO-006-4 standard and Attachment 1. IRO-006-5 has been written to accommodate loading relief practices in all Interconnections, and relevant reliability details about the Eastern Interconnection Transmission Loading Relief (TLR) procedures in IRO-006-4 Attachment 1 have now been incorporated into IRO-006-EAST-1. Regional differences to IRO-006-4 have also been incorporated into the proposed standards and are proposed for retirement. Further, the standards have been drafted to reduce ambiguity and clearly indicate which entities are required to perform what actions. Additionally, VRFs and VSLs as proposed meet with FERC's and NERC's associated Guidelines.

A link to the project history and files is included here for reference: http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Reliability-Coordination-Transmission-Loading-Relief.html

The standards were processed through the full standards development process, including four postings for formal comment. During the initial ballot that concluded on July 6, 2010, the standard achieved 85.06 percent weighted segment approval with 80.16 percent of the ballot pool participating. NERC processed associated VRFs and VSLs through a non-binding poll. At least one negative ballot with comment was received, necessitating a recirculation ballot to be held following the team's response to the comments. The results of this activity will be provided at the August 2010 board meeting.

The standards were generally not controversial. However, several commenters objected to the requirement to update a TLR-1 on an hourly basis. The team noted in response that this is not a new requirement, and is one already required in the existing standard. Some commenters also suggested that the standard should not limit the actions that can be performed concurrently with TLR in response to an actual IROL violation. The drafting team believes that the list of actions provided is sufficiently broad and inclusive to allow for all concurrent solutions. Further, if a new method to mitigate congestion is developed in addition to those listed, it should be included in the standard only following the proper industry review that would be required to modify the standard.

## c. Project 2007-17 — Protection System Maintenance and Testing

## Action Required

Approve PRC-005-2 - Protection System Maintenance, a new NERC Glossary term for "Protection System Maintenance Program", a modified term for "Protection System", and associated VRFs and VSLs. Direct staff to file the standard with FERC and applicable governmental authorities in Canada. Concurrently retire PRC-005-1, PRC-008-0, PRC-011-0, and PRC-017-0.

## Background

The purpose of the proposed standard PRC-005-2 is to ensure all transmission and generation Protection Systems affecting the reliability of the Bulk Electric System (BES) are maintained. The proposed standard improves reliability by adding greater specificity to the expectations for maintenance and testing of Protection Systems regarding time-based, maintenance-based, and performance-based approaches. The proposed standard also defines "Protection System Maintenance Program" and establishes minimum criteria for the program components, and modifies the definition of "Protection System" to be more inclusive of Protection System components. This revised definition addresses a standard deficiency noted in an interpretation approved by the NERC Board at its November 2009 meeting. The proposal also addresses five FERC Order 693 directives pertaining to the establishment of the maximum allowable maintenance intervals for the various types of protection systems, and the collapse of the existing maintenance standards into a single standard. FERC directed that NERC establish maximum allowable intervals for maintenance and testing of a protection system appropriate to the type of the protection system and its impact on the reliability of the Bulk-Power System, and the proposed PRC-005-2 succeeds in that objective.

During the development of the proposed standard, commenters expressed concern that the minimum criteria for the Protection System Maintenance Program are too prescriptive; and that the maximum allowable maintenance intervals are too restrictive for a "zero tolerance" program. The team modified the standard as a result, both in the tables of maintenance activities, and to a lesser extent, to the maximum allowable maintenance intervals.

The proposed standard was developed according to the NERC Reliability Standards Development Procedure. The standard drafting team developed two drafts of the reliability standard and developed VRFs and VSLs that meet NERC's and FERC's guidelines. During these opportunities, some commenters expressed concern over the inclusion of Transmission Owners as a responsible entity versus only Distribution Providers. The drafting team identified that in some areas the Transmission Owners are responsible for implementing UFLS and the approach is consistent with the current standard. Another concern centered on the limits placed on the generators required to support model development to those identified in NERC's Statement of Compliance Registry criteria, indicating that frequency perturbations are potentially impactful to all generators. The team did not identify a meaningful way to incorporate criteria that reaches beyond the Bulk Electric System-connected generators for purposes of modeling.

A link to the project history and files is included here for reference: http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Protection_System_Maintenance_Project_2007-17.html

At its June 2010 meeting, the Standards Committee approved a deviation to the regular development process to allow an expedited third posting with concurrent ballot in June 2010, with a target for NERC Board action at its August 2010 meeting. NERC began the initial ballot on July 8, 2010. The results of the initial and recirculation ballots as well as the summary of minority opinions will be provided at the August 2010 board meeting. NERC is also conducting a nonbinding poll of the proposed VRFs and VSLs concurrent with the standard ballot.

## d. Project 2007-01 — Underfrequency Load Shedding

## Action Required

Approve PRC-006-1 - Under-frequency Load Shedding and EOP-003-2 - Load Shedding Plans, and associated VRFs and VSLs, direct staff to file the standards with FERC and applicable governmental authorities in Canada. Concurrently retire
PRC-006-0, PRC-007-0, PRC-009-0, and EOP-003-1.

## Background

Proposed standard, PRC-006-1, establishes design and documentation requirements for automatic Under-frequency Load Shedding (UFLS) programs to arrest declining frequency, assist recovery of frequency following underfrequency events, and provide last resort system preservation measures. The proposed standard improves reliability by:

- Establishing common performance characteristics that all UFLS programs must meet;
- Eliminating the "fill in the blank" aspects of the Version 0 standard; and
- Assigning responsibility for the development and assessment of UFLS programs to the Planning Coordinator.

In Order No. 693, FERC did not approve or remand the proposed reliability standard, PRC-006-0, as it is a "fill-in-the-blank" standard requiring the then Regional Reliability Organizations to develop the details of their UFLS programs. However, FERC did direct NERC to eliminate the use of the Regional Reliability Organization as a responsible entity and transition these requirements to the Regional Entity. The proposed reliability standard, PRC-006-1, addresses this directive in an equally efficient and effective manner by assigning responsibility to the Planning Coordinator for establishing UFLS programs, consistent with the expectations in the NERC Functional Model Version 5. PRC-007-0 and PRC-009-0 were both approved by FERC in Order 693 and the requirements in these standards map to the proposed reliability standard, PRC-006-1.

The proposed standard was developed according to the NERC Reliability Standards Development Procedure. The standard drafting team developed three drafts of the reliability standard and developed VRFs and VSLs that meet NERC's and FERC's guidelines. During these opportunities, some commenters expressed concern over the inclusion of Transmission Owners as a responsible entity versus only Distribution Providers. The drafting team identified that in some areas the Transmission Owners are responsible for implementing UFLS and the approach is consistent with the current standard. Another concern centered on the limits placed on the generators required to support model development to those identified in NERC's Statement of Compliance Registry criteria, indicating that frequency perturbations are potentially impactful to all generators. The team did not identify a meaningful way to incorporate criteria that reaches beyond the Bulk Electric System-connected generators for purposes of modeling.

A link to the project history and files is included here for reference: http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Underfrequency_Load_Shedding.html

At its June 2010 meeting, the Standards Committee approved a deviation to the regular development process to allow an expedited third posting with concurrent ballot in June 2010, with a target for NERC Board action at its August 2010 meeting. NERC began the initial ballot on July 8, 2010. The results of the initial and recirculation ballots as well as the summary of minority opinions will be provided at the August 2010 board meeting. NERC is also conducting a nonbinding poll of the proposed VRFs and VSLs concurrent with the standard ballot.

## e. Project 2010-12 - Order 693 Directives

## Action Required

In accordance with the implementation plans provided therein, approve the following proposed standards, new or modified terms, and associated Violation Risk Factors and Violation Severity Levels, direct staff to file the standards with FERC and applicable governmental authorities in Canada. Concurrently retire existing versions of standards or NERC Glossary terms that are superseded by the approval as requested.

Revised Standards and Associated VRFs and VSLs for Approval

- BAL-002-1 - Disturbance Control Performance
- EOP-002-3 - Capacity and Energy Emergencies
- FAC-002-1 - Coordination of Plans For New Generation, Transmission, and End-User Facilities
- MOD-021-2 - Documentation of the Accounting Methodology for the Effects of DemandSide Management in Demand and Energy Forecasts
- PRC-004-2 - Analysis and Mitigation of Transmission and Generation Protection System Misoperations
- VAR-001-2 - Voltage and Reactive Control

Existing Standards to Retire

- BAL-002-0 - Disturbance Control Performance
- EOP-002-2 - Capacity and Energy Emergencies
- FAC-002-0 - Coordination of Plans For New Generation, Transmission, and End-User Facilities
- MOD-021-1 - Documentation of the Accounting Methodology for the Effects of DemandSide Management in Demand and Energy Forecasts
- PRC-004-1 - Analysis and Mitigation of Transmission and Generation Protection System Misoperations
- VAR-001-1 - Voltage and Reactive Control

NERC Glossary of Term Additions/Modifications/Retirement

- None.


## Effective Dates

- MOD-021-1 - the first day of the first calendar quarter after applicable regulatory approval; or in those jurisdictions where no regulatory approval is required, the first day of the first calendar quarter after Board of Trustees' adoption.
- EOP-002-3, FAC-002-1, and VAR-001-2 - first day of the first calendar quarter, six months after applicable regulatory approval; or in those jurisdictions where no regulatory approval is required, the first day of the first calendar quarter six months after Board of Trustees' adoption.
- BAL-002-1 and PRC-004-2 - first day of the first calendar quarter, one year after applicable regulatory approval; or in those jurisdictions where no regulatory approval is required, the first day of the first calendar quarter one year after Board of Trustees' adoption.


## Background

Following the issuance of the FERC orders on March 18, 2010, NERC increased its focus to addressing outstanding directives from FERC Order 693, issued in March, 2007. The request for approval herein reflects the first phase of a multi-phased activity to address the Order No. 693 directives completely by the end of 2011. The proposal contains 6 revised standards that address 11 directives that were deemed to be non- or less controversial to implement. Concurrent to the implementation of these modifications, NERC proposes to retire 6 existing standards.

The proposed standards are being processed through an expedited standards development process approved by the Standards Committee Executive Committee in June, 2010. The Standards Authorization Request and set of proposed standard changes were drafted by NERC staff, reviewed, and modified by a team of industry experts identified by staff, then presented for Standards Committee approval. After modifying the proposal to assure the changes did not conflict with the work of existing drafting teams that were nearing project completion, the Standards Committee Executive Committee approved the request and the set of proposed changes were posted for concurrent comment and initial ballot that began on June 18 and concluded on July 14, 2010. The ballot was conducted on a directive-level basis, in essence, a line item ballot. Proposals that did not garner sufficient support as demonstrated by the results of the initial ballot and the comments received were withdrawn from consideration in the recirculation ballot. The team was permitted to make modifications between the initial and recirculation ballots based on comments received to improve the overall quality of the standard. The recirculation ballot is slated to occur from July 2030, 2010. NERC is also conducting a non-binding poll of the proposed VRFs and VSLs concurrent with the standards ballot. The results of the initial and recirculation ballots as well as the summary of the comments received will be provided at the August, 2010 Board meeting.

A link to the project history and files is included here for reference: at http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Project2010-12_Order-693_Directives.html.

While the standards changes are not expected to be controversial, the process used to develop the initial proposal for Standards Committee consideration engendered concern from some industry representatives. Several commenters suggested that NERC staff involvement should not include drafting requirements or selecting experts to support standards development, and that the single concurrent comment and ballot approach was inappropriate. However, these actions are consistent with ANSI essential principles, and any interested party, including NERC staff, can propose a standards change request that includes red-line changes to the standards.

## f. Project 2010-09 - Cyber Security Order 706B Nuclear Plant Implementation Plan

## Action Required

Approve CIP-002 through CIP-009 Versions 2 and 3 implementation plans for U.S. nuclear plants and direct staff to file the plans with FERC and applicable governmental authorities in Canada.

## Background

In its March 18, 2010 Order that approved the Version 1 CIP implementation plan for nuclear power plant generator owners and operators, FERC directed NERC, upon completion of the balloting process, to make a compliance filing submitting implementation plans for the Versions 2 and 3 CIP standards for owners and operators of the U.S. nuclear power plants on the same schedule established for Version 1. Accordingly, NERC, through the drafting team that developed the CIP Version 1 implementation plan for U.S. nuclear power plants, developed plans for implementing Versions 2 and 3 of the CIP standards on the same schedule as approved for Version 1. The Version 1 implementation plan, and now the Version 2 and 3 plans, are the later of i) the FERC-approved effective date of the Version 1 order plus 18 months or September 18, 2011, ii) the date the scope of systems determination is completed plus 10 months; or iii) if an outage is required for implementation, six months following the completion of the first refueling outage at least 18 months beyond the FERC effective date.

The Version 2 and 3 implementation plans were processed using the Reliability Standard Development Procedure that resulted in one public comment period and balloting. The standard successfully completed the ballot process with 87.24 percent weighted segment approval, and quorum 89.1 percent. From those that dissented, two themes were evident. First, commenters were concerned that the impact of the standards could not be fully appreciated until the "bright-line" scope of systems determination was finalized. Second, some commenters were interested in NERC waiving expectations to implement Versions 2 and 3 in lieu of proactively implementing Version 4 of the CIP standards currently under development. To the first point, NERC has aggressively worked with the nuclear community to finalize the scope of systems determination and has already provided significant guidance to that end. The effort is on target for completion in November 2010. As for the latter comment, it is premature to link implementation expectations to CIP standards that are still in development.

## g. Section 1600 Data Request - CIP-002-4

## Action Required

Approve the Section 1600 Data Request to conduct a survey of responsible entities currently held to compliance with CIP-002 through CIP-009 in applying proposed specific criteria to identify critical assets.

## Background

In accordance with Section 1600 of the NERC Rules of Procedure, NERC may request data or information that is deemed necessary to meet its obligations under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act, as authorized by Section 39.2(d) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's ("FERC") regulations. This is such a request. Section 1606 of the NERC Rules of Procedure allows for a shortened time period for posting a request for data or information if the data or information must be obtained in order to evaluate a threat to the reliability or security of the bulk power system or in order to comply with a directive in an order issued by FERC or another governmental authority.

NERC's Cyber Security Order 706 (CSO706) standard drafting team is tasked with improving the current versions of CIP-002 through CIP-009 reliability standards by addressing numerous issues identified in Order 706. The team is continuing to develop revised standards to accomplish this objective that are expected to be completed in 2011, as described in item 6.d of the Member Representatives Committee Agenda. In the interim and in the interest of adding more structure to the critical asset identification process, the team is proposing to revise the existing CIP-002-3 standard by adding specific criteria to be used for identifying critical assets. The team is uncertain of the impact the application of the proposed criteria will have regarding the identification of critical assets. Therefore, the team proposes to issue this data request to gather empirical data that will be used to guide the determination of the final criteria to be used in CIP-002. The team is expecting to obtain a reasonable estimate of the impact of applying the proposed CIP-002-4 criteria and not an exhaustive detailed analysis in response.

The team target for completion of a revised CIP-002-4 is by year-end 2010, thus creating the need to complete the data request process expeditiously. Accordingly, NERC issued this request for data or information in accordance with the timing requirements of Section 1606 of the NERC Rules of Procedure. NERC provided this data request to FERC for information on July 2, 2010. On July 6, 2010, the NERC Board of Trustees authorized the use of the shortened comment period from July $7-26,2010$ for industry consideration of the proposed data request. NERC plans to review the comments thereafter and present a final data request for BOT action at its August 5, 2010 meeting. In accordance with Section 1600 of the NERC Rules of Procedure, this data request is mandatory.

## h. Results-based Standards Transition Plan

## Action Required

- Accept the report of the Ad Hoc Team on Results-based Reliability Standards Transition Plan.
- Support the transfer of responsibility for implementing the recommendations in the Resultsbased Reliability Standards Transition Plan from the Ad Hoc Team for Results-based Reliability Standards to the NERC Standards Committee.


## Background

In August, 2009, representatives from industry, NERC, and regional entity staffs formed an ad hoc team to develop recommendations to ensure that NERC's reliability standards can have the greatest possible positive effect on the reliability of the bulk power system. The team's initial recommendations included a guiding set of principles for improving the development and format of reliability standards. The NERC Board of Trustees endorsed those recommendations during its November 4, 2009 meeting. The Board also requested the team continue work to further develop a proposal for integrating the results-based principles into the set of NERC Reliability Standards.

The ad hoc team established that each standard drafting team should strive to achieve a portfolio of performance, risk, and competency-based mandatory reliability requirements that support an effective defense-in-depth strategy. Each requirement should identify a clear and measurable expected outcome, such as: a) a stated level of reliability performance, b) a reduction in a specified reliability risk, or c) a necessary competency.

- Performance-based - defines a particular reliability objective or outcome to be achieved. In its simplest form, a performance-based requirement specifies: who, under what conditions (if any), shall perform what action in order to achieve a particular result or outcome.
- Risk-based - preventive requirements to reduce the risks of failure to acceptable levels. A risk-based requirement specifies: who, under what conditions (if any), shall perform what action in order to achieve a particular result or outcome that reduces a stated risk to the reliability of the bulk power system.
- Competency-based - defines a minimum set of capabilities an entity needs to have to demonstrate it is able to perform its designated reliability functions.

The ad hoc team further established that an effective defense-in-depth strategy for reliability standards should recognize that each requirement in the NERC set of standards has a role in preventing system failures, and that these roles are complementary and reinforcing. Reliability standards should not be viewed as a body of unrelated requirements, but rather should be viewed as part of a coordinated portfolio of requirements designed to achieve an overall defense-in-depth strategy.

The ad hoc team prepared the Results-based Reliability Standards Transition Plan for transitioning the current set of NERC Reliability Standards to incorporate the results-based principles and for training the industry and drafting team members to implement the results-based methodology in support of the plan. To that end, NERC is seeking Board of Trustees acceptance for the transition plan along with approval to transfer responsibility for implementing the plan to the NERC Standards Committee.

## i. PRC-002-NPCC -Disturbance Monitoring

## Action Required

None

## Background

The NPCC Board approved a regional Disturbance Monitoring Equipment standard, PRC-002-NPCC-1 - Disturbance Monitoring, and requested NERC board approval earlier this year. Review by NERC staff, as required in the NERC Rules of Procedure, identified a few matters requiring attention, although there are no substantive issues with the technical content of the proposed regional standard. NERC staff intends to bring this proposed regional standard back to the Board of Trustees for future action. A brief discussion of the regional standard review process and potential improvements to it will be presented at the board meeting.

## j. Discussion of Executive Forum on Reliability

## Action Required

None

## Background

During the July 6, 2010 Reliability Standards Development Technical Conference led by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), there was wide agreement by most panelists regarding the importance of improving communications and working relations among senior leaders at the Commission and its Canadian counterparts, NERC, and industry. The discussion converged on the concept of a forum to engage senior leadership (e.g., commissioners, chief executive officers, and equivalents) in open communication on reliability policy issues and strategic priorities.

The forum would not be a decision-making or policymaking body, but would allow the airing of perspectives and foster a better understanding of roles and priorities. For instance, such a forum could be used to better understand the scope and meaning of reliability (e.g., cascading versus load loss), tradeoffs between reliability and cost to customers, strategic objectives with regard to critical infrastructure security, reliability impacts of new technologies, and priorities for addressing risks to reliability. The forum could also clarify roles and expectations with regard to setting of reliability standards.

Such a forum could have an added benefit of reengaging CEO level participation in NERC activities. At one time, NERC's board was made up principally of industry CEOs. These leaders would assess emerging reliability issues and reach agreement on priorities. As NERC moved to its independent board of trustees, one of the consequences has been a disengagement of CEOs from the NERC process. At the same time, industry executives want and need assurance that the ERO is working and achieving reliability objectives. One factor critical to the success of the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) was the active involvement of CEOs in expressing mutual objectives and applying peer pressure. NERC also has an existing precedent for CEO engagement in the Electricity Sector Steering Group (ESSG), which has advised the NERC board on critical infrastructure protection issues for the past two years.

## One Possible Framework for Purpose of Inviting Discussion and Input

The following is one suggestion introduced for the purpose of spurring discussion. Alternative approaches should be raised during discussion.

- Forum includes:
- FERC commissioners (five)
- Representative counterparts from Canada (up to five as available)
- NERC trustees (chairman, CEO, and up to one additional trustee)
- Industry executives
o Investor-owned (three CEOs)
o Public power (two CEOs, preferred one large and one small)
o Cooperative (two CEOs, preferred one G\&T and one distribution cooperative)
o Canada (two CEOs, one east and one west)
o Marketer (one CEO)
o Independent producer (one CEO)
o End-use customer (one CEO or chief public advocate)
- Meet approximately half a day in conjunction with NERC Board of Trustees and Member Representatives Committee
- One or two meetings per year as needed (possibly in Washington, D.C. and Canada)
- Meeting conducted in an open forum with allowance for comments by observers at select points
- Structured agendas focused on a small number of key issues for each session, allowing for indepth dialog
- Preparatory work by staffs
- Discussions are advisory and nonbinding - no policymaking or formal actions


## Discussion Questions

1. Would an executive forum provide an effective means to improving communications and working relations among regulators, the ERO, and industry on reliability matters?
2. Are there alternative approaches?
3. Who should participate in the executive forum? Is the proposal sufficiently balanced?
4. Does the proposal for open meetings and observer comments provide sufficient transparency and openness?
5. Does tying sessions to the NERC board and MRC meeting adequately address concerns for efficiency and accessibility by stakeholders?
6. Would the success of NERC in achieving its reliability objectives as the ERO be enhanced by allowing the industry executive involved in such a forum to act as an advisory group to the NERC board?

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { \% of ERO } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of ERO - } \\ \text { US Only } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1074 | Alachua, City of | U.S. | 122,000 | 122,000 |  |  | 0.054\% | 0.054\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1075 | Bartow, City of | U.S. | 301,500 | 301,500 |  |  | 0.133\% | 0.133\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1076 | Chattahoochee, City of | U.S. | 43,600 | 43,600 |  |  | 0.019\% | 0.019\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1077 | Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Assn | U.S. | 684,000 | 684,000 |  |  | 0.302\% | 0.302\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1078 | Florida Power \& Light Co. | U.S. | 110,149,000 | 110,149,000 |  |  | 48.566\% | 48.566\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 2.514\% | 2.514\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 2.858\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1079 | Florida Public Utilities Company | U.S. | 405,300 | 405,300 |  |  | 0.179\% | 0.179\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1080 | Gainesville Regional Utilities | U.S. | 1,880,000 | 1,880,000 |  |  | 0.829\% | 0.829\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.043\% | 0.043\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.049\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1081 | Homestead, City of | U.S. | 485,000 | 485,000 |  |  | 0.214\% | 0.214\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1082 | JEA | U.S. | 12,749,700 | 12,749,700 |  |  | 5.621\% | 5.621\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.291\% | 0.291\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.331\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1083 | Lakeland Electric | U.S. | 2,992,000 | 2,992,000 |  |  | 1.319\% | 1.319\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.068\% | 0.068\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.078\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1084 | Mount Dora, City of | U.S. | 94,900 | 94,900 |  |  | 0.042\% | 0.042\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1085 | New Smyrna Beach, Utilities Commissior | U.S. | 389,000 | 389,000 |  |  | 0.172\% | 0.172\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1086 | Orlando Utilities Commission | U.S. | 5,653,000 | 5,653,000 |  |  | 2.492\% | 2.492\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.129\% | 0.129\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.147\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1087 | Progress Energy Florida | U.S. | 41,671,000 | 41,671,000 |  |  | 18.373\% | 18.373\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.951\% | 0.951\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.081\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1088 | Quincy, City of | U.S. | 144,700 | 144,700 |  |  | 0.064\% | 0.064\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1089 | Reedy Creek Improvement District | U.S. | 1,229,000 | 1,229,000 |  |  | 0.542\% | 0.542\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.028\% | 0.028\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.032\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1090 | St. Cloud, City of (OUC) | U.S. | 600,000 | 600,000 |  |  | 0.265\% | 0.265\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1091 | Tallahassee, City of | U.S. | 2,805,000 | 2,805,000 |  |  | 1.237\% | 1.237\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.064\% | 0.064\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.073\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1092 | Tampa Electric Company | U.S. | 19,751,000 | 19,751,000 |  |  | 8.708\% | 8.708\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.451\% | 0.451\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.513\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1603 | Vero Beach, City of | U.S. | 753,000 | 753,000 |  |  | 0.332\% | 0.332\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% | 0.017\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.020\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1093 | Wauchula, City of | U.S. | 67,451 | 67,451 |  |  | 0.030\% | 0.030\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1094 | Williston, City of | U.S. | 35,200 | 35,200 |  |  | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1095 | Winter Park, City of | U.S. | 455,600 | 455,600 |  |  | 0.201\% | 0.201\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1072 | Florida Municipal Power Agency | U.S. | 6,151,036 | 6,151,036 |  |  | 2.712\% | 2.712\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.140\% | 0.140\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.160\% |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1073 | Seminole Electric Cooperative | U.S. | 17,190,667 | 17,190,667 |  |  | 7.580\% | 7.580\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.392\% | 0.392\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.446\% |
|  |  |  | TOTAL FRCC |  | 226,802,655 | 226,802,655 | - |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 5.176\% | 5.176\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 5.885\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1199 | Basin Electric Power Cooperative | U.S. | 10,258,784 | 10,258,784 | - |  | 3.875\% | 3.875\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.234\% | 0.234\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.266\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1201 | Central lowa Power Cooperative (CIPCO | U.S. | 2,663,059 | 2,663,059 | - |  | 1.006\% | 1.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.061\% | 0.061\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.069\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1204 | Corn Belt Power Cooperative | U.S. | 1,825,227 | 1,825,227 | - |  | 0.689\% | 0.689\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.042\% | 0.042\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.047\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1207 | Dairyland Power Cooperative | U.S. | 5,200,600 | 5,200,600 | - |  | 1.964\% | 1.964\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.119\% | 0.119\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.135\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1210 | Great River Energy | U.S. | 13,245,294 | 13,245,294 | - |  | 5.003\% | 5.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.302\% | 0.302\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.344\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1222 | Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc. | U.S. | 3,818,990 | 3,818,990 | - |  | 1.442\% | 1.442\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.087\% | 0.087\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.099\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1230 | Nebraska Public Power District | U.S. | 12,666,632 | 12,666,632 | - |  | 4.784\% | 4.784\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.289\% | 0.289\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.329\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1232 | Omaha Public Power District | U.S. | 10,305,544 | 10,305,544 | - |  | 3.893\% | 3.893\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.235\% | 0.235\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.267\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1237 | Southern Montana Generation and Trans | U.S. | 4,461 | 4,461 | - |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1240 | Western Area Power Administration (UM) | U.S. | 8,322,860 | 8,322,860 | - |  | 3.144\% | 3.144\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.190\% | 0.190\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.216\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1239 | Western Area Power Administration (LM) | U.S. | 126,885 | 126,885 | - |  | 0.048\% | 0.048\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1217 | Manitoba Hydro | CAN | 24,647,899 |  | 24,647,899 |  | 9.310\% | 0.000\% | 9.310\% | 0.000\% | 0.562\% | 0.000\% | 0.562\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1235 | SaskPower | CAN | 19,748,000 |  | 19,748,000 |  | 7.459\% | 0.000\% | 7.459\% | 0.000\% | 0.451\% | 0.000\% | 0.451\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1195 | Alliant Energy (Alliant East - WPL \& Allia | U.S. | 27,772,033 | 27,772,033 | - |  | 10.490\% | 10.490\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.634\% | 0.634\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.721\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1216 | Madison, Gas and Electric | U.S. | 3,332,068 | 3,332,068 | - |  | 1.259\% | 1.259\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.076\% | 0.076\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.086\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1220 | MidAmerican Energy Company | U.S. | 21,530,193 | 21,530,193 | - |  | 8.132\% | 8.132\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.491\% | 0.491\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.559\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1221 | Minnesota Power | U.S. | 10,059,894 | 10,059,894 |  |  | 3.800\% | 3.800\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.230\% | 0.230\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.261\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1226 | Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. | U.S. | 2,593,368 | 2,593,368 | - |  | 0.980\% | 0.980\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.059\% | 0.059\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.067\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1231 | NorthWestern Energy | U.S. | 1,420,282 | 1,420,282 | - |  | 0.536\% | 0.536\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.032\% | 0.032\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.037\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1233 | Otter Tail Power Company | U.S. | 4,272,885 | 4,272,885 | - |  | 1.614\% | 1.614\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.098\% | 0.098\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.111\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1243 | Integrys Energy Group (WPS and UPPCI | U.S. | 13,511,063 | 13,511,063 | - |  | 5.103\% | 5.103\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.308\% | 0.308\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.351\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1244 | Xcel Energy Company (NSP) | U.S. | 44,905,543 | 44,905,543 | - |  | 16.961\% | 16.961\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.025\% | 1.025\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.165\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1196 | Ames Municipal Electric System | U.S. | 727,018 | 727,018 | - |  | 0.275\% | 0.275\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% | 0.017\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.019\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1604 | Atlantic Municipal Utilities | U.S. | 79,557 | 79,557 |  |  | 0.030\% | 0.030\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |


| Data <br> Year | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | US Total | Canada Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { \% of ERO } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of ERO - } \\ \text { Us Only } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | MRO | 1476 | Badger Power Marketing Authority of Wis | U.S. | 346,850 | 346,850 | - |  | 0.131\% | 0.131\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1200 | Cedar Falls Municipal Utilities | U.S. | 506,306 | 506,306 | - |  | 0.191\% | 0.191\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% | 0.012\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1477 | Central Minnesota Municipal Power Ager | U.S. | 427,162 | 427,162 | - |  | 0.161\% | 0.161\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1605 | City of Pella | U.S. | 174,751 | 174,751 |  |  | 0.066\% | 0.066\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1203 | Escanaba Municipal Electric Utility | U.S. | 141,278 | 141,278 | - |  | 0.053\% | 0.053\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1205 | Falls City Water \& Light Department | U.S. | 38,264 | 38,264 | - |  | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1206 | Fremont Department of Utilities | U.S. | 417,653 | 417,653 | - |  | 0.158\% | 0.158\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1208 | Geneseo Municipal Utilities | U.S. | 63,823 | 63,823 | - |  | 0.024\% | 0.024\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1209 | Grand Island Utilities Department | U.S. | 681,421 | 681,421 | - |  | 0.257\% | 0.257\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1606 | Harlan Municipal Utilities | U.S. | 18,543 | 18,543 |  |  | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1211 | Hastings Utilities | U.S. | 395,028 | 395,028 | - |  | 0.149\% | 0.149\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1212 | Heartland Consumers Power District | U.S. | 661,111 | 661,111 | - |  | 0.250\% | 0.250\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.015\% | 0.015\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1213 | Hutchinson Utilities Commission | U.S. | 289,175 | 289,175 | - |  | 0.109\% | 0.109\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1215 | Lincoln Electric System | U.S. | 3,144,646 | 3,144,646 | - |  | 1.188\% | 1.188\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.072\% | 0.072\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.082\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1218 | Manitowoc Public Utilities | U.S. | 515,000 | 515,000 |  |  | 0.195\% | 0.195\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% | 0.012\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1223 | Missouri River Energy Services | U.S. | 2,163,696 | 2,163,696 |  |  | 0.817\% | 0.817\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.049\% | 0.049\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.056\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1224 | MN Municipal Power Agency (MMPA) | U.S. | 1,384,852 | 1,384,852 | - |  | 0.523\% | 0.523\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.032\% | 0.032\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.036\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1607 | Montezuma Municipal Light \& Power | U.S. | 26,256 | 26,256 |  |  | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1227 | Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska | U.S. | 971,728 | 971,728 | - |  | 0.367\% | 0.367\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% | 0.022\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.025\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1228 | Muscatine Power and Water | U.S. | 847,099 | 847,099 | - |  | 0.320\% | 0.320\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.019\% | 0.019\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1229 | Nebraska City Utilities | U.S. | 162,093 | 162,093 | - |  | 0.061\% | 0.061\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1234 | Rochester Public Utilities | U.S. | 1,181 | 1,181 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1236 | Southern Minnesota Municipal Power Agr | U.S. | 2,865,679 | 2,865,679 | - |  | 1.082\% | 1.082\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.065\% | 0.065\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.074\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1241 | Willmar Municipal Utilities | U.S. | 285,947 | 285,947 | - |  | 0.108\% | 0.108\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 1242 | Wisconsin Public Power, Inc. (East and V | U.S. | 5,184,182 | 5,184,182 | - |  | 1.958\% | 1.958\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.118\% | 0.118\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.135\% |
|  |  |  | TOTAL MRO |  | 264,751,863 | 220,355,964 | 44,395,899 |  | 100.00\% | 83.231\% | 16.769\% | 0.000\% | 6.042\% | 5.029\% | 1.013\% | 0.000\% | 5.718\% |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1336 | New England | U.S. | 131,330,000 | 131,330,000 |  |  | 20.141\% | 20.141\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 2.997\% | 2.997\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 3.408\% |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1339 | New York | U.S. | 164,568,000 | 164,568,000 |  |  | 25.239\% | 25.239\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 3.756\% | 3.756\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 4.270\% |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1337 | Ontario | Canada | 143,334,000 |  | 143,334,000 |  | 21.982\% | 0.000\% | 21.982\% | 0.000\% | 3.271\% | 0.000\% | 3.271\% | 0.000\% |  |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1341 | Quebec | Canada | 186,617,000 |  | 186,617,000 |  | 28.620\% | 0.000\% | 28.620\% | 0.000\% | 4.259\% | 0.000\% | 4.259\% | 0.000\% |  |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1338 | New Brunswick | Canada | 14,153,000 |  | 14,153,000 |  | 2.171\% | 0.000\% | 2.171\% | 0.000\% | 0.323\% | 0.000\% | 0.323\% | 0.000\% |  |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1340 | Nova Scotia | Canada | 12,047,000 |  | 12,047,000 |  | 1.848\% | 0.000\% | 1.848\% | 0.000\% | 0.275\% | 0.000\% | 0.275\% | 0.000\% |  |
|  |  |  | TOTAL NPCC |  | 652,049,000 | 295,898,000 | 356,151,000 |  | 100.000\% | 45.380\% | 54.620\% | 0.000\% | 14.880\% | 6.753\% | 8.128\% | 0.000\% | 7.678\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1096 | Alger Delta Cooperative Electric Associa | U.S. | 58,452 | 58,452 |  |  | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1097 | American Municipal Power | U.S. | 3,266,383 | 3,266,383 |  |  | 0.367\% | 0.367\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.075\% | 0.075\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.085\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1104 | Bay City | U.S. | 327,837 | 327,837 |  |  | 0.037\% | 0.037\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1098 | Village of Bethel | U.S. | 28,950 | 28,950 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1101 | Buckeye Power Inc. (DUKE-CIN) | U.S. | 264,722 | 264,722 |  |  | 0.030\% | 0.030\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1100 | Buckeye Power Inc. (ATSI) | U.S. | 1,008,992 | 1,008,992 |  |  | 0.113\% | 0.113\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.023\% | 0.023\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.026\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1102 | Cannelton Utilities | U.S. | 16,561 | 16,561 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1105 | City of Chelsea | U.S. | 91,543 | 91,543 |  |  | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1106 | City of Croswell | U.S. | 36,295 | 36,295 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1107 | City of Crystal Falls | U.S. | 13,492 | 13,492 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1108 | City of Eaton Rapids | U.S. | 78,038 | 78,038 |  |  | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1110 | City of Hamilton | U.S. | 441,596 | 441,596 |  |  | 0.050\% | 0.050\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1111 | City of Hart | U.S. | 35,960 | 35,960 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1490 | City of Lansing | U.S. | 2,095,740 | 2,095,740 |  |  | 0.236\% | 0.236\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.048\% | 0.048\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.054\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1112 | City of Marquette Board of Light \& Powe | U.S. | 337,947 | 337,947 |  |  | 0.038\% | 0.038\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1165 | City of Painesville | U.S. | 157,647 | 157,647 |  |  | 0.018\% | 0.018\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% of ERO } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of ERO - } \\ \text { us Only } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | RFC | 1114 | City of Portland | U.S. | 35,348 | 35,348 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1116 | City of St. Louis | U.S. | 38,926 | 38,926 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1117 | City of Williamstown KY | U.S. | 53,724 | 53,724 |  |  | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1118 | City of Wyandotte | U.S. | 65,318 | 65,318 |  |  | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1119 | Cleveland Public Power | U.S. | 1,627,018 | 1,627,018 |  |  | 0.183\% | 0.183\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.037\% | 0.037\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.042\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1120 | Cloverland Electric Cooperative | U.S. | 235,276 | 235,276 |  |  | 0.026\% | 0.026\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1132 | Cloverland (f.k.a. - Edison Sault Electric ( | U.S. | 663,187 | 663,187 |  |  | 0.075\% | 0.075\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.015\% | 0.015\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1122 | CMS ERM Michigan LLC | U.S. | 128,464 | 128,464 |  |  | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1124 | Constellation New Energy (MECS-CONS | U.S. | 482,603 | 482,603 |  |  | 0.054\% | 0.054\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1123 | Constellation New Energy (MECS-DET) | U.S. | 690,597 | 690,597 |  |  | 0.078\% | 0.078\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1534 | Constellation New Energy Inc. (ATSI) | U.S. | 200,687 | 200,687 |  |  | 0.023\% | 0.023\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1125 | Constellation New Energy Inc. (DUKE-C | U.S. | 119,254 | 119,254 |  |  | 0.013\% | 0.013\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1126 | Consumers Energy Company | U.S. | 33,114,934 | 33,114,934 |  |  | 3.724\% | 3.724\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.756\% | 0.756\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.859\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1128 | Detroit Edison Company | U.S. | 45,446,273 | 45,446,273 |  |  | 5.111\% | 5.111\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.037\% | 1.037\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.179\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1129 | Dominion Retail (ATSI) | U.S. | 99,718 | 99,718 |  |  | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1130 | Dominion Retail Inc. (DUKE-CIN) | U.S. | 368,282 | 368,282 |  |  | 0.041\% | 0.041\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1131 | DTE Energy Trading | U.S. | - | - |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1166 | Duke Energy Indiana | U.S. | 28,478,595 | 28,478,595 |  |  | 3.203\% | 3.203\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.650\% | 0.650\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.739\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1179 | Duke Energy Kentucky | U.S. | 4,179,557 | 4,179,557 |  |  | 0.470\% | 0.470\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.095\% | 0.095\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.108\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1178 | Duke Energy Ohio | U.S. | 17,906,277 | 17,906,277 |  |  | 2.014\% | 2.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.409\% | 0.409\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.465\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1608 | Duke Energy Retail Sales (ATSI) | U.S. | 1,839,714 | 1,839,714 |  |  | 0.207\% | 0.207\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.042\% | 0.042\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.048\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1609 | Duke Energy Retail Sales (DUKE-CIN) | U.S. | 848,751 | 848,751 |  |  | 0.095\% | 0.095\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.019\% | 0.019\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1563 | Energy International Power Marketing | U.S. | - | - |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1135 | Ferdinand Municipal Light \& Water | U.S. | 40,256 | 40,256 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1138 | FirstEnergy | U.S. | 43,191,337 | 43,191,337 |  |  | 4.857\% | 4.857\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.986\% | 0.986\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.121\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1137 | FirstEnergy Solutions (ATSI) | U.S. | 22,427,704 | 22,427,704 |  |  | 2.522\% | 2.522\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.512\% | 0.512\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.582\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1549 | FirstEnergy Solutions (MECS-DET) | U.S. | 21,893 | 21,893 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1550 | FirstEnergy Solutions (DUKE-CIN) | U.S. | 342,118 | 342,118 |  |  | 0.038\% | 0.038\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1610 | Gexa Energy | U.S. | 1,516,838 | 1,516,838 |  |  | 0.171\% | 0.171\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.035\% | 0.035\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.039\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1141 | Georgetown | U.S. | 55,100 | 55,100 |  |  | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1611 | Glacial Energy (ATSI) | U.S. | 995 | 995 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1612 | Glacial Energy (MECS-DET) | U.S. | 26,879 | 26,879 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1143 | Hamersville | U.S. | 5,551 | 5,551 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1144 | Holland Board of Public Works | U.S. | 728,971 | 728,971 |  |  | 0.082\% | 0.082\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% | 0.017\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.019\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1145 | Hoosier Energy | U.S. | 6,728,076 | 6,728,076 |  |  | 0.757\% | 0.757\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.154\% | 0.154\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.175\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1148 | Indiana Municipal Power Agency (DUKE | U.S. | 2,866,995 | 2,866,995 |  |  | 0.322\% | 0.322\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.065\% | 0.065\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.074\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1485 | Indiana Municipal Power Agency (NIPSC | U.S. | 378,081 | 378,081 |  |  | 0.043\% | 0.043\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1486 | Indiana Municipal Power Agency (SIGE) | U.S. | 558,622 | 558,622 |  |  | 0.063\% | 0.063\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% | 0.013\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.014\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1149 | Indianapolis Power \& Light Co. | U.S. | 14,945,667 | 14,945,667 |  |  | 1.681\% | 1.681\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.341\% | 0.341\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.388\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1613 | Integrys Energy Services (ATSI) | U.S. | 37 | 37 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1552 | Integrys Energy Services (DUKE-CIN) | U.S. | 18,764 | 18,764 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1553 | Integrys Energy Services (MECS-CONS) | U.S. | 243,027 | 243,027 |  |  | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1554 | Integrys Energy Services (MECS-DET) | U.S. | 243,915 | 243,915 |  |  | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1614 | Just Energy (MECS-DET) | U.S. | 26,163 | 26,163 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1151 | Lebanon | U.S. | 275,975 | 275,975 |  |  | 0.031\% | 0.031\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1154 | Michigan Public Power Agency | U.S. | 1,163,653 | 1,163,653 |  |  | 0.131\% | 0.131\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.030\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1155 | Michigan South Central Power Agency | U.S. | 544,399 | 544,399 |  |  | 0.061\% | 0.061\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% | 0.012\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.014\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1158 | MidAmerican Energy Company Retail | U.S. | 28,857 | 28,857 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1163 | Northern Indiana Public Service Co. | U.S. | 15,734,157 | 15,734,157 |  |  | 1.769\% | 1.769\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.359\% | 0.359\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.408\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1164 | Ontonagon County Rural Electrification A | U.S. | 27,535 | 27,535 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1555 | Penn Power | U.S. | 2,190,410 | 2,190,410 |  |  | 0.246\% | 0.246\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.050\% | 0.050\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.057\% |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | Mexico Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% of ERO } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mexico Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of ERO - } \\ \text { Us Only } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | RFC | 1265 | PJM Interconnnection, LLC | U.S. | 587,065,005 | 587,065,005 |  |  | 66.021\% | 66.021\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 13.397\% | 13.397\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 15.234\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1167 | Public Lighting Department of Detroit | U.S. |  |  |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1170 | Ripley | U.S. | 18,821 | 18,821 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1580 | Sempra Energy Solutions (ATSI) | U.S. | 406,701 | 406,701 |  |  | 0.046\% | 0.046\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1615 | Sempra Energy Solutions (DUKE-CIN) | U.S. | 596 | 596 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1172 | Sempra Energy Solutions (MECS-CONS | U.S. | 553,674 | 553,674 |  |  | 0.062\% | 0.062\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% | 0.013\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.014\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1171 | Sempra Energy Solutions (MECS-DET) | U.S. | 269,482 | 269,482 |  |  | 0.030\% | 0.030\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1173 | Direct Energy (fkA:Strategic Energy) (AT | U.S. | 290,200 | 290,200 |  |  | 0.033\% | 0.033\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1175 | Direct Energy (fka:Strategic Energy LLC) | U.S. | 257,529 | 257,529 |  |  | 0.029\% | 0.029\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1176 | Direct Energy (fka:Strategic Energy,LLC) | U.S. | 11,451 | 11,451 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1174 | Direct Energy (fka:Strategic Energy,LLC) | U.S. | 258,051 | 258,051 |  |  | 0.029\% | 0.029\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1616 | Smart Paper Holdings | U.S. | 6,415 | 6,415 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1581 | Spartan Renewable Energy | U.S. | 63,911 | 63,911 |  |  | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1180 | Thumb Electric Cooperative | U.S. | 161,500 | 161,500 |  |  | 0.018\% | 0.018\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1181 | Vectren Energy Delivery of IN | U.S. | 5,316,181 | 5,316,181 |  |  | 0.598\% | 0.598\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.121\% | 0.121\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.138\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1099 | Village of Blanchester | U.S. | 77,466 | 77,466 |  |  | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1183 | Village of Sebewaing | U.S. | 43,887 | 43,887 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1184 | Wabash Valley Power Association Inc. (I | U.S. | 2,553,759 | 2,553,759 |  |  | 0.287\% | 0.287\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.058\% | 0.058\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.066\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1487 | Wabash Valley Power Association Inc. (I | U.S. | 167,432 | 167,432 |  |  | 0.019\% | 0.019\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1488 | Wabash Valley Power Association Inc.( $\uparrow$ | U.S. | 1,559,059 | 1,559,059 |  |  | 0.175\% | 0.175\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.036\% | 0.036\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.040\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1185 | Wisconsin Electric Power Co. | U.S. | 27,349,954 | 27,349,954 |  |  | 3.076\% | 3.076\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.624\% | 0.624\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.710\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1189 | Wolverine Power Marketing Cooperative | U.S. | 990,947 | 990,947 |  |  | 0.111\% | 0.111\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.023\% | 0.023\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.026\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1191 | Wolverine Power Supply Cooperative | U.S. | 2,439,221 | 2,439,221 |  |  | 0.274\% | 0.274\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.056\% | 0.056\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.063\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1190 | Wolverine Power Marketing Cooperative | U.S. | 100,472 | 100,472 |  |  | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 1194 | Zelienople | U.S. | 31,679 | 31,679 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
|  |  |  | TOTAL RELIABILITYFIRST |  | 889,208,026 | 889,208,026 | - |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 20.292\% | 20.292\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 23.074\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1267 | Alabama Municipal Electric Authority | U.S. | 3,559,000 | 3,559,000 | - |  | 0.359\% | 0.359\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.081\% | 0.081\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.092\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1268 | Alabama Power Company | U.S. | 54,240,646 | 54,240,646 | - |  | 5.478\% | 5.478\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.238\% | 1.238\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.407\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1269 | Ameren - Illinois | U.S. | 40,541,000 | 40,541,000 | - |  | 4.095\% | 4.095\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.925\% | 0.925\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.052\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1271 | Ameren - Missouri | U.S. | 39,457,000 | 39,457,000 | - |  | 3.985\% | 3.985\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.900\% | 0.900\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.024\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1272 | APGI - Yadkin Division | U.S. | 32,323 | 32,323 | - |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1273 | Associated Electric Cooperative Inc. | U.S. | 18,989,990 | 18,989,990 |  |  | 1.918\% | 1.918\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.433\% | 0.433\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.493\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1582 | Beauregard Electric Cooperative, Inc. | U.S. | 1,037,924 | 1,037,924 |  |  | 0.105\% | 0.105\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.024\% | 0.024\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.027\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1462 | Benton Utility District | U.S. | 264,000 | 264,000 | - |  | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1274 | Big Rivers Electric Corporation | U.S. | 9,942,616 | 9,942,616 | - |  | 1.004\% | 1.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.227\% | 0.227\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.258\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1275 | Black Warrior EMC | U.S. | 416,591 | 416,591 | - |  | 0.042\% | 0.042\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1276 | Blue Ridge EMC | U.S. | 1,148,758 | 1,148,758 | - |  | 0.116\% | 0.116\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.026\% | 0.026\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.030\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1463 | Canton, MS | U.S. | 122,623 | 122,623 | - |  | 0.012\% | 0.012\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1277 | Central Electric Power Cooperative Inc. | U.S. | 15,452,673 | 15,452,673 | - |  | 1.561\% | 1.561\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.353\% | 0.353\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.401\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1278 | City of Blountstown FL | U.S. | 39,922 | 39,922 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1279 | City of Camden SC | U.S. | 204,558 | 204,558 | - |  | 0.021\% | 0.021\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1280 | City of Collins MS | U.S. | 44,918 | 44,918 | - |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1281 | City of Columbia MO | U.S. | 1,373,285 | 1,373,285 | - |  | 0.139\% | 0.139\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.031\% | 0.031\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.036\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1282 | City of Conway AR (Conway Corporation | U.S. | 960,000 | 960,000 | - |  | 0.097\% | 0.097\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% | 0.022\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.025\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1284 | City of Evergreen AL | U.S. | 61,935 | 61,935 | - |  | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1285 | City of Hampton GA | U.S. | 24,623 | 24,623 | - |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1286 | City of Hartford AL | U.S. | 31,250 | 31,250 | - |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1287 | City of Henderson (KY) Municipal Power | U.S. | 658,517 | 658,517 | - |  | 0.067\% | 0.067\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.015\% | 0.015\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1288 | City of North Little Rock AR (DENL) | U.S. | 964,267 | 964,267 | - |  | 0.097\% | 0.097\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% | 0.022\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.025\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1289 | City of Orangeburg SC Department of Pu | U.S. | 925,786 | 925,786 | - |  | 0.094\% | 0.094\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.021\% | 0.021\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.024\% |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regional } \\ \text { Entity } \end{gathered}$ | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% of ERO } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of ERO - } \\ \text { US Only } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | SERC | 1290 | City of Robertsdale AL | U.S. | 84,444 | 84,444 | - |  | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1291 | City of Ruston LA (DERS) | U.S. | 270,000 | 270,000 | - |  | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1292 | City of Seneca SC | U.S. | 152,933 | 152,933 |  |  | 0.015\% | 0.015\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1115 | City of Springfield (CWLP) | U.S. | 1,814,887 | 1,814,887 | - |  | 0.183\% | 0.183\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.041\% | 0.041\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.047\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1465 | City of Thayer, MO | U.S. | 15,747 | 15,747 | - |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1293 | City of Troy AL | U.S. | 369,623 | 369,623 | - |  | 0.037\% | 0.037\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1294 | City of West Memphis AR (West Memphi | U.S. | 397,000 | 397,000 | - |  | 0.040\% | 0.040\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1583 | Claiborne Electric Cooperative, Inc. | U.S. | 607,420 | 607,420 |  |  | 0.061\% | 0.061\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1584 | Concordia Electric Cooperative, Inc. | U.S. | 247,597 | 247,597 |  |  | 0.025\% | 0.025\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1283 | Dalton Utilities | U.S. | 1,474,100 | 1,474,100 | - |  | 0.149\% | 0.149\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.034\% | 0.034\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.038\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1585 | Dixie Electric Membership Corporation | U.S. | 2,218,178 | 2,218,178 |  |  | 0.224\% | 0.224\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.051\% | 0.051\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.058\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1295 | Dominion Virginia Power | U.S. | 83,295,482 | 83,295,482 | - |  | 8.413\% | 8.413\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.901\% | 1.901\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 2.161\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1296 | Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC | U.S. | 80,858,917 | 80,858,917 | - |  | 8.167\% | 8.167\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.845\% | 1.845\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 2.098\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1466 | Durant, MS | U.S. | 30,847 | 30,847 | - |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1478 | E.ON U.S. Services Inc. | U.S. | 33,599,715 | 33,599,715 | - |  | 3.394\% | 3.394\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.767\% | 0.767\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.872\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1297 | East Kentucky Power Cooperative | U.S. | 12,370,308 | 12,370,308 | - |  | 1.249\% | 1.249\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.282\% | 0.282\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.321\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1298 | East Mississippi Electric Power Associati | U.S. | 440,457 | 440,457 | - |  | 0.044\% | 0.044\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1299 | Electric Energy Inc. | U.S. | 1,325,303 | 1,325,303 | - |  | 0.134\% | 0.134\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.030\% | 0.030\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.034\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1300 | EnergyUnited EMC | U.S. | 2,399,806 | 2,399,806 | - |  | 0.242\% | 0.242\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.055\% | 0.055\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.062\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1301 | Entergy | U.S. | 109,154,423 | 109,154,423 |  |  | 11.025\% | 11.025\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 2.491\% | 2.491\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 2.832\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1302 | Fayetteville (NC) Public Works Commiss | U.S. | 2,235,172 | 2,235,172 | - |  | 0.226\% | 0.226\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.051\% | 0.051\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.058\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1303 | Florida Public Utilities (FL Panhandle Ló | U.S. | 349,248 | 349,248 | - |  | 0.035\% | 0.035\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1304 | French Broad EMC | U.S. | 516,495 | 516,495 | - |  | 0.052\% | 0.052\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% | 0.012\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1305 | Georgia Power Company | U.S. | 85,362,934 | 85,362,934 | - |  | 8.622\% | 8.622\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.948\% | 1.948\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 2.215\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1306 | Georgia System Optns Corporation | U.S. | 37,896,252 | 37,896,252 | - |  | 3.828\% | 3.828\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.865\% | 0.865\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.983\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1479 | Greenwood (MS) Utilities Commission | U.S. | 282,158 | 282,158 | - |  | 0.028\% | 0.028\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1307 | Greenwood (SC) Commissioners of Publi | U.S. | 331,443 | 331,443 | - |  | 0.033\% | 0.033\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1308 | Gulf Power Company | U.S. | 11,666,310 | 11,666,310 | - |  | 1.178\% | 1.178\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.266\% | 0.266\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.303\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1586 | Haywood EMC | U.S. | 304,431 | 304,431 |  |  | 0.031\% | 0.031\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1309 | Illinois Municipal Electric Agency | U.S. | 1,809,000 | 1,809,000 | - |  | 0.183\% | 0.183\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.041\% | 0.041\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.047\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1480 | Itta Bena, MS | U.S. | 15,923 | 15,923 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1587 | Jefferson Davis Electric Cooperative, Inc | U.S. | 225,240 | 225,240 | - |  | 0.023\% | 0.023\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1617 | Kentucky Municipal Power | U.S. | 722,754 | 722,754 | - |  | 0.073\% | 0.073\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.019\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1481 | Kosciusko, MS | U.S. | 73,023 | 73,023 | - |  | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1482 | Leland, MS | U.S. | 32,161 | 32,161 | - |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1313 | McCormick Commission of Public Works | U.S. | 22,872 | 22,872 | - |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1314 | Mississippi Power Company | U.S. | 9,972,238 | 9,972,238 | - |  | 1.007\% | 1.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.228\% | 0.228\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.259\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1315 | Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia | U.S. | 10,570,000 | 10,570,000 | - |  | 1.068\% | 1.068\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.241\% | 0.241\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.274\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1316 | N.C. Electric Membership Corp. | U.S. | 12,344,600 | 12,344,600 | - |  | 1.247\% | 1.247\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.282\% | 0.282\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.320\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1317 | North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power . | U.S. | 7,394,674 | 7,394,674 | - |  | 0.747\% | 0.747\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.169\% | 0.169\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.192\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1318 | North Carolina Municipal Power Agency $\ddagger$ | U.S. | 4,609,442 | 4,609,442 | - |  | 0.466\% | 0.466\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.105\% | 0.105\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.120\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1588 | Northeast Louisiana Power Cooperative, | U.S. | 277,796 | 277,796 |  |  | 0.028\% | 0.028\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1574 | Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative | U.S. | 3,496,304 | 3,496,304 |  |  | 0.353\% | 0.353\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.080\% | 0.080\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.091\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1319 | Old Dominion Electric Cooperative | U.S. | 5,582,434 | 5,582,434 | - |  | 0.564\% | 0.564\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.127\% | 0.127\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.145\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1618 | Osceola (Arkansas) Municipal Light and I | U.S. | 268,928 | 268,928 |  |  | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1320 | Owensboro (KY) Municipal Utilities | U.S. | 879,243 | 879,243 | - |  | 0.089\% | 0.089\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.020\% | 0.020\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.023\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1321 | Piedmont EMC in Duke and Progress Are | U.S. | 506,350 | 506,350 | - |  | 0.051\% | 0.051\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% | 0.012\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1323 | Piedmont Municipal Power Agency (PMP | U.S. | 2,286,624 | 2,286,624 | - |  | 0.231\% | 0.231\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.052\% | 0.052\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.059\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1589 | Pointe Coupee Electric Memb. Corp. | U.S. | 265,031 | 265,031 |  |  | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1266 | PowerSouth Energy | U.S. | 8,278,739 | 8,278,739 | - |  | 0.836\% | 0.836\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.189\% | 0.189\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.215\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1330 | Prairie Power, Inc. | U.S. | 1,540,294 | 1,540,294 | - |  | 0.156\% | 0.156\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.035\% | 0.035\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.040\% |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \% of ERO <br> Total | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% of ERO - } \\ \text { US Only } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | SERC | 1324 | Progress Energy Carolinas | U.S. | 45,650,000 | 45,650,000 |  |  | 4.611\% | 4.611\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.042\% | 1.042\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.185\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1325 | Rutherford EMC | U.S. | 1,265,243 | 1,265,243 | - |  | 0.128\% | 0.128\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.029\% | 0.029\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.033\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1326 | South Carolina Electric \& Gas Company | U.S. | 22,243,734 | 22,243,734 | - |  | 2.247\% | 2.247\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.508\% | 0.508\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.577\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1327 | South Carolina Public Service Authority | U.S. | 7,156,752 | 7,156,752 | - |  | 0.723\% | 0.723\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.163\% | 0.163\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.186\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1590 | South Louisiana Electric Cooperative Ass | U.S. | 604,198 | 604,198 |  |  | 0.061\% | 0.061\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1328 | South Mississippi Electric Power Associa | U.S. | 9,915,186 | 9,915,186 | - |  | 1.001\% | 1.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.226\% | 0.226\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.257\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1329 | Southern Illinois Power Cooperative | U.S. | 1,481,489 | 1,481,489 | - |  | 0.150\% | 0.150\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.034\% | 0.034\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.038\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1591 | Southwest Louisiana Electric Membershi\| | U.S. | 2,426,653 | 2,426,653 |  |  | 0.245\% | 0.245\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.055\% | 0.055\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.063\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1619 | Southwestern Electric Cooperative, Inc. | U.S. | 412,888 | 412,888 |  |  | 0.042\% | 0.042\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1331 | Tennessee Valley Authority | U.S. | 165,493,867 | 165,493,867 | - |  | 16.715\% | 16.715\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 3.777\% | 3.777\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 4.294\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1332 | Tombigbee Electric Cooperative Inc. | U.S. | 130,935 | 130,935 | - |  | 0.013\% | 0.013\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1592 | Town of Black Creek, N.C. | U.S. | 12,548 | 12,548 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1593 | Town of Lucama, N.C. | U.S. | 20,993 | 20,993 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1594 | Town of Sharpsburg, N.C. | U.S. | 21,563 | 21,563 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1595 | Town of Stantonsburg, N.C. | U.S. | 23,114 | 23,114 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1333 | Town of Waynesville NC | U.S. | 97,321 | 97,321 | - |  | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1334 | Town of Winnsboro SC | U.S. | 76,097 | 76,097 | - |  | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1335 | Town of Winterville NC | U.S. | 51,472 | 51,472 | - |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1596 | Valley Electric Membership Corporation, | U.S. | 167,493 | 167,493 |  |  | 0.017\% | 0.017\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 1597 | Washington-St.Tammany Electric Coope | U.S. | 1,102,470 | 1,102,470 |  |  | 0.111\% | 0.111\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.025\% | 0.025\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.029\% |
|  |  |  | TOTAL SERC |  | 990,093,522 | 990,093,522 | - |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 22.594\% | 22.594\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 25.692\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1246 | American Electric Power | U.S. | 34,588,826 | 34,588,826 |  |  | 17.037\% | 17.037\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.789\% | 0.789\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.898\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1435 | Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporati | U.S. | 3,746,596 | 3,746,596 |  |  | 1.845\% | 1.845\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.085\% | 0.085\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.097\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1247 | Board of Public Utilities (Kansas City K؛ | U.S. | 2,375,188 | 2,375,188 |  |  | 1.170\% | 1.170\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.054\% | 0.054\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.062\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1620 | Board of Public Utilities, City of McPhers | U.S. | 848,000 | 848,000 |  |  | 0.418\% | 0.418\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.019\% | 0.019\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1468 | Cap Rock Energy | U.S. | 808,554 | 808,554 |  |  | 0.398\% | 0.398\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% | 0.018\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.021\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1469 | Central Valley Electric Cooperative | U.S. | 763,611 | 763,611 |  |  | 0.376\% | 0.376\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% | 0.017\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.020\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1556 | City of Bentonville | U.S. | 562,584 | 562,584 |  |  | 0.277\% | 0.277\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% | 0.013\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.015\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1557 | City of Clarksdale, Mississippi | U.S. | 170,659 | 170,659 |  |  | 0.084\% | 0.084\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1558 | Hope Water \& Light (HWL) | U.S. | 285,387 | 285,387 |  |  | 0.141\% | 0.141\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1559 | City of Minden | U.S. | 167,510 | 167,510 |  |  | 0.083\% | 0.083\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1248 | Independence Power \& Light (Independ | U.S. | 1,079,746 | 1,079,746 |  |  | 0.532\% | 0.532\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.025\% | 0.025\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.028\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1436 | City Utilities of Springfield, MO | U.S. | 3,089,503 | 3,089,503 |  |  | 1.522\% | 1.522\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.071\% | 0.071\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.080\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1249 | Cleco Power LLC | U.S. | 11,023,655 | 11,023,655 |  |  | 5.430\% | 5.430\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.252\% | 0.252\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.286\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1437 | East Texas Electric Coop, Inc. | U.S. | 404,646 | 404,646 |  |  | 0.199\% | 0.199\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1250 | The Empire District Electric Company | U.S. | 5,263,206 | 5,263,206 |  |  | 2.592\% | 2.592\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.120\% | 0.120\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.137\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1470 | Farmers' Electric Coop | U.S. | 408,444 | 408,444 |  |  | 0.201\% | 0.201\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1438 | Golden Spread Electric Coop | U.S. | 4,469,314 | 4,469,314 |  |  | 2.201\% | 2.201\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.102\% | 0.102\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.116\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1251 | Grand River Dam Authority | U.S. | 4,283,825 | 4,283,825 |  |  | 2.110\% | 2.110\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.098\% | 0.098\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.111\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1252 | Kansas City Power \& Light (KCPL) | U.S. | 15,626,676 | 15,626,676 |  |  | 7.697\% | 7.697\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.357\% | 0.357\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.405\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1439 | Kansas Electric Power Coop., Inc | U.S. | 2,033,860 | 2,033,860 |  |  | 1.002\% | 1.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.046\% | 0.046\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.053\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1440 | Kansas Municipal Energy Agency (KCPL | U.S. | 723,973 | 723,973 |  |  | 0.357\% | 0.357\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% | 0.017\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.019\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1598 | KCP\&L GMOC (Greater Missouri Opera | U.S. | 8,438,719 | 8,438,719 |  |  | 4.157\% | 4.157\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.193\% | 0.193\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.219\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1471 | Lafayette Utilities System | U.S. | 2,080,238 | 2,080,238 |  |  | 1.025\% | 1.025\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.047\% | 0.047\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.054\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1472 | Lea County Electric Coop | U.S. | 1,235,427 | 1,235,427 |  |  | 0.609\% | 0.609\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.028\% | 0.028\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.032\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1253 | Louisiana Energy \& Power Authority (LE | U.S. | - | - |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1441 | Midwest Energy Inc. | U.S. | 1,604,387 | 1,604,387 |  |  | 0.790\% | 0.790\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.037\% | 0.037\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.042\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1443 | Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility C | U.S. | 2,206,061 | 2,206,061 |  |  | 1.087\% | 1.087\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.050\% | 0.050\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.057\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1442 | Northeast Texas Electric Cooperative, Ir | U.S. | 3,004,068 | 3,004,068 |  |  | 1.480\% | 1.480\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.069\% | 0.069\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.078\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1255 | Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co. | U.S. | 27,683,541 | 27,683,541 |  |  | 13.636\% | 13.636\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.632\% | 0.632\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.718\% |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | Mexico Total | \% of ERO Total | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | Mexico Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of ERO - } \\ \text { US Only } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | SPP | 1444 | Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority | U.S. | 2,504,436 | 2,504,436 |  |  | 1.234\% | 1.234\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.057\% | 0.057\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.065\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1561 | Public Service Commission of Yazoo Cit | U.S. | 119,296 | 119,296 |  |  | 0.059\% | 0.059\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1473 | Roosevelt County Electric Coop | U.S. | 215,763 | 215,763 |  |  | 0.106\% | 0.106\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1258 | Southwestern Power Administration (SP. | U.S. | 4,012,124 | 4,012,124 |  |  | 1.976\% | 1.976\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.092\% | 0.092\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.104\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1257 | Southwestern Public Service Co. (SPS-) | U.S. | 19,565,327 | 19,565,327 |  |  | 9.637\% | 9.637\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.446\% | 0.446\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.508\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1256 | Sunflower Electric Cooperative (SECI) | U.S. | 5,339,563 | 5,339,563 |  |  | 2.630\% | 2.630\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.122\% | 0.122\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.139\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1445 | Tex - La Electric Cooperative of Texas | U.S. | 461,705 | 461,705 |  |  | 0.227\% | 0.227\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1475 | Tri County Electric Coop | U.S. | 403,171 | 403,171 |  |  | 0.199\% | 0.199\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1260 | Westar Energy, Inc. | U.S. | 22,114,892 | 22,114,892 |  |  | 10.893\% | 10.893\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.505\% | 0.505\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.574\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1259 | Western Farmers Electric Cooperative | U.S. | 7,397,584 | 7,397,584 |  |  | 3.644\% | 3.644\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.169\% | 0.169\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.192\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 1501 | West Texas Municipal Power Agency | U.S. | 1,912,643 | 1,912,643 |  |  | 0.942\% | 0.942\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.044\% | 0.044\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.050\% |
|  |  |  | TOTAL SPP |  | 203,022,708 | 203,022,708 | - | - | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 4.633\% | 4.633\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 5.268\% |
| 2009 | tre | 1019 | ERCOT | U.S. | 308,277,759 | 308,277,759 |  |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 7.035\% | 7.035\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 8.000\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | 308,277,759 | 308,277,759 | - | - | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 7.035\% | 7.035\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 8.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Alberta Electric System Operator | Canada | 56,466,371 |  | 56,466,371 |  | 6.660\% | 0.000\% | 6.660\% | 0.000\% | 1.289\% | 0.000\% | 1.289\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | British Columbia Transmission Corporatic | Canada | 60,572,345 |  | 60,572,345 |  | 7.144\% | 0.000\% | 7.144\% | 0.000\% | 1.382\% | 0.000\% | 1.382\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Comision Federal de Electricidad | Mexico | 10,742,586 |  |  | 10,742,586 | 1.267\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.267\% | 0.245\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.245\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Aguila Irrigation District | U.S. | 28,359 | 28,359 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Aha Macav Power Service | U.S. | 24,816 | 24,816 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Ajo Improvement District | U.S. | 13,913 | 13,913 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Ak-Chin | U.S. | 30,867 | 30,867 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | ALCOA INC. | U.S. | 2,486,614 | 2,486,614 |  |  | 0.293\% | 0.293\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.057\% | 0.057\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.065\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Arizona Public Service Company | U.S. | 30,712,127 | 30,712,127 |  |  | 3.622\% | 3.622\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.701\% | 0.701\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.797\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Arkansas River Power Authority (ARPA) | U.S. | 247,200 | 247,200 |  |  | 0.029\% | 0.029\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Arlington Valley | U.S. | 1,182 | 1,182 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Avista Adjusted LSE NEL | U.S. | 9,533,477 | 9,533,477 |  |  | 1.124\% | 1.124\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.218\% | 0.218\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.247\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | AVISTA CORPORATION | U.S. | 231,202 | 231,202 |  |  | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Barrick Gold Strike | U.S. | 1,253,963 | 1,253,963 |  |  | 0.148\% | 0.148\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.029\% | 0.029\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.033\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Basin Electric Power Cooperative | U.S. | 3,061,849 | 3,061,849 |  |  | 0.361\% | 0.361\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.070\% | 0.070\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.079\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Basin Electric Power Cooperative (WAUI | U.S. | 57,521 | 57,521 |  |  | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | BENTON REA | U.S. | 582,175 | 582,175 |  |  | 0.069\% | 0.069\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% | 0.013\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.015\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Big Bend Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Avist | U.S. | 186,384 | 186,384 |  |  | 0.022\% | 0.022\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | BIG BEND ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, | U.S. | 346,608 | 346,608 |  |  | 0.041\% | 0.041\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | BLACHLY-LANE ELECTRIC COOPERA* | U.S. | 142,072 | 142,072 |  |  | 0.017\% | 0.017\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Black Hills Power | U.S. | 1,862,614 | 1,862,614 |  |  | 0.220\% | 0.220\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.043\% | 0.043\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.048\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Black Hills State University (State of SD) | U.S. | 17,410 | 17,410 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Black Hills Wyoming, Inc. | U.S. | 4,462,618 | 4,462,618 |  |  | 0.526\% | 0.526\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.102\% | 0.102\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.116\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Bonneville Power (NorthWestern Energy) | U.S. | 30,943 | 30,943 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Bonneville Power (PUD No. 2) | U.S. | 140,391 | 140,391 |  |  | 0.017\% | 0.017\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Bonneville Power Administration (Avista) | U.S. | 16,553 | 16,553 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Bonneville Power Adminstration | U.S. | 3,811,547 | 3,811,547 |  |  | 0.450\% | 0.450\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.087\% | 0.087\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.099\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | BPA | U.S. | 196,080 | 196,080 |  |  | 0.023\% | 0.023\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | BPA - Power Business Line | U.S. | 7,436 | 7,436 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Buckeye Water Conservation and Draina | U.S. | 17,896 | 17,896 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | California ISO | U.S. | 231,862,598 | 231,862,598 |  |  | 27.348\% | 27.348\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 5.291\% | 5.291\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 6.017\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Canby Public Utility Board | U.S. | 177,989 | 177,989 |  |  | 0.021\% | 0.021\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Central Arizona Water Conservation Dist\| | U.S. | 2,644,146 | 2,644,146 |  |  | 0.312\% | 0.312\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.060\% | 0.060\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.069\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CENTRAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, I | U.S. | 518,462 | 518,462 |  |  | 0.061\% | 0.061\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% | 0.012\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CENTRAL LINCOLN PUD | U.S. | 1,344,562 | 1,344,562 |  |  | 0.159\% | 0.159\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.031\% | 0.031\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.035\% |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regional } \\ \text { Entity } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { \% of ERO } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of ERO - } \\ \text { US Only } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Central Montana | U.S. | 64,081 | 64,081 |  |  | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CENTRAL MONTANA ELECTRIC POWE | U.S. | 74,819 | 74,819 |  |  | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF ALBION | U.S. | 3,566 | 3,566 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Aztec | U.S. | 38,253 | 38,253 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF BANDON | U.S. | 67,349 | 67,349 |  |  | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF BONNERS FERRY | U.S. | 69,860 | 69,860 |  |  | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Boulder | U.S. | 178,086 | 178,086 |  |  | 0.021\% | 0.021\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF BURLEY | U.S. | 121,452 | 121,452 |  |  | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Burlington | U.S. | 30,604 | 30,604 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF CASCADE LOCKS | U.S. | 21,561 | 21,561 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF CENTRALIA | U.S. | 277,160 | 277,160 |  |  | 0.033\% | 0.033\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF CHENEY | U.S. | 137,423 | 137,423 |  |  | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF CHEWELAH | U.S. | 24,715 | 24,715 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF DECLO | U.S. | 2,994 | 2,994 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF DRAIN | U.S. | 17,512 | 17,512 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF ELLENSBURG | U.S. | 222,215 | 222,215 |  |  | 0.026\% | 0.026\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Fallon | U.S. | 116,720 | 116,720 |  |  | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF FOREST GROVE | U.S. | 247,662 | 247,662 |  |  | 0.029\% | 0.029\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Gallup | U.S. | 226,966 | 226,966 |  |  | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF HERMISTON DBA HERMISTO | U.S. | 114,269 | 114,269 |  |  | 0.013\% | 0.013\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF HEYBURN | U.S. | 40,337 | 40,337 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Las Vegas | U.S. | 8,659 | 8,659 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MCCLEARY | U.S. | 34,268 | 34,268 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MCMINNVILLE | U.S. | 706,112 | 706,112 |  |  | 0.083\% | 0.083\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MILTON | U.S. | 65,783 | 65,783 |  |  | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MILTON-FREEWATER | U.S. | 119,297 | 119,297 |  |  | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MINIDOKA | U.S. | 1,018 | 1,018 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MONMOUTH | U.S. | 72,724 | 72,724 |  |  | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Needles | U.S. | 39,403 | 39,403 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF PLUMMER | U.S. | 34,893 | 34,893 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF PORT ANGELES | U.S. | 693,373 | 693,373 |  |  | 0.082\% | 0.082\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Redding | U.S. | 654,682 | 654,682 |  |  | 0.077\% | 0.077\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.015\% | 0.015\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF RICHLAND | U.S. | 871,724 | 871,724 |  |  | 0.103\% | 0.103\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.020\% | 0.020\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.023\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Roseville | U.S. | 1,270,389 | 1,270,389 |  |  | 0.150\% | 0.150\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.029\% | 0.029\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.033\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF RUPERT | U.S. | 82,193 | 82,193 |  |  | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Shasta Lake | U.S. | 179,792 | 179,792 |  |  | 0.021\% | 0.021\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF TACOMA DBA TACOMA POW | U.S. | 390 | 390 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF TROY | U.S. | 17,823 | 17,823 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF WEISER | U.S. | 54,987 | 54,987 |  |  | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Williams | U.S. | 39,521 | 39,521 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CLARK PUBLIC UTILITIES | U.S. | 4,584,028 | 4,584,028 |  |  | 0.541\% | 0.541\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.105\% | 0.105\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.119\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CLATSKANIE PUD | U.S. | 977,280 | 977,280 |  |  | 0.115\% | 0.115\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% | 0.022\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.025\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CLEARWATER COOPERATIVE, INC | U.S. | 44,378 | 44,378 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Clearwater Power Company | U.S. | 161,703 | 161,703 |  |  | 0.019\% | 0.019\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Colorado River Agency-Bureau of Indian | U.S. | 3,696 | 3,696 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Colorado River Commission | U.S. | 709,651 | 709,651 |  |  | 0.084\% | 0.084\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Colorado Springs Utilities | U.S. | 4,207,466 | 4,207,466 |  |  | 0.496\% | 0.496\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.096\% | 0.096\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.109\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA BASIN ELECTRIC COOPEF | U.S. | 106,840 | 106,840 |  |  | 0.013\% | 0.013\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA FALLS ALUMINUM COMPA | U.S. | 331,800 | 331,800 |  |  | 0.039\% | 0.039\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA POWER COOPERATIVE AS | U.S. | 22,971 | 22,971 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA REA | U.S. | 304,096 | 304,096 |  |  | 0.036\% | 0.036\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of ERO } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of ERO - } \\ \text { US Only } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA RIVER PUD (BPA) | U.S. | 169,019 | 169,019 |  |  | 0.020\% | 0.020\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Columbia River PUD (PGE) | U.S. | 317,154 | 317,154 |  |  | 0.037\% | 0.037\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CONSOLIDATED IRRIGATION DISTRIC | U.S. | 2,045 | 2,045 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Constellation New Energy, Inc. | U.S. | 29,435 | 29,435 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CONSUMERS POWER, INC. | U.S. | 423,807 | 423,807 |  |  | 0.050\% | 0.050\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COOS-CURRY ELECTRIC COOPERATI | U.S. | 351,531 | 351,531 |  |  | 0.041\% | 0.041\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Deseret Generation \& Transmission Co-C | U.S. | 68,130 | 68,130 |  |  | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Douglas - Palasades | U.S. | 18,614 | 18,614 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | DOUGLAS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, | U.S. | 96,707 | 96,707 |  |  | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | EAST END MUTUAL ELECTRIC COMP, | U.S. | 22,472 | 22,472 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | El Paso Electric Company | U.S. | 7,706,441 | 7,706,441 |  |  | 0.909\% | 0.909\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.176\% | 0.176\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.200\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical District \#2 | U.S. | 180,083 | 180,083 |  |  | 0.021\% | 0.021\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical District No. 6 of Pinal County | U.S. | 1,201 | 1,201 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical District No. 7 of Mariopa Count | U.S. | 28,187 | 28,187 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical District No. 8 of Mariopa Count | U.S. | 261,863 | 261,863 |  |  | 0.031\% | 0.031\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical Districts 1 \& 3 | U.S. | 590,418 | 590,418 |  |  | 0.070\% | 0.070\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% | 0.013\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.015\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | ELMHURST MUTUAL POWER \& LIGHT | U.S. | 283,742 | 283,742 |  |  | 0.033\% | 0.033\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | EMERALD PUD | U.S. | 512,119 | 512,119 |  |  | 0.060\% | 0.060\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% | 0.012\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | ENERGY NORTHWEST | U.S. | 55,126 | 55,126 |  |  | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | EPCOR Merchant and Capital (US) Inc. | U.S. | 71,439 | 71,439 |  |  | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | EUGENE WATER \& ELECTRIC BOARD | U.S. | 2,495,519 | 2,495,519 |  |  | 0.294\% | 0.294\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.057\% | 0.057\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.065\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | FARMERS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. | U.S. | 4,497 | 4,497 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Farmington Electric Utility System | U.S. | 1,142,281 | 1,142,281 |  |  | 0.135\% | 0.135\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.026\% | 0.026\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.030\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | FLATHEAD ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, | U.S. | 1,415,965 | 1,415,965 |  |  | 0.167\% | 0.167\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.032\% | 0.032\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.037\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Fredonia, Town of | U.S. | 1,377 | 1,377 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | GLACIER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, II | U.S. | 182,011 | 182,011 |  |  | 0.021\% | 0.021\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Grand Valley | U.S. | 233,232 | 233,232 |  |  | 0.028\% | 0.028\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Grant LSE Load | U.S. | 3,699,717 | 3,699,717 |  |  | 0.436\% | 0.436\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.084\% | 0.084\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.096\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Harney Electric Coop | U.S. | 77,228 | 77,228 |  |  | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | HARNEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, IN | U.S. | 115,101 | 115,101 |  |  | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Harquahala Valley Power District | U.S. | 74,556 | 74,556 |  |  | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | HERMISTON POWER LLC | U.S. | 2,541 | 2,541 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Holy Cross | U.S. | 989,360 | 989,360 |  |  | 0.117\% | 0.117\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.023\% | 0.023\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.026\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | HOOD RIVER ELECTRIC COOPERATI | U.S. | 41,058 | 41,058 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | IDAHO COUNTY LIGHT AND POWER C | U.S. | 54,661 | 54,661 |  |  | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Idaho Power | U.S. | 36,171 | 36,171 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Imperial Irrigation District | U.S. | 3,661,803 | 3,661,803 |  |  | 0.432\% | 0.432\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.084\% | 0.084\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.095\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Inland Power \& Light Co. | U.S. | 463,892 | 463,892 |  |  | 0.055\% | 0.055\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | INLAND POWER AND LIGHT COMPAN | U.S. | 497,105 | 497,105 |  |  | 0.059\% | 0.059\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | IPCO | U.S. | 15,227,018 | 15,227,018 |  |  | 1.796\% | 1.796\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.347\% | 0.347\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.395\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | IREA-Transmission | U.S. | 2,026,136 | 2,026,136 |  |  | 0.239\% | 0.239\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.046\% | 0.046\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.053\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Kirtland Air Force Base | U.S. | 438,095 | 438,095 |  |  | 0.052\% | 0.052\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Kootenai Electric Cooperative, Inc. | U.S. | 468,897 | 468,897 |  |  | 0.055\% | 0.055\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | LA Dept. of Water \& Power | U.S. | 29,215,536 | 29,215,536 |  |  | 3.446\% | 3.446\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.667\% | 0.667\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.758\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | LAKEVIEW LIGHT \& POWER | U.S. | 288,504 | 288,504 |  |  | 0.034\% | 0.034\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | LANE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. | U.S. | 235,367 | 235,367 |  |  | 0.028\% | 0.028\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Las Vegas Valley Water District (SB211) | U.S. | 95,444 | 95,444 |  |  | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Lincoln County Power District | U.S. | 83,457 | 83,457 |  |  | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | LINCOLN ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, If | U.S. | 124,435 | 124,435 |  |  | 0.015\% | 0.015\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Maricopa County Municipal Water Conse | U.S. | 48,656 | 48,656 |  |  | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | McMullen Valley Water Conservation \& [ | U.S. | 58,604 | 58,604 |  |  | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |


| Data <br> Year | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { \% of ERO } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of ERO - } \\ \text { US Only } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Merced Irrigation District | U.S. | 437,139 | 437,139 |  |  | 0.052\% | 0.052\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Mesa, City of | U.S. | 268,101 | 268,101 |  |  | 0.032\% | 0.032\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | MIDSTATE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, | U.S. | 399,776 | 399,776 |  |  | 0.047\% | 0.047\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | MISSION VALLEY POWER | U.S. | 421,786 | 421,786 |  |  | 0.050\% | 0.050\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% | 0.010\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | MISSOULA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, | U.S. | 228,490 | 228,490 |  |  | 0.027\% | 0.027\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Modern Electric Water Co. | U.S. | 241,756 | 241,756 |  |  | 0.029\% | 0.029\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Modesto Irrigation District | U.S. | 2,611,756 | 2,611,756 |  |  | 0.308\% | 0.308\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.060\% | 0.060\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.068\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Montana Dakota Utilities (MDU) | U.S. | 32,529 | 32,529 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Mt. Wheeler Power Inc. | U.S. | 501,716 | 501,716 |  |  | 0.059\% | 0.059\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.013\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska | U.S. | 684,897 | 684,897 |  |  | 0.081\% | 0.081\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska (N | U.S. | 349,888 | 349,888 |  |  | 0.041\% | 0.041\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Navajo Tribal Utility Authority (APS) | U.S. | 41,047 | 41,047 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Navajo Tribal Utility Authority (PSCofNM) | U.S. | 215,464 | 215,464 |  |  | 0.025\% | 0.025\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc | U.S. | 472,726 | 472,726 |  |  | 0.056\% | 0.056\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.012\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Nebraska Public Power Marketing | U.S. | 3,536 | 3,536 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Needles Public Utilities Authority | U.S. | 36,626 | 36,626 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | NESPELEM VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPI | U.S. | 52,797 | 52,797 |  |  | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Nevada Power Company | U.S. | 22,026,931 | 22,026,931 |  |  | 2.598\% | 2.598\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.503\% | 0.503\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.572\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | New Harquahala | U.S. | 1,330 | 1,330 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Northern Lights Inc. | U.S. | 27,539 | 27,539 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | NORTHERN LIGHTS, INC. | U.S. | 308,120 | 308,120 |  |  | 0.036\% | 0.036\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | NORTHERN WASCO COUNTY PUD | U.S. | 600,791 | 600,791 |  |  | 0.071\% | 0.071\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | NWMT | U.S. | 303,670 | 303,670 |  |  | 0.036\% | 0.036\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | OHOP MUTUAL LIGHT COMPANY | U.S. | 89,495 | 89,495 |  |  | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | ORCAS POWER \& LIGHT COOPERATI | U.S. | 215,775 | 215,775 |  |  | 0.025\% | 0.025\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | OREGON TRAIL ELECTRIC CONSUME | U.S. | 674,151 | 674,151 |  |  | 0.080\% | 0.080\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.015\% | 0.015\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.017\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Overton Power District \#5 | U.S. | 386,490 | 386,490 |  |  | 0.046\% | 0.046\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PACIFICORP (BPA) | U.S. | 15,459 | 15,459 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PACIFICORP (PGE) | U.S. | 3,208 | 3,208 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PACIFICORP(WAPA) | U.S. | 207,079 | 207,079 |  |  | 0.024\% | 0.024\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PacifiCorp (PACE) | U.S. | 45,173,012 | 45,173,012 |  |  | 5.328\% | 5.328\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.031\% | 1.031\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 1.172\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PacifiCorp (PACW) | U.S. | 21,517,544 | 21,517,544 |  |  | 2.538\% | 2.538\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.491\% | 0.491\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.558\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Page Electric Utility | U.S. | 13,177 | 13,177 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PARKLAND LIGHT AND WATER COMP | U.S. | 124,204 | 124,204 |  |  | 0.015\% | 0.015\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PENINSULA LIGHT COMPANY, INC. | U.S. | 626,991 | 626,991 |  |  | 0.074\% | 0.074\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.014\% | 0.014\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Platte River Power Authority | U.S. | 3,162,812 | 3,162,812 |  |  | 0.373\% | 0.373\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.072\% | 0.072\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.082\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PORT TOWNSEND PAPER CORPORA ${ }^{-}$ | U.S. | 197,320 | 197,320 |  |  | 0.023\% | 0.023\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Portland General Electric Company | U.S. | 18,180,117 | 18,180,117 |  |  | 2.144\% | 2.144\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.415\% | 0.415\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.472\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Public Service Company of Colorado | U.S. | 29,024,840 | 29,024,840 |  |  | 3.423\% | 3.423\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.662\% | 0.662\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.753\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Public Service Company of Colorado (Xc | U.S. | 32,597 | 32,597 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Public Service Company of New Mexico | U.S. | 10,211,746 | 10,211,746 |  |  | 1.204\% | 1.204\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.233\% | 0.233\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.265\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO 1 OF DOUGLAS COUNTY | U.S. | 8,870 | 8,870 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF ASOTIN COUNTY | U.S. | 5,257 | 5,257 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF BENTON COUNTY | U.S. | 1,781,297 | 1,781,297 |  |  | 0.210\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.210\% | 0.041\% | 0.041\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.046\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD No. 1 of Chelan County | U.S. | 3,256,834 | 3,256,834 |  |  | 0.384\% | 0.384\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.074\% | 0.074\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.085\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF CLALLAM COUNTY | U.S. | 686,467 | 686,467 |  |  | 0.081\% | 0.081\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF COWLITZ COUNTY | U.S. | 4,912,007 | 4,912,007 |  |  | 0.579\% | 0.579\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.112\% | 0.112\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.127\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD No. 1 of Douglas County | U.S. | 1,485,323 | 1,485,323 |  |  | 0.175\% | 0.175\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.034\% | 0.034\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.039\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF FERRY COUNTY | U.S. | 99,302 | 99,302 |  |  | 0.012\% | 0.012\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF FRANKLIN COUNTY | U.S. | 1,001,336 | 1,001,336 |  |  | 0.118\% | 0.000\% | 0.118\% | 0.000\% | 0.023\% | 0.000\% | 0.023\% | 0.000\% | 0.026\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF GRAYS HARBOR | U.S. | 1,105,132 | 1,105,132 |  |  | 0.130\% | 0.130\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.025\% | 0.025\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.029\% |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regional } \\ \text { Entity } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ID | Entity | Country | Total NEL (MWh) | U.S. NEL | Canada NEL | Mexico NEL | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of RE } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { \% of ERO } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | US Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of ERO - } \\ \text { US Only } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF KITTITAS COUNTY | U.S. | 54,899 | 54,899 |  |  | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF KLICKITAT COUNTY | U.S. | 300,515 | 300,515 |  |  | 0.035\% | 0.035\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF LEWIS COUNTY | U.S. | 967,210 | 967,210 |  |  | 0.114\% | 0.114\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% | 0.022\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.025\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF MASON COUNTY | U.S. | 80,102 | 80,102 |  |  | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD No. 1 of Pend Oreille County | U.S. | 968,963 | 968,963 |  |  | 0.114\% | 0.114\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% | 0.022\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.025\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF SKAMANIA COUNTY | U.S. | 138,779 | 138,779 |  |  | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF SNOHOMISH COUNTY | U.S. | 7,141,391 | 7,141,391 |  |  | 0.842\% | 0.842\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.163\% | 0.163\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.185\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF WAHKIAKUM COUNTY | U.S. | 44,609 | 44,609 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF WHATCOM COUNTY | U.S. | 223,878 | 223,878 |  |  | 0.026\% | 0.026\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 2 OF GRANT COUNTY (Avista | U.S. | 90,436 | 90,436 |  |  | 0.011\% | 0.011\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 2 OF GRANT COUNTY (BPA) | U.S. | 49,007 | 49,007 |  |  | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 2 OF PACIFIC COUNTY | U.S. | 312,886 | 312,886 |  |  | 0.037\% | 0.037\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.007\% | 0.007\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 3 OF MASON COUNTY | U.S. | 700,202 | 700,202 |  |  | 0.083\% | 0.083\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Puget Sound Energy | U.S. | 25,460,922 | 25,460,922 |  |  | 3.003\% | 3.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.581\% | 0.581\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.661\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | RAFT RIVER RURAL ELECTRIC COOP | U.S. | 221,513 | 221,513 |  |  | 0.026\% | 0.026\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.006\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | RAVALLI COUNTY ELECTRIC COOPEF | U.S. | 157,578 | 157,578 |  |  | 0.019\% | 0.019\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | RBS Sempra Energy Solutions | U.S. | 1,884,440 | 1,884,440 |  |  | 0.222\% | 0.222\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.043\% | 0.043\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.049\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | RIVERSIDE ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTC | U.S. | 20,070 | 20,070 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Rocky Mountain Generation Cooperative | U.S. | 39,802 | 39,802 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Roosevelt Irrigation District | U.S. | 29,845 | 29,845 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SALEM ELECTRIC | U.S. | 336,272 | 336,272 |  |  | 0.040\% | 0.040\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Salt River Project (SRP) | U.S. | 28,037,507 | 28,037,507 |  |  | 3.307\% | 3.307\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.640\% | 0.640\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.728\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | San Carlos Indian Irrigation Project | U.S. | 140 | 140 |  |  | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Seattle City Light | U.S. | 10,167,916 | 10,167,916 |  |  | 1.199\% | 1.199\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.232\% | 0.232\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.264\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Sierra Pacific Power Company | U.S. | 8,715,131 | 8,715,131 |  |  | 1.028\% | 1.028\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.199\% | 0.199\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.226\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SMGT / BPA | U.S. | 16,023 | 16,023 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SMUD | U.S. | 11,447,941 | 11,447,941 |  |  | 1.350\% | 1.350\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.261\% | 0.261\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.297\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SOUTH SIDE ELECTRIC, INC. | U.S. | 54,814 | 54,814 |  |  | 0.006\% | 0.006\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Southern Montana | U.S. | 693,761 | 693,761 |  |  | 0.082\% | 0.082\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.016\% | 0.016\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.018\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Southern Nevada Water Authority | U.S. | 821,644 | 821,644 |  |  | 0.097\% | 0.097\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.019\% | 0.019\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.021\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Southwest Transmission Cooperative, Inc | U.S. | 2,712,926 | 2,712,926 |  |  | 0.320\% | 0.320\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.062\% | 0.062\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.070\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SPRINGFIELD UTILITY BOARD | U.S. | 853,124 | 853,124 |  |  | 0.101\% | 0.101\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.019\% | 0.019\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.022\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SURPRISE VALLEY ELECTRIFICATION | U.S. | 34,622 | 34,622 |  |  | 0.004\% | 0.004\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tacoma Power | U.S. | 5,011,373 | 5,011,373 |  |  | 0.591\% | 0.591\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.114\% | 0.114\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.130\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | The Incorporated County of Los Alamos | U.S. | 385,561 | 385,561 |  |  | 0.045\% | 0.045\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% | 0.009\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.010\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | TILLAMOOK PUD | U.S. | 353,089 | 353,089 |  |  | 0.042\% | 0.042\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.009\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tohono O'Odham Utility Authority | U.S. | 67,007 | 67,007 |  |  | 0.008\% | 0.008\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.002\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tonopah Irrigation District | U.S. | 22,584 | 22,584 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Total NWMT Load Owner | U.S. | 8,873,287 | 8,873,287 |  |  | 1.047\% | 1.047\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.202\% | 0.202\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.230\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Town of Center-Transmission | U.S. | 12,172 | 12,172 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | TOWN OF COULEE DAM | U.S. | 19,082 | 19,082 |  |  | 0.002\% | 0.002\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | TOWN OF EATONVILLE | U.S. | 29,196 | 29,196 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | TOWN OF STEILACOOM | U.S. | 42,414 | 42,414 |  |  | 0.005\% | 0.005\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Town of Wickenburg | U.S. | 29,103 | 29,103 |  |  | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.001\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tri State G \& A Assoc., Inc | U.S. | 1,952,963 | 1,952,963 |  |  | 0.230\% | 0.230\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.045\% | 0.045\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.051\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tri-State Generation \& Transmission Ass | U.S. | 8,502 | 8,502 |  |  | 0.001\% | 0.001\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tri-State Generation and Transmission A | U.S. | 6,641,896 | 6,641,896 |  |  | 0.783\% | 0.783\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.152\% | 0.152\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.172\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tristate Generation and Transmission As | U.S. | 2,422,891 | 2,422,891 |  |  | 0.286\% | 0.286\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.055\% | 0.055\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.063\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Truckee Donner Public Utility District | U.S. | 150,195 | 150,195 |  |  | 0.018\% | 0.018\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.003\% | 0.003\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.004\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tucson Electric Power | U.S. | 13,564,552 | 13,564,552 |  |  | 1.600\% | 1.600\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.310\% | 0.310\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.352\% |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Turlock Irrigation District | U.S. | 2,063,084 | 2,063,084 |  |  | 0.243\% | 0.243\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.047\% | 0.047\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.054\% |



| Summary by Regional Entity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | FRCC | 226,802,655 | 226,802,655 | - |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 5.176\% | 5.176\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 5.885\% |
| 2009 | MRO | 264,751,863 | 220,355,964 | 44,395,899 |  | 100.000\% | 83.231\% | 16.769\% | 0.000\% | 6.042\% | 5.029\% | 1.013\% | 0.000\% | 5.718\% |
| 2009 | NPCC | 652,049,000 | 295,898,000 | 356,151,000 |  | 100.000\% | 45.380\% | 54.620\% | 0.000\% | 14.880\% | 6.753\% | 8.128\% | 0.000\% | 7.678\% |
| 2009 | RFC | 889,208,026 | 889,208,026 | - |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 20.292\% | 20.292\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 23.074\% |
| 2009 | SERC | 990,093,522 | 990,093,522 | - |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 22.594\% | 22.594\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 25.692\% |
| 2009 | SPP | 203,022,708 | 203,022,708 | - |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 4.633\% | 4.633\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 5.268\% |
| 2009 | TRE | 308,277,759 | 308,277,759 | - |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 7.035\% | 7.035\% | 0.000\% | 0.000\% | 8.000\% |
| 2009 | WECC | 847,828,789 | 720,047,487 | 117,038,716 | 10,742,586 | 100.000\% | 84.593\% | 13.924\% | 1.484\% | 19.348\% | 16.408\% | 2.694\% | 0.246\% | 18.685\% |
| Total |  | 4,382,034,322 | 3,853,706,121 | 517,585,615 | 10,742,586 | 800.000\% | 713.204\% | 85.313\% | 1.484\% | 100.000\% | 87.919\% | 11.834\% | 0.246\% | 100.000\% |



[^0]|  |  |  |  |  | Total ERO Assessments (NERC, RE \& WIRAB Costs) |  |  |  | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (Including WIRAB Assessments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total |
| 2009 | MRO | 1606 | Harlan Municipal Utilities | u.s. | 764 | 764 | - | - | 187 | 187 | - | - | 577 | 577 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1211 | Hastings Utilities | u.s. | 16,266 | 16,266 | - | - | 3,981 | 3,981 | - | - | 12,284 | 12,284 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1212 | Heartland Consumers Power District | u.s. | 27,222 | 27,222 | - | - | 6,663 | 6,663 | - | - | 20,558 | 20,558 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1213 | Hutchinson Utilities Commission | u.s. | 11,907 | 11,907 | - | - | 2,915 | 2,915 | - | - | 8,992 | 8,992 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1215 | Lincoln Electric System | u.s. | 129,483 | 129,483 | - | - | 31,695 | 31,695 | - | - | 97,788 | 97,788 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1218 | Manitowoc Public Utilities | u.s. | 21,205 | 21,205 | - | - | 5,191 | 5,191 | - | - | 16,015 | 16,015 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1223 | Missouri River Energy Services | u.s. | 89,091 | 89,091 | - | - | 21,808 | 21,808 | - | - | 67,284 | 67,284 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1224 | MN Municipal Power Agency (MMPA) | u.s. | 57,022 | 57,022 | - | - | 13,958 | 13,958 | - | - | 43,064 | 43,064 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1607 | Montezuma Municipal Light \& Power | U.s. | 1,081 | 1,081 | - | - | 265 | 265 | - | - | 816 | 816 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1227 | Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska | u.s. | 40,011 | 40,011 | - | - | 9,794 | 9,794 | - | - | 30,218 | 30,218 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1228 | Muscatine Power and Water | u.s. | 34,880 | 34,880 | - | - | 8,538 | 8,538 | - | - | 26,342 | 26,342 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1229 | Nebraska City Utilities | u.s. | 6,674 | 6,674 | - | - | 1,634 | 1,634 | - | - | 5,041 | 5,041 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1234 | Rochester Public Utilities | U.s. | 49 | 49 | - | - | 12 | 12 | - | - | 37 | 37 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1236 | Southern Minnesota Municipal Power Agı | u.s. | 117,996 | 117,996 | - | - | 28,883 | 28,883 | - | - | 89,113 | 89,113 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1241 | Willmar Municipal Utilities | U.s. | 11,774 | 11,774 | - | - | 2,882 | 2,882 | - | - | 8,892 | 8,892 | - | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1242 | Wisconsin Public Power, Inc. (East and V | U.S. | 213,462 | 213,462 | - | - | 52,251 | 52,251 | - | - | 161,211 | 161,211 | - | - |
|  |  |  | TOTAL MRO |  | 11,046,138 | 9,073,289 | 1,972,849 | - | 2,785,636 | 2,220,953 | 564,683 | - | 8,260,502 | 6,852,336 | 1,408,166 | - |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1336 | New England | u.s. | 4,486,684 | 4,486,684 | - | - | 1,205,764 | 1,205,764 | - | - | 3,280,920 | 3,280,920 | - | - |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1339 | New York | u.s. | 5,627,532 | 5,627,532 | - | - | 1,510,928 | 1,510,928 | - | - | 4,116,603 | 4,116,603 | - | - |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1337 | Ontario | Canada | 3,248,689 | - | 3,248,689 | - | 1,175,701 | - | 1,175,701 | - | 2,072,988 | - | 2,072,988 | - |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1341 | Quebec | Canada | 4,546,766 | - | 4,546,766 | - | 1,773,069 | - | 1,773,069 | - | 2,773,697 | - | 2,773,697 | - |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1338 | New Brunswick | Canada | 320,780 | - | 320,780 | - | 116,090 | - | 116,090 | - | 204,690 | - | 204,690 | - |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1340 | Nova Scotia | Canada | 346,125 | - | 346,125 | - | 142,414 | - | 142,414 | - | 203,711 | - | 203,711 | - |
|  |  |  | TOTAL NPCC |  | 18,576,576 | 10,114,216 | 8,462,360 | - | 5,923,966 | 2,716,692 | 3,207,274 | - | 12,652,610 | 7,397,523 | 5,255,087 | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1096 | Alger Delta Cooperative Electric Associat | U.S. | 1,394 | 1,394 | - | - | 552 | 552 | - | - | 842 | 842 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1097 | American Municipal Power | u.s. | 77,903 | 77,903 | - | - | 30,870 | 30,870 | - | - | 47,033 | 47,033 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1104 | Bay City | u.s. | 7,819 | 7,819 | - | - | 3,098 | 3,098 | - | - | 4,721 | 4,721 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1098 | Village of Bethel | U.S. | 690 | 690 | - | - | 274 | 274 | - | - | 417 | 417 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1101 | Buckeye Power Inc. (DUKE-CIN) | u.s. | 6,314 | 6,314 | - | - | 2,502 | 2,502 | - | - | 3,812 | 3,812 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1100 | Buckeye Power Inc. (ATSI) | U.S. | 24,064 | 24,064 | - | - | 9,536 | 9,536 | - | - | 14,529 | 14,529 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1102 | Cannelton Utilities | u.s. | 395 | 395 | - | - | 157 | 157 | - | - | 238 | 238 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1105 | City of Chelsea | u.s. | 2,183 | 2,183 | - | - | 865 | 865 | - | - | 1,318 | 1,318 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1106 | City of Croswell | u.s. | 866 | 866 | - | - | 343 | 343 | - | - | 523 | 523 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1107 | City of Crystal Falls | u.s. | 322 | 322 | - | - | 128 | 128 | - | - | 194 | 194 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1108 | City of Eaton Rapids | U.S. | 1,861 | 1,861 | - | - | 738 | 738 | - | - | 1,124 | 1,124 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1110 | City of Hamilton | U.S. | 10,532 | 10,532 | - | - | 4,173 | 4,173 | - | - | 6,359 | 6,359 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1111 | City of Hart | U.S. | 858 | 858 | - | - | 340 | 340 | - | - | 518 | 518 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1490 | City of Lansing | u.s. | 49,983 | 49,983 | - | - | 19,806 | 19,806 | - | - | 30,177 | 30,177 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1112 | City of Marquette Board of Light \& Powe | u.s. | 8,060 | 8,060 | - | - | 3,194 | 3,194 | - | - | 4,866 | 4,866 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1165 | City of Painesville | u.s. | 3,760 | 3,760 | - | - | 1,490 | 1,490 | - | - | 2,270 | 2,270 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1114 | City of Portland | u.s. | 843 | 843 | - | - | 334 | 334 | - | - | 509 | 509 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1116 | City of St. Louis | U.S. | 928 | 928 | - | - | 368 | 368 | - | - | 561 | 561 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1117 | City of Williamstown KY | U.S. | 1,281 | 1,281 | - | - | 508 | 508 | - | - | 774 | 774 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1118 | City of Wyandotte | u.s. | 1,558 | 1,558 | - | - | 617 | 617 | - | - | 941 | 941 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1119 | Cleveland Public Power | U.S. | 38,804 | 38,804 | - | - | 15,377 | 15,377 | - | - | 23,428 | 23,428 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1120 | Cloverland Electric Cooperative | u.s. | 5,611 | 5,611 | - | - | 2,224 | 2,224 | - | - | 3,388 | 3,388 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1132 | Cloverland (f.k.a. - Edison Sault Electric ( | u.s. | 15,817 | 15,817 | - | - | 6,268 | 6,268 | - | - | 9,549 | 9,549 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1122 | CMS ERM Michigan LLC | u.s. | 3,064 | 3,064 | - | - | 1,214 | 1,214 | - | - | 1,850 | 1,850 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1124 | Constellation New Energy (MECS-CONS | u.s. | 11,510 | 11,510 | - | - | 4,561 | 4,561 | - | - | 6,949 | 6,949 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1123 | Constellation New Energy (MECS-DET) | u.s. | 16,471 | 16,471 | - | - | 6,527 | 6,527 | - | - | 9,944 | 9,944 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1534 | Constellation New Energy Inc. (ATSI) | U.S. | 4,786 | 4,786 | - | - | 1,897 | 1,897 | - | - | 2,890 | 2,890 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1125 | Constellation New Energy Inc. (DUKE-C | u.s. | 2,844 | 2,844 | - | - | 1,127 | 1,127 | - | - | 1,717 | 1,717 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1126 | Consumers Energy Company | u.s. | 789,789 | 789,789 | - | - | 312,962 | 312,962 | - | - | 476,827 | 476,827 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1128 | Detroit Edison Company | u.s. | 1,083,891 | 1,083,891 | - | - | 429,503 | 429,503 | - | - | 654,388 | 654,388 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1129 | Dominion Retail (ATSI) | U.S. | 2,378 | 2,378 | - | - | 942 | 942 | - | - | 1,436 | 1,436 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1130 | Dominion Retail Inc. (DUKE-CIN) | u.s. | 8,784 | 8,784 | - | - | 3,481 | 3,481 | - | - | 5,303 | 5,303 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1131 | DTE Energy Trading | u.s. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1166 | Duke Energy Indiana | u.s. | 679,213 | 679,213 | - | - | 269,145 | 269,145 | - | - | 410,068 | 410,068 | - | - |

[^1]|  |  |  |  |  | Total ERO Assessments (NERC, RE \& WIRAB Costs) |  |  |  | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (Including WIRAB Assessments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total |
| 2009 | RFC | 1179 | Duke Energy Kentucky | u.s. | 99,682 | 99,682 | - | - | 39,500 | 39,500 | - | - | 60,182 | 60,182 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1178 | Duke Energy Ohio | u.s. | 427,064 | 427,064 | - | - | 169,229 | 169,229 | - | - | 257,835 | 257,835 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1608 | Duke Energy Retail Sales (ATSI) | u.s. | 43,877 | 43,877 | - | - | 17,387 | 17,387 | - | - | 26,490 | 26,490 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1609 | Duke Energy Retail Sales (DUKE-CIN) | u.s. | 20,243 | 20,243 | - | - | 8,021 | 8,021 | - | - | 12,221 | 12,221 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1563 | Energy International Power Marketing | u.s. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1135 | Ferdinand Municipal Light \& Water | u.s. | 960 | 960 | - | - | 380 | 380 | - | - | 580 | 580 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1138 | FirstEnergy | u.s. | 1,030,111 | 1,030,111 | - | - | 408,192 | 408,192 | - | - | 621,919 | 621,919 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1137 | FirstEnergy Solutions (ATSI) | u.s. | 534,900 | 534,900 | - | - | 211,960 | 211,960 | - | - | 322,940 | 322,940 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1549 | FirstEnergy Solutions (MECS-DET) | u.s. | 522 | 522 | - | - | 207 | 207 | - | - | 315 | 315 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1550 | FirstEnergy Solutions (DUKE-CIN) | u.s. | 8,159 | 8,159 | - | - | 3,233 | 3,233 | - | - | 4,926 | 4,926 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1610 | Gexa Energy | u.s. | 36,177 | 36,177 | - | - | 14,335 | 14,335 | - | - | 21,841 | 21,841 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1141 | Georgetown | u.s. | 1,314 | 1,314 | - | - | 521 | 521 | - | - | 793 | 793 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1611 | Glacial Energy (ATSI) | u.s. | 24 | 24 | - | - | 9 | 9 | - | - | 14 | 14 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1612 | Glacial Energy (MECS-DET) | u.s. | 641 | 641 | - | - | 254 | 254 | - | - | 387 | 387 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1143 | Hamersville | u.s. | 132 | 132 | - | - | 52 | 52 | - | - | 80 | 80 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1144 | Holland Board of Public Works | u.s. | 17,386 | 17,386 | - | - | 6,889 | 6,889 | - | - | 10,497 | 10,497 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1145 | Hoosier Energy | u.s. | 160,464 | 160,464 | - | - | 63,586 | 63,586 | - | - | 96,879 | 96,879 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1148 | Indiana Municipal Power Agency (DUKE | u.s. | 68,378 | 68,378 | - | - | 27,095 | 27,095 | - | - | 41,282 | 41,282 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1485 | Indiana Municipal Power Agency (NIPSC | u.s. | 9,017 | 9,017 | - | - | 3,573 | 3,573 | - | - | 5,444 | 5,444 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1486 | Indiana Municipal Power Agency (SIGE) | u.s. | 13,323 | 13,323 | - | - | 5,279 | 5,279 | - | - | 8,044 | 8,044 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1149 | Indianapolis Power \& Light Co. | U.s. | 356,453 | 356,453 | - | - | 141,248 | 141,248 | - | - | 215,205 | 215,205 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1613 | Integrys Energy Services (ATSI) | u.s. | 1 | 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1552 | Integrys Energy Services (DUKE-CIN) | U.s. | 448 | 448 | - | - | 177 | 177 | - | - | 270 | 270 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1553 | Integrys Energy Services (MECS-CONS) | u.s. | 5,796 | 5,796 | - | - | 2,297 | 2,297 | - | - | 3,499 | 3,499 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1554 | Integrys Energy Services (MECS-DET) | u.s. | 5,817 | 5,817 | - | - | 2,305 | 2,305 | - | - | 3,512 | 3,512 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1614 | Just Energy (MECS-DET) | u.s. | 624 | 624 | - | - | 247 | 247 | - | - | 377 | 377 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1151 | Lebanon | u.s. | 6,582 | 6,582 | - | - | 2,608 | 2,608 | - | - | 3,974 | 3,974 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1154 | Michigan Public Power Agency | u.s. | 27,753 | 27,753 | - | - | 10,997 | 10,997 | - | - | 16,756 | 16,756 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1155 | Michigan South Central Power Agency | u.s. | 12,984 | 12,984 | - | - | 5,145 | 5,145 | - | - | 7,839 | 7,839 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1158 | MidAmerican Energy Company Retail | U.s. | 688 | 688 | - | - | 273 | 273 | - | - | 416 | 416 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1163 | Northern Indiana Public Service Co. | U.s. | 375,259 | 375,259 | - | - | 148,700 | 148,700 | - | - | 226,559 | 226,559 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1164 | Ontonagon County Rural Electrification A | U.s. | 657 | 657 | - | - | 260 | 260 | - | - | 396 | 396 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1555 | Penn Power | u.s. | 52,241 | 52,241 | - | - | 20,701 | 20,701 | - | - | 31,540 | 31,540 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1265 | PJM Interconnnection, LLC | u.s. | 14,001,471 | 14,001,471 | - | - | 5,548,231 | 5,548,231 | - | - | 8,453,240 | 8,453,240 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1167 | Public Lighting Department of Detroit | u.s. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1170 | Ripley | u.s. | 449 | 449 | - | - | 178 | 178 | - | - | 271 | 271 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1580 | Sempra Energy Solutions (ATSI) | u.s. | 9,700 | 9,700 | - | - | 3,844 | 3,844 | - | - | 5,856 | 5,856 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1615 | Sempra Energy Solutions (DUKE-CIN) | u.s. | 14 | 14 | - | - | 6 | 6 | - | - | 9 | 9 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1172 | Sempra Energy Solutions (MECS-CONS) | u.s. | 13,205 | 13,205 | - | - | 5,233 | 5,233 | - | - | 7,972 | 7,972 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1171 | Sempra Energy Solutions (MECS-DET) | u.s. | 6,427 | 6,427 | - | - | 2,547 | 2,547 | - | - | 3,880 | 3,880 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1173 | Direct Energy (fkA:Strategic Energy) (AT | u.s. | 6,921 | 6,921 | - | - | 2,743 | 2,743 | - | - | 4,179 | 4,179 | - |  |
| 2009 | RFC | 1175 | Direct Energy (fka:Strategic Energy LLC) | u.s. | 6,142 | 6,142 | - | - | 2,434 | 2,434 | - | - | 3,708 | 3,708 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1176 | Direct Energy (fka:Strategic Energy,LLC) | u.s. | 273 | 273 | - | - | 108 | 108 | - | - | 165 | 165 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1174 | Direct Energy (fka:Strategic Energy,LLC) | u.s. | 6,155 | 6,155 | - | - | 2,439 | 2,439 | - | - | 3,716 | 3,716 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1616 | Smart Paper Holdings | u.s. | 153 | 153 | - | - | 61 | 61 | - | - | 92 | 92 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1581 | Spartan Renewable Energy | u.s. | 1,524 | 1,524 | - | - | 604 | 604 | - | - | 920 | 920 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1180 | Thumb Electric Cooperative | u.s. | 3,852 | 3,852 | - | - | 1,526 | 1,526 | - | - | 2,325 | 2,325 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1181 | Vectren Energy Delivery of IN | u.s. | 126,791 | 126,791 | - | - | 50,242 | 50,242 | - | - | 76,549 | 76,549 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1099 | Village of Blanchester | u.s. | 1,848 | 1,848 | - | - | 732 | 732 | - | - | 1,115 | 1,115 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1183 | Village of Sebewaing | u.s. | 1,047 | 1,047 | - | - | 415 | 415 | - | - | 632 | 632 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1184 | Wabash Valley Power Association Inc. (I) | U.s. | 60,907 | 60,907 | - | - | 24,135 | 24,135 | - | - | 36,772 | 36,772 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1487 | Wabash Valley Power Association Inc. (1) | u.s. | 3,993 | 3,993 | - | - | 1,582 | 1,582 | - | - | 2,411 | 2,411 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1488 | Wabash Valley Power Association Inc.(^) | u.s. | 37,183 | 37,183 | - | - | 14,734 | 14,734 | - | - | 22,449 | 22,449 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1185 | Wisconsin Electric Power Co. | U.s. | 652,295 | 652,295 | - | - | 258,479 | 258,479 | - | - | 393,816 | 393,816 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1189 | Wolverine Power Marketing Cooperative | u.s. | 23,634 | 23,634 | - | - | 9,365 | 9,365 | - | - | 14,269 | 14,269 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1191 | Wolverine Power Supply Cooperative | u.s. | 58,175 | 58,175 | - | - | 23,053 | 23,053 | - | - | 35,123 | 35,123 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1190 | Wolverine Power Marketing Cooperative | U.s. | 2,396 | 2,396 | - | - | 950 | 950 | - | - | 1,447 | 1,447 | - | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1194 | Zelienople | U.S. | 756 | 756 | - | - | 299 | 299 | - | - | 456 | 456 | - | - |


|  |  |  |  |  | Total ERO Assessments (NERC, RE \& WIRAB Costs) |  |  |  | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (Including WIRAB Assessments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total |
| 2009 | SERC | 1267 | Alabama Municipal Electric Authority | u.s. | 71,709 | 71,709 | - | - | 33,349 | 33,349 | - | - | 38,360 | 38,360 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1268 | Alabama Power Company | u.s. | 1,092,876 | 1,092,876 | - | - | 508,255 | 508,255 | - | - | 584,621 | 584,621 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1269 | Ameren - Illinois | u.s. | 816,847 | 816,847 | - | - | 379,884 | 379,884 | - | - | 436,962 | 436,962 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1271 | Ameren - Missouri | u.s. | 795,005 | 795,005 | - | - | 369,727 | 369,727 | - | - | 425,279 | 425,279 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1272 | APGI - Yadkin Division | u.s. | 651 | 651 | - | - | 303 | 303 | - | - | 348 | 348 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1273 | Associated Electric Cooperative Inc. | u.s. | 382,623 | 382,623 | - | - | 177,943 | 177,943 | - | - | 204,679 | 204,679 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1582 | Beauregard Electric Cooperative, Inc. | u.s. | 20,913 | 20,913 | - | - | 9,726 | 9,726 | - | - | 11,187 | 11,187 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1462 | Benton Utility District | u.s. | 5,319 | 5,319 | - | - | 2,474 | 2,474 | - | - | 2,845 | 2,845 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1274 | Big Rivers Electric Corporation | u.s. | 200,330 | 200,330 | - | - | 93,166 | 93,166 | - | - | 107,164 | 107,164 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1275 | Black Warrior EMC | u.s. | 8,394 | 8,394 | - | - | 3,904 | 3,904 | - | - | 4,490 | 4,490 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1276 | Blue Ridge EMC | u.s. | 23,146 | 23,146 | - | - | 10,764 | 10,764 | - | - | 12,382 | 12,382 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1463 | Canton, MS | u.s. | 2,471 | 2,471 | - | - | 1,149 | 1,149 | - | - | 1,322 | 1,322 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1277 | Central Electric Power Cooperative Inc. | u.s. | 311,351 | 311,351 | - | - | 144,797 | 144,797 | - | - | 166,553 | 166,553 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1278 | City of Blountstown FL | u.s. | 804 | 804 | - | - | 374 | 374 | - | - | 430 | 430 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1279 | City of Camden SC | U.s. | 4,122 | 4,122 | - | - | 1,917 | 1,917 | - | - | 2,205 | 2,205 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1280 | City of Collins MS | u.s. | 905 | 905 | - | - | 421 | 421 | - | - | 484 | 484 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1281 | City of Columbia MO | u.s. | 27,670 | 27,670 | - | - | 12,868 | 12,868 | - | - | 14,802 | 14,802 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1282 | City of Conway AR (Conway Corporation) | u.s. | 19,343 | 19,343 | - | - | 8,996 | 8,996 | - | - | 10,347 | 10,347 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1284 | City of Evergreen AL | U.S. | 1,248 | 1,248 | - | - | 580 | 580 | - | - | 668 | 668 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1285 | City of Hampton GA | U.s. | 496 | 496 | - | - | 231 | 231 | - | - | 265 | 265 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1286 | City of Hartford AL | U.s. | 630 | 630 | - | - | 293 | 293 | - | - | 337 | 337 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1287 | City of Henderson (KY) Municipal Power . | U.S. | 13,268 | 13,268 | - | - | 6,171 | 6,171 | - | - | 7,098 | 7,098 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1288 | City of North Little Rock AR (DENL) | U.S. | 19,429 | 19,429 | - | - | 9,036 | 9,036 | - | - | 10,393 | 10,393 | - | . |
| 2009 | SERC | 1289 | City of Orangeburg SC Department of Pu | U.S. | 18,653 | 18,653 | - | - | 8,675 | 8,675 | - | - | 9,978 | 9,978 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1290 | City of Robertsdale AL | U.s. | 1,701 | 1,701 | - | - | 791 | 791 | - | - | 910 | 910 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1291 | City of Ruston LA (DERS) | U.S. | 5,440 | 5,440 | - | - | 2,530 | 2,530 | - | - | 2,910 | 2,910 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1292 | City of Seneca SC | u.s. | 3,081 | 3,081 | - | - | 1,433 | 1,433 | - | - | 1,648 | 1,648 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1115 | City of Springfield (CWLP) | u.s. | 36,568 | 36,568 | - | - | 17,006 | 17,006 | - | - | 19,561 | 19,561 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1465 | City of Thayer, MO | U.s. | 317 | 317 | - | - | 148 | 148 | - | - | 170 | 170 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1293 | City of Troy AL | U.S. | 7,447 | 7,447 | - | - | 3,464 | 3,464 | - | - | 3,984 | 3,984 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1294 | City of West Memphis AR (West Memphi | U.s. | 7,999 | 7,999 | - | - | 3,720 | 3,720 | - | - | 4,279 | 4,279 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1583 | Claiborne Electric Cooperative, Inc. | u.s. | 12,239 | 12,239 | - | - | 5,692 | 5,692 | - | - | 6,547 | 6,547 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1584 | Concordia Electric Cooperative, Inc. | u.s. | 4,989 | 4,989 | - | - | 2,320 | 2,320 | - | - | 2,669 | 2,669 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1283 | Dalton Utilities | u.s. | 29,701 | 29,701 | - | - | 13,813 | 13,813 | - | - | 15,888 | 15,888 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1585 | Dixie Electric Membership Corporation | U.s. | 44,693 | 44,693 | - | - | 20,785 | 20,785 | - | - | 23,908 | 23,908 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1295 | Dominion Virginia Power | u.s. | 1,678,292 | 1,678,292 | - | - | 780,509 | 780,509 | - | - | 897,782 | 897,782 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1296 | Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC | U.s. | 1,629,198 | 1,629,198 | - | - | 757,678 | 757,678 | - | - | 871,520 | 871,520 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1466 | Durant, MS | U.s. | 622 | 622 | - | - | 289 | 289 | - | - | 332 | 332 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1478 | E.ON U.S. Services Inc. | u.s. | 676,989 | 676,989 | - | - | 314,842 | 314,842 | - | - | 362,147 | 362,147 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1297 | East Kentucky Power Cooperative | U.s. | 249,245 | 249,245 | - | - | 115,914 | 115,914 | - | - | 133,331 | 133,331 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1298 | East Mississippi Electric Power Associatic | u.s. | 8,875 | 8,875 | - | - | 4,127 | 4,127 | - | - | 4,747 | 4,747 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1299 | Electric Energy Inc. | u.s. | 26,703 | 26,703 | - | - | 12,419 | 12,419 | - | - | 14,284 | 14,284 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1300 | Energy United EMC | u.s. | 48,353 | 48,353 | - | - | 22,487 | 22,487 | - | - | 25,866 | 25,866 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1301 | Entergy | U.s. | 2,199,315 | 2,199,315 | - | - | 1,022,817 | 1,022,817 | - | - | 1,176,497 | 1,176,497 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1302 | Fayetteville (NC) Public Works Commissi | U.s. | 45,036 | 45,036 | - | - | 20,944 | 20,944 | - | - | 24,091 | 24,091 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1303 | Florida Public Utilities (FL Panhandle Loa | u.s. | 7,037 | 7,037 | - | - | 3,273 | 3,273 | - | - | 3,764 | 3,764 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1304 | French Broad EMC | u.s. | 10,407 | 10,407 | - | - | 4,840 | 4,840 | - | - | 5,567 | 5,567 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1305 | Georgia Power Company | u.s. | 1,719,948 | 1,719,948 | - | - | 799,882 | 799,882 | - | - | 920,066 | 920,066 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1306 | Georgia System Optns Corporation | u.s. | 763,558 | 763,558 | - | - | 355,102 | 355,102 | - | - | 408,457 | 408,457 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1479 | Greenwood (MS) Utilities Commission | u.s. | 5,685 | 5,685 | - | - | 2,644 | 2,644 | - | - | 3,041 | 3,041 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1307 | Greenwood (SC) Commissioners of Publi | u.s. | 6,678 | 6,678 | - | - | 3,106 | 3,106 | - | - | 3,572 | 3,572 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1308 | Gulf Power Company | u.s. | 235,060 | 235,060 | - | - | 109,318 | 109,318 | - | - | 125,743 | 125,743 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1586 | Haywood EMC | U.s. | 6,134 | 6,134 | - | - | 2,853 | 2,853 | - | - | 3,281 | 3,281 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1309 | Illinois Municipal Electric Agency | u.s. | 36,449 | 36,449 | - | - | 16,951 | 16,951 | - | - | 19,498 | 19,498 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1480 | Itta Bena, MS | u.s. | 321 | 321 | - | - | 149 | 149 | - | - | 172 | 172 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1587 | Jefferson Davis Electric Cooperative, Inc. | u.s. | 4,538 | 4,538 | - | - | 2,111 | 2,111 | - | - | 2,428 | 2,428 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1617 | Kentucky Municipal Power | u.s. | 14,563 | 14,563 | - | - | 6,772 | 6,772 | - | - | 7,790 | 7,790 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1481 | Kosciusko, MS | u.s. | 1,471 | 1,471 | - | - | 684 | 684 | - | - | 787 | 787 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1482 | Leland, MS | u.s. | 648 | 648 | - | - | 301 | 301 | - | - | 347 | 347 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1313 | McCormick Commission of Public Works | u.s. | 461 | 461 | - | - | 214 | 214 | - | - | 247 | 247 | - | - |


|  |  |  |  |  | Total ERO Assessments (NERC, RE \& WIRAB Costs) |  |  |  | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (Including WIRAB Assessments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total |
| 2009 | SERC | 1314 | Mississippi Power Company | u.s. | 200,927 | 200,927 | - | - | 93,444 | 93,444 | - | - | 107,484 | 107,484 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1315 | Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia | u.s. | 212,971 | 212,971 | - | - | 99,045 | 99,045 | - | - | 113,926 | 113,926 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1316 | N.C. Electric Membership Corp. | u.s. | 248,727 | 248,727 | - | - | 115,673 | 115,673 | - | - | 133,054 | 133,054 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1317 | North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power , | u.s. | 148,993 | 148,993 | - | - | 69,291 | 69,291 | - | - | 79,702 | 79,702 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1318 | North Carolina Municipal Power Agency $\ddagger$ | u.s. | 92,874 | 92,874 | - | - | 43,192 | 43,192 | - | - | 49,682 | 49,682 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1588 | Northeast Louisiana Power Cooperative, | u.s. | 5,597 | 5,597 | - | - | 2,603 | 2,603 | - | - | 2,994 | 2,994 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1574 | Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative | u.s. | 70,446 | 70,446 | - | - | 32,762 | 32,762 | - | - | 37,684 | 37,684 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1319 | Old Dominion Electric Cooperative | u.s. | 112,479 | 112,479 | - | - | 52,309 | 52,309 | - | - | 60,169 | 60,169 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1618 | Osceola (Arkansas) Municipal Light and I | u.s. | 5,419 | 5,419 | - | - | 2,520 | 2,520 | - | - | 2,899 | 2,899 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1320 | Owensboro (KY) Municipal Utilities | u.s. | 17,716 | 17,716 | - | - | 8,239 | 8,239 | - | - | 9,477 | 9,477 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1321 | Piedmont EMC in Duke and Progress Are | u.s. | 10,202 | 10,202 | - | - | 4,745 | 4,745 | - | - | 5,458 | 5,458 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1323 | Piedmont Municipal Power Agency (PMP | u.s. | 46,072 | 46,072 | - | - | 21,427 | 21,427 | - | - | 24,646 | 24,646 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1589 | Pointe Coupee Electric Memb. Corp. | u.s. | 5,340 | 5,340 | - | - | 2,483 | 2,483 | - | - | 2,857 | 2,857 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1266 | PowerSouth Energy | u.s. | 166,805 | 166,805 | - | - | 77,575 | 77,575 | - | - | 89,231 | 89,231 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1330 | Prairie Power, Inc. | u.s. | 31,035 | 31,035 | - | - | 14,433 | 14,433 | - | - | 16,602 | 16,602 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1324 | Progress Energy Carolinas | u.s. | 919,786 | 919,786 | - | - | 427,757 | 427,757 | - | - | 492,029 | 492,029 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1325 | Rutherford EMC | u.s. | 25,493 | 25,493 | - | - | 11,856 | 11,856 | - | - | 13,637 | 13,637 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1326 | South Carolina Electric \& Gas Company | u.s. | 448,181 | 448,181 | - | - | 208,432 | 208,432 | - | - | 239,749 | 239,749 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1327 | South Carolina Public Service Authority | u.s. | 144,199 | 144,199 | - | - | 67,061 | 67,061 | - | - | 77,137 | 77,137 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1590 | South Louisiana Electric Cooperative Ass | u.s. | 12,174 | 12,174 | - | - | 5,662 | 5,662 | - | - | 6,512 | 6,512 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1328 | South Mississippi Electric Power Associal | u.s. | 199,778 | 199,778 | - | - | 92,909 | 92,909 | - | - | 106,869 | 106,869 | - |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1329 | Southern Illinois Power Cooperative | u.s. | 29,850 | 29,850 | - | - | 13,882 | 13,882 | - | - | 15,968 | 15,968 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1591 | Southwest Louisiana Electric Membershif | u.s. | 48,894 | 48,894 | - | - | 22,739 | 22,739 | - | - | 26,155 | 26,155 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1619 | Southwestern Electric Cooperative, Inc. | u.s. | 8,319 | 8,319 | - | - | 3,869 | 3,869 | - | - | 4,450 | 4,450 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1331 | Tennessee Valley Authority | u.s. | 3,334,478 | 3,334,478 | - | - | 1,550,739 | 1,550,739 | - | - | 1,783,740 | 1,783,740 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1332 | Tombigbee Electric Cooperative Inc. | u.s. | 2,638 | 2,638 | - | - | 1,227 | 1,227 | - | - | 1,411 | 1,411 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1592 | Town of Black Creek, N.C. | u.s. | 253 | 253 | - | - | 118 | 118 | - | - | 135 | 135 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1593 | Town of Lucama, N.C. | u.s. | 423 | 423 | - | - | 197 | 197 | - | - | 226 | 226 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1594 | Town of Sharpsburg, N.C. | u.s. | 434 | 434 | - | - | 202 | 202 | - | - | 232 | 232 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1595 | Town of Stantonsburg, N.C. | u.s. | 466 | 466 | - | - | 217 | 217 | - | - | 249 | 249 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1333 | Town of Waynesville NC | u.s. | 1,961 | 1,961 | - | - | 912 | 912 | - | - | 1,049 | 1,049 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1334 | Town of Winnsboro SC | u.s. | 1,533 | 1,533 | - | - | 713 | 713 | - | - | 820 | 820 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1335 | Town of Winterville NC | u.s. | 1,037 | 1,037 | - | - | 482 | 482 | - | - | 555 | 555 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1596 | Valley Electric Membership Corporation, | u.s. | 3,375 | 3,375 | - | - | 1,569 | 1,569 | - | - | 1,805 | 1,805 | - | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 1597 | Washington-St.Tammany Electric Coope | U.S. | 22,213 | 22,213 | - | - | 10,331 | 10,331 | - | - | 11,883 | 11,883 | - | . |
|  |  |  | TOTAL SERC |  | 19,949,050 | 19,949,050 | - | - | 9,277,542 | 9,277,542 | - | - | 10,671,508 | 10,671,508 | - |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1246 | American Electric Power | U.S. | 1,900,912 | 1,900,912 | - | - | 351,407 | 351,407 | - | - | 1,549,506 | 1,549,506 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1435 | Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporati | u.s. | 205,903 | 205,903 | - | - | 38,064 | 38,064 | - | - | 167,840 | 167,840 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1247 | Board of Public Utilities (Kansas City Ks | u.s. | 130,534 | 130,534 | - | - | 24,131 | 24,131 | - | - | 106,403 | 106,403 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1620 | Board of Public Utilities, City of McPhers | u.s. | 46,604 | 46,604 | - | - | 8,615 | 8,615 | - | - | 37,989 | 37,989 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1468 | Cap Rock Energy | u.s. | 44,436 | 44,436 | - | - | 8,215 | 8,215 | - | - | 36,221 | 36,221 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1469 | Central Valley Electric Cooperative | u.s. | 41,966 | 41,966 | - | - | 7,758 | 7,758 | - | - | 34,208 | 34,208 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1556 | City of Bentonville | u.s. | 30,918 | 30,918 | - | - | 5,716 | 5,716 | - | - | 25,203 | 25,203 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1557 | City of Clarksdale, Mississippi | u.s. | 9,379 | 9,379 | - | - | 1,734 | 1,734 | - | - | 7,645 | 7,645 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1558 | Hope Water \& Light (HWL) | u.s. | 15,684 | 15,684 | - | - | 2,899 | 2,899 | - | - | 12,785 | 12,785 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1559 | City of Minden | u.s. | 9,206 | 9,206 | - | - | 1,702 | 1,702 | - | - | 7,504 | 7,504 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1248 | Independence Power \& Light (Independı | u.s. | 59,340 | 59,340 | - | - | 10,970 | 10,970 | - | - | 48,370 | 48,370 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1436 | City Utilities of Springrield, MO | u.s. | 169,791 | 169,791 | - | - | 31,388 | 31,388 | - | - | 138,403 | 138,403 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1249 | Cleco Power LLC | u.s. | 605,832 | 605,832 | - | - | 111,995 | 111,995 | - | - | 493,836 | 493,836 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1437 | East Texas Electric Coop, Inc. | u.s. | 22,238 | 22,238 | - | - | 4,111 | 4,111 | - | - | 18,127 | 18,127 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1250 | The Empire District Electric Company | u.s. | 289,252 | 289,252 | - | - | 53,472 | 53,472 | - | - | 235,780 | 235,780 | - | - |
| 2009 | spp | 1470 | Farmers' Electric Coop | u.s. | 22,447 | 22,447 | - | - | 4,150 | 4,150 | - | - | 18,297 | 18,297 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1438 | Golden Spread Electric Coop | u.s. | 245,622 | 245,622 | - | - | 45,406 | 45,406 | - | - | 200,216 | 200,216 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1251 | Grand River Dam Authority | u.s. | 235,428 | 235,428 | - | - | 43,522 | 43,522 | - | - | 191,906 | 191,906 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1252 | Kansas City Power \& Light (KCPL) | u.s. | 858,802 | 858,802 | - | - | 158,760 | 158,760 | - | - | 700,042 | 700,042 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1439 | Kansas Electric Power Coop., Inc | u.s. | 111,776 | 111,776 | - | - | 20,663 | 20,663 | - | - | 91,113 | 91,113 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1440 | Kansas Municipal Energy Agency (KCPL | u.s. | 39,788 | 39,788 | - | - | 7,355 | 7,355 | - | - | 32,432 | 32,432 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1598 | KCP\&L GMOC (Greater Missouri Operal | u.s. | 463,770 | 463,770 | - | - | 85,734 | 85,734 | - | - | 378,037 | 378,037 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1471 | Lafayette Utilities System | u.s. | 114,325 | 114,325 | - | - | 21,134 | 21,134 | - | - | 93,190 | 93,190 | - | - |


|  |  |  |  |  | Total ERO Assessments (NERC, RE \& WIRAB Costs) |  |  |  | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (Including WIRAB Assessments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regional } \\ \text { Entity } \end{gathered}$ | ID | Entity | Country | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total |
| 2009 | SPP | 1472 | Lea County Electric Coop | u.s. | 67,896 | 67,896 | - | - | 12,551 | 12,551 | - | - | 55,344 | 55,344 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1253 | Louisiana Energy \& Power Authority (LE | u.s. | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1441 | Midwest Energy Inc. | U.s. | 88,173 | 88,173 | - | - | 16,300 | 16,300 | - | - | 71,873 | 71,873 | - |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1443 | Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Cl | u.s. | 121,239 | 121,239 | - | - | 22,413 | 22,413 | - | - | 98,827 | 98,827 | - |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1442 | Northeast Texas Electric Cooperative, In | u.s. | 165,096 | 165,096 | - | - | 30,520 | 30,520 | - | - | 134,576 | 134,576 | - |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1255 | Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co. | u.s. | 1,521,416 | 1,521,416 | - | - | 281,252 | 281,252 | - | - | 1,240,164 | 1,240,164 | - |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1444 | Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority | u.s. | 137,637 | 137,637 | - | - | 25,444 | 25,444 | - | - | 112,193 | 112,193 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1561 | Public Service Commission of Yazoo Cit | u.s. | 6,556 | 6,556 | - | - | 1,212 | 1,212 | - | - | 5,344 | 5,344 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1473 | Roosevelt County Electric Coop | u.s. | 11,858 | 11,858 | - | - | 2,192 | 2,192 | - | - | 9,666 | 9,666 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1258 | Southwestern Power Administration (SP. | U.s. | 220,496 | 220,496 | - | - | 40,761 | 40,761 | - | - | 179,735 | 179,735 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1257 | Southwestern Public Service Co. (SPS-) | u.s. | 1,075,260 | 1,075,260 | - | - | 198,775 | 198,775 | - | - | 876,485 | 876,485 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1256 | Sunflower Electric Cooperative (SECI) | u.s. | 293,449 | 293,449 | - | - | 54,248 | 54,248 | - | - | 239,201 | 239,201 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1445 | Tex - La Electric Cooperative of Texas | u.s. | 25,374 | 25,374 | - | - | 4,691 | 4,691 | - | - | 20,683 | 20,683 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1475 | Tri County Electric Coop | u.s. | 22,157 | 22,157 | - | - | 4,096 | 4,096 | - | - | 18,061 | 18,061 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1260 | Westar Energy, Inc. | u.s. | 1,215,377 | 1,215,377 | - | - | 224,677 | 224,677 | - | - | 990,700 | 990,700 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1259 | Western Farmers Electric Cooperative | u.s. | 406,552 | 406,552 | - | - | 75,156 | 75,156 | - | - | 331,396 | 331,396 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 1501 | West Texas Municipal Power Agency | u.s. | 105,114 | 105,114 | . | - | 19,432 | 19,432 | - | - | 85,682 | 85,682 | - | - |
|  |  |  | TOTAL SPP |  | 11,157,603 | 11,157,603 | - | - | 2,062,618 | 2,062,618 | - | - | 9,094,985 | 9,094,985 | - | - |
| 2009 | tre | 1019 | ERCOT | u.s. | 11,974,880 | 11,974,880 | - | - | 2,747,057 | 2,747,057 | - | - | 9,227,823 | 9,227,823 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | 11,974,880 | 11,974,880 | - | - | 2,747,057 | 2,747,057 | - | - | 9,227,823 | 9,227,823 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Alberta Electric System Operator | Canada | 2,779,541 | - | 2,779,541 | - | 521,236 | - | 521,236 | - | 2,258,305 | - | 2,258,305 | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | British Columbia Transmission Corporatic | Canada | 3,519,662 | - | 3,519,662 | - | 699,689 | - | 699,689 | - | 2,819,973 | - | 2,819,973 | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Comision Federal de Electricidad | Mexico | 624,217 | - | - | 624,217 | 124,091 | - | - | 124,091 | 500,126 | - | - | 500,126 |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Aguila Irrigation District | U.S. | 1,539 | 1,539 | - | - | 253 | 253 | - | - | 1,286 | 1,286 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Aha Macav Power Service | u.s. | 1,347 | 1,347 | - | - | 221 | 221 | - | - | 1,125 | 1,125 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Ajo Improvement District | u.s. | 755 | 755 | - | - | 124 | 124 | - | - | 631 | 631 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Ak-Chin | u.s. | 1,675 | 1,675 | - | - | 275 | 275 | - | - | 1,400 | 1,400 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | ALCOA Inc. | u.s. | 134,934 | 134,934 | - | - | 22,158 | 22,158 | - | - | 112,776 | 112,776 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Arizona Public Service Company | u.s. | 1,666,570 | 1,666,570 | - | - | 273,675 | 273,675 | - | - | 1,392,895 | 1,392,895 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Arkansas River Power Authority (ARPA) | u.s. | 13,414 | 13,414 | - | - | 2,203 | 2,203 | - | - | 11,211 | 11,211 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Arlington Valley | u.s. | 64 | 64 | - | - | 11 | 11 | - | - | 54 | 54 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Avista Adjusted LSE NEL | u.s. | 517,327 | 517,327 | - | - | 84,953 | 84,953 | - | - | 432,374 | 432,374 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | AVISTA CORPORATION | u.s. | 12,546 | 12,546 | - | - | 2,060 | 2,060 | - | - | 10,486 | 10,486 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Barrick Gold Strike | u.s. | 68,045 | 68,045 | - | - | 11,174 | 11,174 | - | - | 56,871 | 56,871 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Basin Electric Power Cooperative | u.s. | 166,149 | 166,149 | - | - | 27,284 | 27,284 | - | - | 138,865 | 138,865 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Basin Electric Power Cooperative (WAU) | u.s. | 3,121 | 3,121 | - | - | 513 | 513 | - | - | 2,609 | 2,609 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | benton rea | u.s. | 31,591 | 31,591 | - | - | 5,188 | 5,188 | - | - | 26,404 | 26,404 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Big Bend Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Avist | u.s. | 10,114 | 10,114 | - | - | 1,661 | 1,661 | - | - | 8,453 | 8,453 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | BIG BEND ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, I | u.s. | 18,808 | 18,808 | - | - | 3,089 | 3,089 | - | - | 15,720 | 15,720 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | BLACHLY-LANE ELECTRIC COOPERA* | u.s. | 7,709 | 7,709 | - | - | 1,266 | 1,266 | - | - | 6,443 | 6,443 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Black Hills Power | u.s. | 101,073 | 101,073 | - | - | 16,598 | 16,598 | - | - | 84,476 | 84,476 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Black Hills State University (State of SD) | u.s. | 945 | 945 | - | - | 155 | 155 | - | - | 790 | 790 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Black Hills Wyoming, Inc. | u.s. | 242,160 | 242,160 | - | - | 39,766 | 39,766 | - | - | 202,394 | 202,394 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Bonneville Power (NorthWestern Energy, | U.s. | 1,679 | 1,679 | - | - | 276 | 276 | - | - | 1,403 | 1,403 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Bonneville Power (PUD No. 2) | u.s. | 7,618 | 7,618 | - | - | 1,251 | 1,251 | - | - | 6,367 | 6,367 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Bonneville Power Administration (Avista) | u.s. | 898 | 898 | - | - | 148 | 148 | - | - | 751 | 751 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Bonneville Power Adminstration | u.s. | 206,831 | 206,831 | - | - | 33,965 | 33,965 | - | - | 172,866 | 172,866 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | BPA | u.s. | 10,640 | 10,640 | - | - | 1,747 | 1,747 | - | - | 8,893 | 8,893 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | BPA - Power Business Line | u.s. | 403 | 403 | - | - | 66 | 66 | - | - | 337 | 337 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Buckeye Water Conservation and Draina | u.s. | 971 | 971 | - | - | 159 | 159 | - | - | 812 | 812 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | California ISO | u.s. | 12,581,844 | 12,581,844 | - | - | 2,066,123 | 2,066,123 | - | - | 10,515,721 | 10,515,721 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Canby Public Utility Board | U.S. | 9,658 | 9,658 | - | - | 1,586 | 1,586 | - | - | 8,072 | 8,072 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Central Arizona Water Conservation Dist | U.s. | 143,483 | 143,483 | - | - | 23,562 | 23,562 | - | - | 119,921 | 119,921 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CENTRAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, I | U.S. | 28,134 | 28,134 | - | - | 4,620 | 4,620 | - | - | 23,514 | 23,514 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CENTRAL LINCOLN PUD | U.S. | 72,962 | 72,962 | - | - | 11,981 | 11,981 | - | - | 60,980 | 60,980 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Central Montana | U.S. | 3,477 | 3,477 | - | - | 571 | 571 | - | - | 2,906 | 2,906 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CENTRAL MONTANA ELECTRIC POWE | u.s. | 4,060 | 4,060 | - | - | 667 | 667 | - | - | 3,393 | 3,393 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF ALBION | u.s. | 194 | 194 | - | - | 32 | 32 | - | - | 162 | 162 | - | - |

[^2]|  |  |  |  |  | Total ERO Assessments (NERC, RE \& WIRAB Costs) |  |  |  | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (Including WIRAB Assessments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Regional Entity | ID | Entity | Country | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Aztec | u.s. | 2,076 | 2,076 | - | - | 341 | 341 | - | - | 1,735 | 1,735 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF BANDON | u.s. | 3,655 | 3,655 | - | - | 600 | 600 | - | - | 3,055 | 3,055 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | CITY OF BONNERS FERRY | u.s. | 3,791 | 3,791 | - | - | 623 | 623 | - | - | 3,168 | 3,168 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Boulder | u.s. | 9,664 | 9,664 | - | - | 1,587 | 1,587 | - | - | 8,077 | 8,077 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF BURLEY | u.s. | 6,590 | 6,590 | - | - | 1,082 | 1,082 | - | - | 5,508 | 5,508 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Burlington | u.s. | 1,661 | 1,661 | - | - | 273 | 273 | - | - | 1,388 | 1,388 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF CASCADE LOCKS | u.s. | 1,170 | 1,170 | - | - | 192 | 192 | - | - | 978 | 978 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | CITY OF CENTRALIA | u.s. | 15,040 | 15,040 | - | - | 2,470 | 2,470 | - | - | 12,570 | 12,570 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF CHENEY | u.s. | 7,457 | 7,457 | - | - | 1,225 | 1,225 | - | - | 6,233 | 6,233 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | CITY OF CHEWELAH | u.s. | 1,341 | 1,341 | - | - | 220 | 220 | - | - | 1,121 | 1,121 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF DECLO | u.s. | 162 | 162 | - | - | 27 | 27 | - | - | 136 | 136 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | CITY OF DRAIN | u.s. | 950 | 950 | - | - | 156 | 156 | - | - | 794 | 794 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF ELLENSBURG | u.s. | 12,058 | 12,058 | - | - | 1,980 | 1,980 | - | - | 10,078 | 10,078 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Fallon | u.s. | 6,334 | 6,334 | - | - | 1,040 | 1,040 | - | - | 5,294 | 5,294 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF FOREST GROVE | u.s. | 13,439 | 13,439 | - | - | 2,207 | 2,207 | - | - | 11,232 | 11,232 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Gallup | U.s. | 12,316 | 12,316 | - | - | 2,022 | 2,022 | - | - | 10,294 | 10,294 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF HERMISTON DBA HERMISTO | u.s. | 6,201 | 6,201 | - | - | 1,018 | 1,018 | - | - | 5,182 | 5,182 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF HEYBURN | u.s. | 2,189 | 2,189 | - | - | 359 | 359 | - | - | 1,829 | 1,829 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Las Vegas | u.s. | 470 | 470 | - | - | 77 | 77 | - | - | 393 | 393 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MCCLEARY | U.S. | 1,860 | 1,860 | - | - | 305 | 305 | - | - | 1,554 | 1,554 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MCMINNVILLE | u.s. | 38,317 | 38,317 | - | - | 6,292 | 6,292 | - | - | 32,024 | 32,024 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MILTON | U.S. | 3,570 | 3,570 | - | - | 586 | 586 | - | - | 2,983 | 2,983 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MILTON-FREEWATER | u.s. | 6,474 | 6,474 | - | - | 1,063 | 1,063 | - | - | 5,410 | 5,410 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MINIDOKA | u.s. | 55 | 55 | - | - | 9 | 9 | - | - | 46 | 46 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF MONMOUTH | u.s. | 3,946 | 3,946 | - | - | 648 | 648 | - | - | 3,298 | 3,298 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Needles | U.S. | 2,138 | 2,138 | - | - | 351 | 351 | - | - | 1,787 | 1,787 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF PLUMMER | u.s. | 1,893 | 1,893 | - | - | 311 | 311 | - | - | 1,583 | 1,583 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF PORT ANGELES | U.s. | 37,625 | 37,625 | - | - | 6,179 | 6,179 | - | - | 31,447 | 31,447 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Redding | u.s. | 35,526 | 35,526 | - | - | 5,834 | 5,834 | - | - | 29,692 | 29,692 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF RICHLAND | U.S. | 47,303 | 47,303 | - | - | 7,768 | 7,768 | - | - | 39,536 | 39,536 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Roseville | u.s. | 68,937 | 68,937 | - | - | 11,320 | 11,320 | - | - | 57,616 | 57,616 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF RUPERT | u.s. | 4,460 | 4,460 | - | - | 732 | 732 | - | - | 3,728 | 3,728 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Shasta Lake | u.s. | 9,756 | 9,756 | - | - | 1,602 | 1,602 | - | - | 8,154 | 8,154 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF TACOMA DBA TACOMA POWE | u.s. | 21 | 21 | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | 18 | 18 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF TROY | u.s. | 967 | 967 | - | - | 159 | 159 | - | - | 808 | 808 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | CITY OF WEISER | u.s. | 2,984 | 2,984 | - | - | 490 | 490 | - | - | 2,494 | 2,494 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Williams | u.s. | 2,145 | 2,145 | - | - | 352 | 352 | - | - | 1,792 | 1,792 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | CLARK PUBLIC UTLIITIES | u.s. | 248,749 | 248,749 | - | - | 40,848 | 40,848 | - | - | 207,901 | 207,901 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CLATSKANIE PUD | u.s. | 53,031 | 53,031 | - | - | 8,709 | 8,709 | - | - | 44,323 | 44,323 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CLEARWATER COOPERATIVE, INC | u.s. | 2,408 | 2,408 | - | - | 395 | 395 | - | - | 2,013 | 2,013 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Clearwater Power Company | u.s. | 8,775 | 8,775 | - | - | 1,441 | 1,441 | - | - | 7,334 | 7,334 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Colorado River Agency-Bureau of Indian | u.s. | 201 | 201 | - | - | 33 | 33 | - | - | 168 | 168 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Colorado River Commission | u.s. | 38,509 | 38,509 | - | - | 6,324 | 6,324 | - | - | 32,185 | 32,185 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Colorado Springs Utilities | u.s. | 228,315 | 228,315 | - | - | 37,493 | 37,493 | - | - | 190,822 | 190,822 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA BASIN ELECTRIC COOPER | u.s. | 5,798 | 5,798 | - | - | 952 | 952 | - | - | 4,846 | 4,846 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA FALLS ALUMINUM COMPAI | U.S. | 18,005 | 18,005 | - | - | 2,957 | 2,957 | - | - | 15,048 | 15,048 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA POWER COOPERATIVEAS | u.s. | 1,247 | 1,247 | - | - | 205 | 205 | - | - | 1,042 | 1,042 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA REA | u.s. | 16,502 | 16,502 | - | - | 2,710 | 2,710 | - | - | 13,792 | 13,792 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COLUMBIA RIVER PUD (BPA) | u.s. | 9,172 | 9,172 | - | - | 1,506 | 1,506 | - | - | 7,666 | 7,666 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Columbia River PUD (PGE) | u.s. | 17,210 | 17,210 | - | - | 2,826 | 2,826 | - | - | 14,384 | 14,384 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CONSOLIDATED IRRIGATION DISTRIC ${ }^{\circ}$ | u.s. | 111 | 111 | - | - | 18 | 18 | - | - | 93 | 93 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Constellation New Energy, Inc. | u.s. | 1,597 | 1,597 | - | - | 262 | 262 | - | - | 1,335 | 1,335 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CONSUMERS POWER, INC. | U.s. | 22,998 | 22,998 | - | - | 3,777 | 3,777 | - | - | 19,221 | 19,221 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | COOS-CURRY ELECTRIC COOPERATI | U.S. | 19,076 | 19,076 | - | - | 3,132 | 3,132 | - | - | 15,943 | 15,943 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Deseret Generation \& Transmission Co-c | u.s. | 3,697 | 3,697 | - | - | 607 | 607 | - | - | 3,090 | 3,090 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Douglas - Palasades | u.s. | 1,010 | 1,010 | - | - | 166 | 166 | - | - | 844 | 844 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | DOUGLAS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, | U.s. | 5,248 | 5,248 | - | - | 862 | 862 | - | - | 4,386 | 4,386 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | EAST END MUTUAL ELECTRIC COMP' | u.s. | 1,219 | 1,219 | - | - | 200 | 200 | - | - | 1,019 | 1,019 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | El Paso Electric Company | u.s. | 418,184 | 418,184 | - | - | 68,672 | 68,672 | - | - | 349,512 | 349,512 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical District \#2 | u.s. | 9,772 | 9,772 | - | - | 1,605 | 1,605 | - | - | 8,167 | 8,167 | - | - |

[^3]| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regional } \\ \text { Entity } \end{gathered}$ | ID | Entity | Country | Total ERO Assessments (NERC, RE \& WIRAB Costs) |  |  |  | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (Including WIRAB Assessments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical District No. 6 of Pinal County | u.s. | 65 | 65 | - | - | 11 | 11 | - | - | 54 | 54 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical District No. 7 of Mariopa Count) | u.s. | 1,530 | 1,530 | - | - | 251 | 251 | - | - | 1,278 | 1,278 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical District No. 8 of Mariopa Count) | u.s. | 14,210 | 14,210 | - | - | 2,333 | 2,333 | - | - | 11,876 | 11,876 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Electrical Districts 1 \& 3 | u.s. | 32,039 | 32,039 | - | - | 5,261 | 5,261 | - | - | 26,777 | 26,777 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | ELMHURST MUTUAL POWER \& LIGHT | u.s. | 15,397 | 15,397 | - | - | 2,528 | 2,528 | - | - | 12,869 | 12,869 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | EMERALD PUD | u.s. | 27,790 | 27,790 | - | - | 4,563 | 4,563 | - | - | 23,226 | 23,226 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | ENERGY NORTHWEST | u.s. | 2,991 | 2,991 | - | - | 491 | 491 | - | - | 2,500 | 2,500 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | EPCOR Merchant and Capital (US) Inc. | u.s. | 3,877 | 3,877 | - | - | 637 | 637 | - | - | 3,240 | 3,240 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | EUGENE WATER \& ELECTRIC BOARD | u.s. | 135,417 | 135,417 | - | - | 22,238 | 22,238 | - | - | 113,180 | 113,180 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | FARMERS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. | u.s. | 244 | 244 | - | - | 40 | 40 | - | - | 204 | 204 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Farmington Electric Utility System | u.s. | 61,985 | 61,985 | - | - | 10,179 | 10,179 | - | - | 51,806 | 51,806 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | FLATHEAD ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, | u.s. | 76,836 | 76,836 | - | - | 12,618 | 12,618 | - | - | 64,219 | 64,219 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Fredonia, Town of | u.s. | 75 | 75 | - | - | 12 | 12 | - | - | 62 | 62 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | GLACIER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, If | u.s. | 9,877 | 9,877 | - | - | 1,622 | 1,622 | - | - | 8,255 | 8,255 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Grand Valley | U.s. | 12,656 | 12,656 | - | - | 2,078 | 2,078 | - | - | 10,578 | 10,578 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Grant LSE Load | u.s. | 200,762 | 200,762 | - | - | 32,968 | 32,968 | - | - | 167,794 | 167,794 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Harney Electric Coop | u.s. | 4,191 | 4,191 | - | - | 688 | 688 | - | - | 3,503 | 3,503 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | HARNEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, IN | u.s. | 6,246 | 6,246 | - | - | 1,026 | 1,026 | - | - | 5,220 | 5,220 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Harquahala Valley Power District | u.s. | 4,046 | 4,046 | - | - | 664 | 664 | - | - | 3,381 | 3,381 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | HERMISTON POWER LLC | u.s. | 138 | 138 | - | - | 23 | 23 | - | - | 115 | 115 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Holy Cross | U.S. | 53,687 | 53,687 | - | - | 8,816 | 8,816 | - | - | 44,871 | 44,871 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | HOOD RIVER ELECTRIC COOPERATIV | U.s. | 2,228 | 2,228 | - | - | 366 | 366 | - | - | 1,862 | 1,862 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | IDAHO COUNTY LIGHT AND POWER C | u.s. | 2,966 | 2,966 | - | - | 487 | 487 | - | - | 2,479 | 2,479 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Idaho Power | u.s. | 1,963 | 1,963 | - | - | 322 | 322 | - | - | 1,640 | 1,640 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Imperial Irrigation District | U.s. | 198,705 | 198,705 | - | - | 32,630 | 32,630 | - | - | 166,075 | 166,075 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Inland Power \& Light Co. | u.s. | 25,173 | 25,173 | - | - | 4,134 | 4,134 | - | - | 21,039 | 21,039 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | INLAND POWER AND LIGHT COMPAN' | u.s. | 26,975 | 26,975 | - | - | 4,430 | 4,430 | - | - | 22,545 | 22,545 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | IPCO | u.s. | 826,282 | 826,282 | - | - | 135,688 | 135,688 | - | - | 690,595 | 690,595 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | IREA-Transmission | U.S. | 109,947 | 109,947 | - | - | 18,055 | 18,055 | - | - | 91,892 | 91,892 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Kirtland Air Force Base | U.S. | 23,773 | 23,773 | - | - | 3,904 | 3,904 | - | - | 19,869 | 19,869 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Kootenai Electric Cooperative, Inc. | U.s. | 25,444 | 25,444 | - | - | 4,178 | 4,178 | - | - | 21,266 | 21,266 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | LA Dept. of Water \& Power | U.S. | 1,585,358 | 1,585,358 | - | - | 260,339 | 260,339 | - | - | 1,325,019 | 1,325,019 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | LAKEVIEW LIGHT \& POWER | u.s. | 15,655 | 15,655 | - | - | 2,571 | 2,571 | - | - | 13,085 | 13,085 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | LANE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. | u.s. | 12,772 | 12,772 | - | - | 2,097 | 2,097 | - | - | 10,675 | 10,675 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Las Vegas Valley Water District (SB211) | U.s. | 5,179 | 5,179 | - | - | 850 | 850 | - | - | 4,329 | 4,329 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Lincoln County Power District | U.s. | 4,529 | 4,529 | - | - | 744 | 744 | - | - | 3,785 | 3,785 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | LINCOLN ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, IT | U.s. | 6,752 | 6,752 | - | - | 1,109 | 1,109 | - | - | 5,644 | 5,644 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Maricopa County Municipal Water Conse | U.s. | 2,640 | 2,640 | - | - | 434 | 434 | - | - | 2,207 | 2,207 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | McMullen Valley Water Conservation \& [ | u.s. | 3,180 | 3,180 | - | - | 522 | 522 | - | - | 2,658 | 2,658 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Merced Irrigation District | u.s. | 23,721 | 23,721 | - | - | 3,895 | 3,895 | - | - | 19,826 | 19,826 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Mesa, City of | U.s. | 14,548 | 14,548 | - | - | 2,389 | 2,389 | - | - | 12,159 | 12,159 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | MIDSTATE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, | U.s. | 21,694 | 21,694 | - | - | 3,562 | 3,562 | - | - | 18,131 | 18,131 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | MISSION VALLEY POWER | U.s. | 22,888 | 22,888 | - | - | 3,759 | 3,759 | - | - | 19,129 | 19,129 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | MISSOULA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, | U.s. | 12,399 | 12,399 | - | - | 2,036 | 2,036 | - | - | 10,363 | 10,363 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Modern Electric Water Co. | U.s. | 13,119 | 13,119 | - | - | 2,154 | 2,154 | - | - | 10,964 | 10,964 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Modesto Irrigation District | U.s. | 141,725 | 141,725 | - | - | 23,273 | 23,273 | - | - | 118,452 | 118,452 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Montana Dakota Utilities (MDU) | u.s. | 1,765 | 1,765 | - | - | 290 | 290 | - | - | 1,475 | 1,475 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Mt. Wheeler Power Inc. | u.s. | 27,225 | 27,225 | - | - | 4,471 | 4,471 | - | - | 22,754 | 22,754 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska | u.s. | 37,165 | 37,165 | - | - | 6,103 | 6,103 | - | - | 31,062 | 31,062 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska (N | u.s. | 18,986 | 18,986 | - | - | 3,118 | 3,118 | - | - | 15,869 | 15,869 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Navajo Tribal Utility Authority (APS) | u.s. | 2,227 | 2,227 | - | - | 366 | 366 | - | - | 1,862 | 1,862 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Navajo Tribal Utility Authority (PSCofNM) | u.s. | 11,692 | 11,692 | - | - | 1,920 | 1,920 | - | - | 9,772 | 9,772 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc | u.s. | 25,652 | 25,652 | - | - | 4,212 | 4,212 | - | - | 21,440 | 21,440 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Nebraska Public Power Marketing | U.S. | 192 | 192 | - | - | 32 | 32 | - | - | 160 | 160 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Needles Public Utilities Authority | u.s. | 1,987 | 1,987 | - | - | 326 | 326 | - | - | 1,661 | 1,661 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | NESPELEM VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPE | U.S. | 2,865 | 2,865 | - | - | 470 | 470 | - | - | 2,395 | 2,395 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Nevada Power Company | u.s. | 1,195,274 | 1,195,274 | - | - | 196,282 | 196,282 | - | - | 998,993 | 998,993 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | New Harquahala | U.S. | 72 | 72 | - | - | 12 | 12 | - | - | 60 | 60 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Northern Lights Inc. | u.s. | 1,494 | 1,494 | - | - | 245 | 245 | - | - | 1,249 | 1,249 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | NORTHERN LIGHTS, INC. | u.s. | 16,720 | 16,720 | - | - | 2,746 | 2,746 | - | - | 13,974 | 13,974 | - | - |
|  | Appen | 2-B, | Otal ERO Assessment by LSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | Total ERO Assessments (NERC, RE \& WIRAB Costs) |  |  |  | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (Including WIRAB Assessments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Regional } \\ \text { Entity } \end{array}$ | ID | Entity | Country | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total |
| 2009 | wecc |  | NORTHERN WASCO COUNTY PUD | U.s. | 32,601 | 32,601 | - | - | 5,354 | 5,354 | - | - | 27,248 | 27,248 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | NWMT | U.S. | 16,478 | 16,478 | - | - | 2,706 | 2,706 | - | - | 13,772 | 13,772 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | OHOP MUTUAL LIGHT COMPANY | u.s. | 4,856 | 4,856 | - | - | 797 | 797 | - | - | 4,059 | 4,059 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | ORCAS POWER \& LIGHT COOPERATI | u.s. | 11,709 | 11,709 | - | - | 1,923 | 1,923 | - | - | 9,786 | 9,786 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | OREGON TRAIL ELECTRIC CONSUME | u.s. | 36,582 | 36,582 | - | - | 6,007 | 6,007 | - | - | 30,575 | 30,575 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Overton Power District \#5 | u.s. | 20,973 | 20,973 | - | - | 3,444 | 3,444 | - | - | 17,529 | 17,529 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PACIFICORP (BPA) | u.s. | 839 | 839 | - | - | 138 | 138 | - | - | 701 | 701 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PACIFICORP (PGE) | u.s. | 174 | 174 | - | - | 29 | 29 | - | - | 145 | 145 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PACIFICORP(WAPA) | u.s. | 11,237 | 11,237 | - | - | 1,845 | 1,845 | - | - | 9,392 | 9,392 | - |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Pacificorp (PACE) | u.s. | 2,451,278 | 2,451,278 | - | - | 402,536 | 402,536 | - | - | 2,048,743 | 2,048,743 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Pacificorp (PACW) | u.s. | 1,167,633 | 1,167,633 | - | - | 191,742 | 191,742 | - | - | 975,890 | 975,890 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Page Electric Utility | u.s. | 715 | 715 | - | - | 117 | 117 | - | - | 598 | 598 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PARKLAND LIGHT AND WATER COMP | u.s. | 6,740 | 6,740 | - | - | 1,107 | 1,107 | - | - | 5,633 | 5,633 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PENINSULA LIGHT COMPANY, INC. | u.s. | 34,023 | 34,023 | - | - | 5,587 | 5,587 | - | - | 28,436 | 28,436 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Platte River Power Authority | u.s. | 171,628 | 171,628 | - | - | 28,184 | 28,184 | - | - | 143,444 | 143,444 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PORT TOWNSEND PAPER CORPORA- | u.s. | 10,707 | 10,707 | - | - | 1,758 | 1,758 | - | - | 8,949 | 8,949 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Portland General Electric Company | u.s. | 986,530 | 986,530 | - | - | 162,003 | 162,003 | - | - | 824,527 | 824,527 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Public Service Company of Colorado | u.s. | 1,575,010 | 1,575,010 | - | - | 258,640 | 258,640 | - | - | 1,316,371 | 1,316,371 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Public Service Company of Colorado ( Xc | u.s. | 1,769 | 1,769 | - | - | 290 | 290 | - | - | 1,478 | 1,478 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Public Service Company of New Mexico | u.s. | 554,132 | 554,132 | - | - | 90,997 | 90,997 | - | - | 463,136 | 463,136 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO 1 OF DOUGLAS COUNTY | u.s. | 481 | 481 | - | - | 79 | 79 | - | - | 402 | 402 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF ASOTIN COUNTY | u.s. | 285 | 285 | - | - | 47 | 47 | - | - | 238 | 238 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF BENTON COUNTY | u.s. | 96,661 | 96,661 | - | - | 15,873 | 15,873 | - | - | 80,788 | 80,788 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD No. 1 of Chelan County | u.s. | 176,730 | 176,730 | - | - | 29,022 | 29,022 | - | - | 147,708 | 147,708 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF CLALLAM COUNTY | u.s. | 37,251 | 37,251 | - | - | 6,117 | 6,117 | - | - | 31,134 | 31,134 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF COWLITZ COUNTY | u.s. | 266,546 | 266,546 | - | - | 43,771 | 43,771 | - | - | 222,775 | 222,775 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD No. 1 of Douglas County | u.s. | 80,600 | 80,600 | - | - | 13,236 | 13,236 | - | - | 67,364 | 67,364 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF FERR Y COUNTY | u.s. | 5,389 | 5,389 | - | - | 885 | 885 | - | - | 4,504 | 4,504 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF FRANKLIN COUNTY | u.s. | 54,337 | 54,337 | - | - | 8,923 | 8,923 | - | - | 45,414 | 45,414 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF GRAYS HARBOR | u.s. | 59,969 | 59,969 | - | - | 9,848 | 9,848 | - | - | 50,121 | 50,121 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | PUD NO. 1 OF KITTITAS COUNTY | u.s. | 2,979 | 2,979 | - | - | 489 | 489 | - | - | 2,490 | 2,490 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF KLICKITAT COUNTY | u.s. | 16,307 | 16,307 | - | - | 2,678 | 2,678 | - | - | 13,629 | 13,629 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF LEWIS COUNTY | u.s. | 52,485 | 52,485 | - | - | 8,619 | 8,619 | - | - | 43,866 | 43,866 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF MASON COUNTY | u.s. | 4,347 | 4,347 | - | - | 714 | 714 | - | - | 3,633 | 3,633 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | PUD No. 1 of Pend Oreille County | u.s. | 52,580 | 52,580 | - | - | 8,634 | 8,634 | - | - | 43,946 | 43,946 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF SKAMANIA COUNTY | u.s. | 7,531 | 7,531 | - | - | 1,237 | 1,237 | - | - | 6,294 | 6,294 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | PUD NO. 1 OF SNOHOMISH COUNTY | u.s. | 387,522 | 387,522 | - | - | 63,637 | 63,637 | - | - | 323,885 | 323,885 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF WAHKIAKUM COUNTY | u.s. | 2,421 | 2,421 | - | - | 398 | 398 | - | - | 2,023 | 2,023 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF WHATCOM COUNTY | U.s. | 12,149 | 12,149 | - | - | 1,995 | 1,995 | - | - | 10,154 | 10,154 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 2 OF GRANT COUNTY (Avista | u.s. | 4,907 | 4,907 | - | - | 806 | 806 | - | - | 4,102 | 4,102 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 2 OF GRANT COUNTY (BPA) | u.s. | 2,659 | 2,659 | - | - | 437 | 437 | - | - | 2,223 | 2,223 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 2 OF PACIFIC COUNTY | u.s. | 16,979 | 16,979 | - | - | 2,788 | 2,788 | - | - | 14,190 | 14,190 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUD NO. 3 OF MASON COUNTY | u.s. | 37,996 | 37,996 | - | - | 6,239 | 6,239 | - | - | 31,756 | 31,756 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Puget Sound Energy | u.s. | 1,381,617 | 1,381,617 | - | - | 226,882 | 226,882 | - | - | 1,154,735 | 1,154,735 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | RAFT RIVER RURAL ELECTRIC COOPI | u.s. | 12,020 | 12,020 | - | - | 1,974 | 1,974 | - | - | 10,046 | 10,046 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | RAVALLI COUNTY ELECTRIC COOPEF | u.s. | 8,551 | 8,551 | - | - | 1,404 | 1,404 | - | - | 7,147 | 7,147 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | RBS Sempra Energy Solutions | u.s. | 102,258 | 102,258 | - | - | 16,792 | 16,792 | - | - | 85,465 | 85,465 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | RIVERSIDE ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD | u.s. | 1,089 | 1,089 | - | - | 179 | 179 | - | - | 910 | 910 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Rocky Mountain Generation Cooperative | u.s. | 2,160 | 2,160 | - | - | 355 | 355 | - | - | 1,805 | 1,805 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Roosevelt Irrigation District | u.s. | 1,620 | 1,620 | - | - | 266 | 266 | - | - | 1,354 | 1,354 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SALEM ELECTRIC | u.s. | 18,248 | 18,248 | - | - | 2,997 | 2,997 | - | - | 15,251 | 15,251 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Salt River Project (SRP) | u.s. | 1,521,434 | 1,521,434 | - | - | 249,842 | 249,842 | - | - | 1,271,592 | 1,271,592 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | San Carlos Indian Irrigation Project | U.s. | 8 | 8 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 6 | 6 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Seattle City Light | u.s. | 551,754 | 551,754 | - | - | 90,606 | 90,606 | - | - | 461,148 | 461,148 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Sierra Pacific Power Company | u.s. | 472,920 | 472,920 | - | - | 77,660 | 77,660 | - | - | 395,259 | 395,259 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SmGT/BPA | u.s. | 869 | 869 | - | - | 143 | 143 | - | - | 727 | 727 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Smud | U.s. | 621,214 | 621,214 | - | - | 102,012 | 102,012 | - | - | 519,201 | 519,201 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SOUTH SIDE ELECTRIC, INC. | u.s. | 2,974 | 2,974 | - | - | 488 | 488 | - | - | 2,486 | 2,486 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Southern Montana | U.S. | 37,646 | 37,646 | - | - | 6,182 | 6,182 | - | - | 31,464 | 31,464 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Southern Nevada Water Authority | u.s. | 44,586 | 44,586 | - | - | 7,322 | 7,322 | - | - | 37,264 | 37,264 | - | - |

[^4]|  |  |  |  |  | Total ERO Assessments (NERC, RE \& WIRAB Costs) |  |  |  | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (Including WIRAB Assessments) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regional } \\ \text { Entity } \end{gathered}$ | ID | Entity | Country | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Southwest Transmission Cooperative, Inc | u.s. | 147,215 | 147,215 | - | - | 24,175 | 24,175 | - | - | 123,040 | 123,040 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SPRINGFIELD UTILITY BOARD | u.s. | 46,294 | 46,294 | - | - | 7,602 | 7,602 | - | - | 38,692 | 38,692 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SURPRISE VALLEY ELECTRIFICATION | U.S. | 1,879 | 1,879 | - | - | 309 | 309 | - | - | 1,570 | 1,570 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tacoma Power | u.s. | 271,938 | 271,938 | - | - | 44,656 | 44,656 | - | - | 227,282 | 227,282 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | The Incorporated County of Los Alamos | U.S. | 20,922 | 20,922 | - | - | 3,436 | 3,436 | - | - | 17,486 | 17,486 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | TLLLAMOOK PUD | u.s. | 19,160 | 19,160 | - | - | 3,146 | 3,146 | - | - | 16,014 | 16,014 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tohono O'Odham Utility Authority | u.s. | 3,636 | 3,636 | - | - | 597 | 597 | - | - | 3,039 | 3,039 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tonopah Irrigation District | U.s. | 1,226 | 1,226 | - | - | 201 | 201 | - | - | 1,024 | 1,024 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Total NWMT Load Owner | U.S. | 481,502 | 481,502 | - | - | 79,070 | 79,070 | - | - | 402,432 | 402,432 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Town of Center-Transmission | U.s. | 661 | 661 | - | - | 108 | 108 | - | - | 552 | 552 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | TOWN OF COULEE DAM | u.s. | 1,035 | 1,035 | - | - | 170 | 170 | - | - | 865 | 865 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | TOWN OF EATONVILLE | u.s. | 1,584 | 1,584 | - | - | 260 | 260 | - | - | 1,324 | 1,324 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | TOWN OF STEILACOOM | u.s. | 2,302 | 2,302 | - | - | 378 | 378 | - | - | 1,924 | 1,924 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Town of Wickenburg | u.s. | 1,579 | 1,579 | - | - | 259 | 259 | - | - | 1,320 | 1,320 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tri State G \& T Assoc., Inc | U.s. | 105,976 | 105,976 | - | - | 17,403 | 17,403 | - | - | 88,573 | 88,573 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tri-State Generation \& Transmission Ass | u.s. | 461 | 461 | - | - | 76 | 76 | - | - | 386 | 386 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tri-State Generation and Transmission A | u.s. | 360,417 | 360,417 | - | - | 59,186 | 59,186 | - | - | 301,232 | 301,232 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tristate Generation and Transmission As | u.s. | 131,476 | 131,476 | - | - | 21,590 | 21,590 | - | - | 109,886 | 109,886 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Truckee Donner Public Utility District | U.S. | 8,150 | 8,150 | - | - | 1,338 | 1,338 | - | - | 6,812 | 6,812 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tucson Electric Power | u.s. | 736,070 | 736,070 | - | - | 120,873 | 120,873 | - | - | 615,196 | 615,196 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Turlock Irrigation District | U.S. | 111,952 | 111,952 | - | - | 18,384 | 18,384 | - | - | 93,568 | 93,568 | - |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground | U.S. | 1,066 | 1,066 | - | - | 175 | 175 | - | - | 891 | 891 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S. BOIA WAPATO IRRIGATION PROJ | u.s. | 932 | 932 | - | - | 153 | 153 | - | - | 779 | 779 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S. BOR EAST GREENACRES (RATHI | u.s. | 291 | 291 | - | - | 48 | 48 | - | - | 243 | 243 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S. BOR SPOKANE INDIAN DEVELOP | U.s. | 187 | 187 | - | - | 31 | 31 | - | - | 156 | 156 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S. DOE NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNC | U.s. | 210 | 210 | - | - | 35 | 35 | - | - | 176 | 176 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S. DOE RICHLAND OPERATIONS OF | u.s. | 10,253 | 10,253 | - | - | 1,684 | 1,684 | - | - | 8,569 | 8,569 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S.A.F. BASE, FAIRCHILD | U.S. | 3,026 | 3,026 | - | - | 497 | 497 | - | - | 2,529 | 2,529 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S.N SUBMARINE BASE, BANGOR | U.S. | 9,706 | 9,706 | - | - | 1,594 | 1,594 | - | - | 8,112 | 8,112 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S.N. NAVAL STATION, BREMERTON | u.s. | 13,531 | 13,531 | - | - | 2,222 | 2,222 | - | - | 11,309 | 11,309 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | U.S.N. NAVAL STATION, EVERETT | U.S. | 765 | 765 | - | - | 126 | 126 | - | - | 640 | 640 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | UMATILLA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE $f$ | U.S. | 51,962 | 51,962 | - | - | 8,533 | 8,533 | - | - | 43,429 | 43,429 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Unit B Irrigation District | U.S. | 1 | 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | United Electric Cooperative, Inc. | u.s. | 12,231 | 12,231 | - | - | 2,009 | 2,009 | - | - | 10,223 | 10,223 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Valley Electric Association | U.S. | 25,021 | 25,021 | - | - | 4,109 | 4,109 | - | - | 20,912 | 20,912 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Vera Water and Power | U.S. | 12,918 | 12,918 | - | - | 2,121 | 2,121 | - | - | 10,796 | 10,796 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Vigilante Electric Cooperative, Inc. | U.S. | 8,918 | 8,918 | - | - | 1,464 | 1,464 | - | - | 7,453 | 7,453 | - |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | WASCO Electric Cooperative | U.S. | 5,231 | 5,231 | - | - | 859 | 859 | - | - | 4,372 | 4,372 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Wauw | U.S. | 12,346 | 12,346 | - | - | 2,027 | 2,027 | - | - | 10,319 | 10,319 | - | - |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Wells REA | U.S. | 11,189 | 11,189 | - | - | 1,837 | 1,837 | - | - | 9,352 | 9,352 | - | - |
| 2009 | wECC |  | Wells Rural Electric Coop | u.s. | 35,469 | 35,469 | - | - | 5,825 | 5,825 | - | - | 29,645 | 29,645 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation \& Drainage Di : | U.S. | 400 | 400 | - | - | 66 | 66 | - | - | 335 | 335 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | West Oregon Electric Cooperative, Inc | u.s. | 3,852 | 3,852 | - | - | 632 | 632 | - | - | 3,219 | 3,219 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Western (WAPA-Sierra Nevada Region) | u.s. | 76,761 | 76,761 | - | - | 12,605 | 12,605 | - | - | 64,156 | 64,156 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Western Area Power | u.s. | 10,593 | 10,593 | - | - | 1,739 | 1,739 | - | - | 8,853 | 8,853 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Western Area Power Administration - CR | u.s. | 60,834 | 60,834 | - | - | 9,990 | 9,990 | - | - | 50,844 | 50,844 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Western Area Power Administration - De: | u.s. | 123,895 | 123,895 | - | - | 20,345 | 20,345 | - | - | 103,550 | 103,550 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Western Area Power Administration - LAI | u.s. | 84,471 | 84,471 | - | - | 13,871 | 13,871 | - | - | 70,600 | 70,600 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Western Area Power Adminstration | u.s. | 26,789 | 26,789 | - | - | 4,399 | 4,399 | - | - | 22,390 | 22,390 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Wyoming Municipal Power Agency | u.s. | 10,777 | 10,777 | - | - | 1,770 | 1,770 | - | - | 9,008 | 9,008 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Yampa Valley | u.s. | 32,786 | 32,786 | - | - | 5,384 | 5,384 | - | - | 27,402 | 27,402 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Yuma Irrigation District | u.s. | 165 | 165 | - | - | 27 | 27 | - | - | 138 | 138 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Yuma-Mesa Irrigation District | U.S. | 9 | 9 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 8 | 8 | - | - |
|  |  |  | TOTAL WECC |  | 45,996,237 | 39,072,817 | 6,299,203 | 624,217 | 7,761,345 | 6,416,329 | 1,220,925 | 124,091 | 38,234,892 | 32,656,488 | 5,078,278 | 500,126 |



| Summary by Regional Entity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | FRCC | 7,112,141 | 7,112,141 | - |  | 2,145,081 | 2,145,081 | - |  | 4,967,060 | 4,967,060 | - |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 11,046,138 | 9,073,289 | 1,972,849 | - | 2,785,636 | 2,220,953 | 564,683 |  | 8,260,502 | 6,852,336 | 1,408,166 | - |
| 2009 | NPCC | 18,576,576 | 10,114,216 | 8,462,360 | - | 5,923,966 | 2,716,692 | 3,207,274 | - | 12,652,610 | 7,397,523 | 5,255,087 | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 21,207,566 | 21,207,566 | - | - | 8,403,722 | 8,403,722 | - |  | 12,803,844 | 12,803,844 |  | - |
| 2009 | SERC | 19,949,050 | 19,949,050 | - | - | 9,277,542 | 9,277,542 |  | - | 10,671,508 | 10,671,508 | - | - |
| 2009 | SPP | 11,157,603 | 11,157,603 | - | - | 2,062,618 | 2,062,618 | - |  | 9,094,985 | 9,094,985 | - |  |
| 2009 | TRE | 11,974,880 | 11,974,880 | - | - | 2,747,057 | 2,747,057 | - | - | 9,227,823 | 9,227,823 | - | - |
| 2009 | WECC | 45,996,237 | 39,072,817 | 6,299,203 | 624,217 | 7,761,345 | 6,416,329 | 1,220,925 | 124,091 | 38,234,892 | 32,656,488 | 5,078,278 | 500,126 |
| Total |  | 147,020,191 | 129,661,562 | 16,734,412 | 624,217 | 41,106,967 | 35,989,995 | 4,992,881 | 124,091 | 105,913,224 | 93,671,567 | 11,741,531 | 500,126 |


| ${ }_{\substack{\text { Data } \\ \text { rear }}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { Regional } \\ \text { Enity }}}$ | 10 | Entity | country | Total NERC Assessments |  |  |  | NERC NEL Assessments |  |  |  | Penaty Sanctions |  | NERC Compliance Assessments (ex. 1 IESO\& N New Erunswick) |  |  |  | NERC Compliance Assessments (ex., Ouebec 8 A AESO) |  |  |  | NERC ITC Assessments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | otal | Total NERC Ass | c\|esments | Mexico Total | Total | NERC NEL Assemer | Canada Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mexicico } \\ \text { Totatal } \end{gathered}$ | Penaty Sar | us Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico | Total | US Total | canata <br> Total | Mexico | Total | US Total Canada Total |  |
| 2009 | Frcc | 1074 | Alactua, City of | u.s. | 1,154 | 1,154 |  | . | 685 | 685 | - |  | (322) | (32) | 442 | 442 | - |  | 283 | 283 | - | - | 67 | 67 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1075 | Batow, Cily of | u.s. | 2,852 | 2,852 |  |  | 1,692 | 1,692 |  |  | (796) | (796) | 1,091 | 1,091 |  |  | 700 | 700 |  |  | 165 | 165 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1076 | Chatahoochee, Cily of | u.s. | 412 | 412 | - |  | 245 | 245 | - | - | (115) | (115) | 158 | 158 | - |  | 101 | 101 | - | - | 24 | 24 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1077 | Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Assn | u.s. | 6,469 | 6,469 | - |  | 3,839 | 3,839 | - | - | $(1,806)$ | $(1,806)$ | 2,475 | 2,475 | - |  | 1,587 | 1.587 | - |  | 374 | 374 |  |
| 2009 209 | FRCC | 1078 | Florida Power 8 Ligit Co . Frorid Public Uutites Company | u.s. |  | 1,041,780 | - |  | 618,150 | ${ }^{618,150}$ | - |  | ${ }_{(290,828)}$ | ${ }^{(290,828)}$ | 398,626 | 398,666 |  |  | 255,588 | 255,588 |  | - | ,245 | 0,245 |  |
| 2009 209 | FRCC FRCC | 1079 1080 | Florida Public Uuilies Company Cainesulle Regional ulities | u.s. | ${ }^{3.883}$ | ${ }^{3,833}$ | : | - | 2,275 | 2,275 | - | - | (1,070) | (1,070) | 1,467 | 1,467 |  |  | 940 | 940 | - | : | 222 | 222 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1081 | Homestead, City of | u.s. | 4,587 | ${ }_{4,587}^{1,37}$ | - | : | ${ }_{2,722}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | - |  | ${ }_{(1,281)}$ | ${ }_{(1,281)}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,755}$ | ${ }_{1,155}$ | - |  | ${ }_{1,125}^{4,362}$ | ${ }_{1}^{4,125}$ | . | : | ${ }_{2}^{1,265}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1,265}$ |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1082 | JEA | u.s. | 120.586 | 120,586 | - |  | ${ }^{71,551}$ | ${ }^{71,551}$ | - |  | (33,663) | (33,663) | ${ }^{46,141}$ | 46,141 | - |  | 29,584 | 29,584 |  | - | ${ }_{6,973}$ | 6,973 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1083 | Lakeland Electic | u.s. | 28,298 | 28,298 | : |  | 16,791 | 16,791 | : |  | (7,900) | (7,900) | 10,828 | 10,828 | . |  | ${ }^{6,943}$ | 6,943 | , | - | 1,636 | 1,636 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1084 | Mount Dora, City of | U.s. | ${ }^{898}$ | ${ }^{898}$ | : |  | ${ }_{233}^{533}$ | - ${ }_{2}^{533}$ | : |  | (251) | ${ }^{(251)}$ | - ${ }^{343}$ | - ${ }_{143}^{343}$ |  |  | ${ }_{903}^{220}$ | ${ }_{903}^{220}$ | : | : | ${ }_{213}^{52}$ | ${ }_{213}^{52}$ |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1085 | New Smyma Beach, Uuilites Commission | u.s. | 3,679 | 3,679 | - |  | 2,183 | ${ }^{2,183}$ | - |  | (1.027) | (1.027) | 1,408 | 1,408 | - |  | 903 | ${ }^{903}$ | - | - | 213 | 213 |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | FRCC FRCC | 1086 | Ortand Uuilites Commission | U.S. | - ${ }_{\text {53,466 }}$ | 53,466 394,121 | $:$ | - | - ${ }_{\text {23, }}^{23,724}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}31,724 \\ 233,855\end{array}$ | $:$ | - | ${ }_{(1140,926)}^{(14026)}$ | ${ }_{(1140,026)}^{(14,96)}$ | 20,458 150,806 | 20,458 150,806 | $:$ | . | ${ }_{996,993}^{13,17}$ | ${ }_{996,993}^{13,177}$ | : | : | ( $\begin{array}{r}3,092 \\ 22,791\end{array}$ | ( $\begin{array}{r}3,092 \\ 22,791\end{array}$ |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1088 | Quincy, City of | u.s. | 1,369 | 1,369 |  |  | ${ }_{812}$ | ${ }_{812}$ | . | . | (182) | (382) | 524 | 524 | - |  | ${ }_{36} 9$ | - 386 |  | , | -79, ${ }^{22,91}$ | ${ }^{22,91}$ |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1089 | Reedy Creek Improvement District | u.s. | 11,624 | 11,624 |  |  | 6,897 | 6,897 | - | . | (3,245) | (3,245) | 4.448 | 4,448 | - |  | 2,852 | ${ }_{2.852}$ |  |  | 672 | 672 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1090 | St. Cloud, City of (OUC) | u.s. | 5,675 | 5.675 |  |  | 3,367 | 3,367 | - | . | (1,584) | (1,584) | ${ }^{2,171}$ | 2,171 | - |  | 1,392 | 1,392 | - | - | 328 | 328 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1091 | Tallahasse, Cily of | u.s. | 26,529 | ${ }^{26,529}$ | - | - | 15.742 | 15.742 | - |  | (7,406) | $(7,406)$ | 10,151 | ${ }^{10,151}$ | - |  | ${ }_{6}^{6.509}$ | ${ }^{6.509}$ | - | - | 1.534 | 1,534 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1092 | Tampa Electric Company | u.s. | 186,803 | 186,803 | - | - | 110,842 | 110,842 | - | - | (55,149) | (52,149) | ${ }^{71,478}$ | 71,478 | - |  | 45,830 | ${ }^{45,830}$ | - | - | 10,803 | 10,803 |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | FRCC | 11093 | Vero Beach, City of Wuachula Cily of | U.s. | ${ }^{7} 1.1228$ | 7,122 638 | : |  | 4,226 3 379 | ${ }^{4.226}$ | : |  | ${ }_{(178)}^{(1,988)}$ | ${ }_{(178)}^{(1,988)}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,725 \\ 24}}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{1,7477}$ | 1,747 | - | - | ${ }_{37}^{412}$ | ${ }_{37}^{412}$ |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | FRCC | 1094 | Wauchua, city of | U.s. | ${ }_{333}^{638}$ | ${ }_{333}^{638}$ | : | : | 379 198 | 379 198 | : | : | ${ }_{(93)}^{(178)}$ | ${ }_{(93)}^{(178)}$ | ${ }_{127}^{244}$ | ${ }_{127}^{244}$ | $:$ | . | ${ }_{82}^{157}$ | ${ }_{82}^{157}$ | - | - | 37 19 19 | 37 19 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1095 | Winter Pakk, City of | u.s. | 4,309 | 4,309 | - | - | 2,557 | 2,557 |  | . | (1,203) | (1,203) | 1.649 | 1,649 | - | . | 1,057 | 1,057 | - |  | 249 | 249 |  |
| 2009 2009 | FRCC | 1072 | Florida Municipal Power Agency Semino | u.s. | 58,176 162588 | 58, 176 162588 | - |  | ${ }^{34,519}$ | ${ }^{34,519}$ | - |  | (16,241) | (16,241) | 22,260 26220 | 22,260 | - |  | 14,273 | 14,273 |  |  | ${ }^{3,364}$ | 3,364 |  |
| 2009 | FRCC | 1073 | Seminole Electric Cooperative TOTAL FRCC | u.s. | $\frac{162.588}{2.15081}$ | $\xrightarrow{162.588}{ }^{2}$ | - | . | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 96,473 } \\ \hline 1.272 .804\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}96,473 \\ \hline 1.272804\end{array}$ | - | . | (455,389) $(59831)$ | ${ }_{(455883931)}^{(5)}$ | 62, ${ }_{8}^{62,721}$ | 62,212 820,791 |  |  | 39,889 526,269 | 39.889 5626.269 |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { 9,402 }}$ | 9,402 124,047 |  |
| 2009 | mRo | 1199 | Basin Electic Power Cooperative |  | 103,398 | 103,398 |  |  | 57.572 | 57.572 |  |  | (27,086) | (27,086) | ${ }^{37,126}$ | ${ }^{37,126}$ |  |  | 23,804 | 23,804 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1201 | Central Iowa Power Cooperative (CIPCO) | u.s. | 26,841 | ${ }_{26,841}$ | . | - | 14,945 | 14,945 | . | . | (7,031) | (7,031) | ${ }_{9,638}$ | ${ }_{9,638}$ | . | - | 6,179 | 6,179 |  | - | ${ }_{3,110}$ | ${ }_{3,110}^{110}$ |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1204 | Corn Betr Power Cooperative | u.s. | 18,396 | 18,396 | - | - | 10,243 | 10,243 | - | - | $(4,819)$ | (4,819) | 6,605 | 6,605 |  | - | 4,235 | 4,235 |  | - | 2.132 | ${ }^{2}, 132$ |  |
| 2009 209 | MRO | ${ }_{1210}^{1207}$ | Dairland Power cooperaitue Great River Eneray | U.S. <br> u.s. | 52,417 133,498 | 52,417 133,498 | : | : | 29,185 74,332 | ${ }_{744,382}^{29,185}$ | : | : | ${ }^{(13,731)}$ | ${ }^{(13,731)}$ | ${ }_{47,934}^{18,821}$ | 18,821 47934 | : | : | ${ }_{\substack{12,067 \\ 30.734}}$ |  |  | - | 6.074 15.470 | 6,074 15.470 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1222 | Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc. | u.s. | 38,491 | ${ }_{38,491}$ | - | - | 21,432 | 21,432 |  | - | (10,083) | (10,083) | ${ }_{13,821}$ | 13,821 |  | - | ${ }_{8,662}$ | ${ }_{8,862}$ | - | - | 4,460 | 4,460 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1230 | Nebraska Public Power District | u.s. | 127,666 | 127,666 | - | - | 71.084 | ${ }^{71,084}$ | - | - | (33,444) | (33,444) | ${ }^{45,840}$ | 45.840 |  |  | 29,391 | 29,391 |  |  | ${ }^{14,794}$ | ${ }^{14,794}$ |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1232 | Omaha Public Power District | u.s. | 103,869 | 103,869 |  |  | 57,834 | 57,834 | - | - | (27,210) | (27,210) | 37,295 | 37,295 |  |  | 23,913 | 23,913 |  |  | 12,036 | 12,036 |  |
| 2009 209 | MRO <br> MRO | ${ }_{1220}^{1237}$ | Southern Montana Generation and Trans1 Western Area Power Administaioo (UM) | U.S. | ${ }_{83,886}^{45}$ | 45 83,886 | : | : | [65 ${ }^{25}$ | 46,707 | : | . | (21,975) | (12) ${ }_{(129}^{(12)}$ | 16 30,120 | [166 | - | . | 10 19,312 | 10 19,312 | - | $:$ | 9,721 | 9,721 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1239 | Western Area Power Administration (LM) | u.s. | ${ }_{1,279}$ | 1,279 |  |  | ${ }_{712}$ | ${ }_{712}$ |  | - | ${ }_{(355)}$ | (335) | ${ }^{359}$ | ${ }_{459}$ |  |  | ${ }_{294}$ | ${ }^{294}$ |  |  | 148 | ${ }_{1} 148$ |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1217 | Manitoaa Hydro | can | 313,503 |  | ${ }^{313,503}$ |  | 138,323 |  | 138,323 |  |  |  | 89,200 |  | 89,200 |  | 57,193 |  | 57,193 | - | 28,788 |  | 28,788 |
| 2009 | MRO | 1235 | SaskPower | can | 251,180 |  | 251,180 |  | 110,825 |  | 110,825 | - |  |  | ${ }^{71,467}$ |  | 71,467 |  | 45.823 |  | 45,823 | - | ${ }^{23,065}$ |  | 23,65 |
| 2009 | MRO | 1195 | Alliant Energy (Alliant East-wPL\&Alliar | u.s. | ${ }^{279,913}$ | 279,913 |  |  | 155,855 | 155,855 |  | - | (73,327) | (73,327) | 100,506 | 100,506 |  |  | 64,442 | 64,442 |  |  | ${ }^{32,436}$ | 32,436 |  |
| 2009 209 | MRO | 1216 1220 | Madison, Gas and Eleetric | U.S. | 33,584 217.001 | 23,584 217,01 | : | : | 18,699 120.826 | 18,699 120.826 | : | : | ( ${ }^{(8,798)}$ | ( ${ }^{(8,798)}$ | 12,059 77.977 | ${ }_{77 \text { 17,997 }}^{12095}$ | - | - | 7,732 49.958 | 7,732 49.958 |  | - | 3,892 25,16 | 3,892 25,146 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1221 | Minesosta Power | u.s. | 101,393 | 101,393 |  |  | 56,456 | 56,456 | - |  | (26,561) | (26,561) | 36,406 | 36,406 |  |  | 23,343 | 23,343 |  |  | 11,749 | 11,749 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1226 | Montana-Dakota Uutities Co . | u.s. | 26,138 | ${ }^{26,138}$ | - |  | 14,554 | 14,554 | - | - | (6,847) | ${ }^{(6,847)}$ | 9,385 | ${ }^{9,385}$ | - |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,018}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6,018}$ |  |  | 3,029 | 3,029 |  |
| 2009 2009 | MRO | ${ }_{1233}^{1231}$ | NorthWestern Eneerg Oter Tal Power Company | u.s. | 14,315 43,068 | 14,315 43,068 | $:$ | : | 7,971 23,979 | 7,971 23,979 | : |  | ${ }^{(3,750)}$ | ${ }^{(3,750)}$ | 5,140 ${ }_{15,463}$ | 5.140 15,463 | : | - | ${ }_{\substack{3,296 \\ 9,915}}^{\text {3, }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3,296 \\ 9,915}}$ |  | : | 1,659 4,991 | 1,659 4,991 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1243 | Integrs Energy Group (WPS and UPPCC | u.s. | 136,177 | ${ }_{1}^{436,177}$ | - |  | ${ }_{75,823}$ | 75,823 | - | - | (35,673) | (35,673) | 48,896 | 48,996 | - | - | ${ }_{31,351}$ | ${ }_{31,351}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {4,780 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {4,7,780 }}$ |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1244 | Xcel Energy Company (NSP) | u.s. | 452,600 | 452,600 |  |  | 252,007 | 25,007 | - | - | (118,565) | (118,565) | 162,512 | 162,512 |  |  | 104,198 | 104,198 |  | - | 52,448 | 52,488 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1196 | Ames Municipal Electric System | u.s. | 7,328 | 7,328 | - | - | 4,080 | 4,080 | - | - | (1,920) | (1,920) | 2,631 | 2,631 | - | - | ${ }^{1.687}$ | 1,687 | - | - | 849 | 849 |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | MRO | ${ }_{1476}^{1604}$ | Allantic Municipal Uuilites Badger Power Maketing Authority of Wis | U.s. | 3,496 | 3,496 | : | : | 1,947 | +,947 | $\because$ | $:$ | ${ }_{(916)}^{(210)}$ | ${ }_{(916)}^{(210)}$ | 1,255 | 1,258 | : |  | 185 | 185 |  | : | ${ }_{405}^{93}$ | ${ }_{405}^{93}$ |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1200 | Cedar Falls Municipal Uutities | u.s. | 5,103 | 5.103 | - | - | 2,841 | 2,841 | - | - | (1,337) | (1,337) | ${ }_{1,832}$ | 1,832 |  |  | 1,175 | 1,175 |  | - | 591 | 591 |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | MRO | ${ }_{1605}^{147}$ | Central Minesesta Municipa Power Agen | U.s. | 4,305 1,761 | 4,7605 | : | : | $\stackrel{\text { 2,397 }}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{2,397}}{\text { 981 }}$ | : | : | ${ }_{\text {(1, }}^{\text {(1281) }}$ | ${ }_{\text {(1, }}^{\text {(1281) }}$ | (1,546 | 1,5436 | : | - | ${ }_{405}^{991}$ | ${ }_{405} 99$ | - | - | ${ }_{204}^{499}$ | 499 204 |  |
| 209 | MRO | 1203 | Escanaba Municipa Electric Uutily | u.s. | ${ }_{1,424}^{1,461}$ | ${ }_{1,424}^{1,461}$ | - | - | 793 | 793 | - | - | (373) | (373) | 511 | 511 | - | - | 328 | 328 | - | - | 165 | 165 | - |
| 2009 | MRO | 1205 | Falls City Water LLight Pepartent | U.s. | 386 | -386 | - | - | 215 | 215 | - | : | ${ }^{(1103)}$ | ${ }^{(1103)}$ | 138 1.511 | 138 1.511 | - | - | ${ }_{96}^{89}$ | 89 969 |  | $:$ | 45 488 | 45 488 |  |
| 2009 209 | MRO | 1206 1208 | Fremont Department of Ulities Geneseo Municioal Ulitites | u.s. u.s. | 4,209 643 | 4,209 643 | $:$ | $:$ | 2,344 358 | 2,349 358 | $:$ | : | ${ }_{\substack{(1,103) \\(169)}}^{(1,1)}$ | $\underset{\substack{(1,103) \\(169)}}{ }$ | ${ }_{2}^{1.511}$ | ${ }_{\text {1,511 }}{ }_{231}$ | $:$ | : | 969 148 | 969 148 | - | $:$ | 488 75 | 488 75 | $:$ |
| 2009 209 | Mro | 1209 1006 | Grand sland Uutiries Department Haran Municioa Uuitites | u.s. | ${ }_{6}^{6,868}$ | ${ }_{\text {6, }}^{6.888}$ | : | - | 3,824 | 3,824 | : | : | ${ }^{(1,799)}$ | (1,799) | ${ }^{2,466}$ | 2,466 | - | - | ${ }^{1,581}$ | ${ }^{1,581}$ | - | - | 796 | 796 |  |
| 2009 2009 | ${ }_{\text {MRO }}^{\text {MRO }}$ | ${ }_{1211}^{1606}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Haran Municiral Uutilies }}$ Hasting uvilies | U.s. | - ${ }_{\text {1,987 }}$ | - ${ }_{\text {3,981 }}^{187}$ | $:$ |  | 2,217 | 2,117 | : | : | ${ }_{\text {(1,043) }}^{(149)}$ | ${ }_{\text {(1,043) }}^{(149)}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,430 \\ \hline 67\end{array}$ | 1,430 | - | - | 43 917 | - ${ }_{9} 178$ | - | - | ${ }_{461}^{22}$ | ${ }_{461}^{22}$ |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1212 | Heartland Consumers Powe District | u.s. | 6,663 | ${ }_{6}^{6,663}$ | - |  | ${ }^{3,710}$ | ${ }^{3,710}$ | - | - | (1,746) | (1,746) | ${ }^{2,393}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,393}$ | - | - | 1.534 | ${ }_{1}, 534$ | - | - | 772 | 772 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1213 | Hutchinson Uutilies Commission | U.s. | 2,915 | 2,915 | - |  | ${ }^{1,623}$ | ${ }^{1,623}$ | - |  | (764) | (764) | 1,047 | 1,047 |  |  | ${ }^{671}$ | ${ }^{671}$ |  |  | ${ }^{338}$ | ${ }_{3}^{338}$ |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | MRO | ${ }_{1218}^{1215}$ | Lincan Electric System | U.S.s. | 31,095 5,191 | ${ }_{\substack{31,695 \\ 5,191}}^{\text {a }}$ | : | : | 17,648 2,890 | 17,648 2,890 | : | : | ${ }_{(0,}^{(8,303)}$ | ${ }_{(0}^{(8,303)}$ (1.360) | 11,380 1,864 | 11,380 1,864 1 | - | - | 7,297 1,195 | 7,297 1,195 |  | - | 3.673 601 | 3.673 601 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1223 | Missouri River Energy Serices | u.s. | 21,808 | 21,808 | - |  | 12,143 | 12,143 | - | - | (5,713) | (5,713) | 7,830 | 7,830 | - |  | 5.021 | 5,021 |  | - | ${ }^{2,527}$ | ${ }_{2,527}$ |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1224 | MN Municipal Power Agency (MMPA) | u.s. | 13,958 | 13,958 | - |  | 7,772 | ${ }^{7}, 772$ | - |  | $(3,566)$ | (3,656) | 5,012 | 5,012 |  |  | 3,213 | 3,213 |  |  | ${ }^{1,617}$ | 1,617 |  |
| 2009 2009 | MRO | 1627 1227 | Montezuma Municipal Light P Power | U.S.s. | ${ }_{9} 9.954$ | ${ }_{9,994}^{265}$ | : |  | 5,453 | 5,453 | : |  | ${ }_{\text {(2,566) }}^{(69)}$ | ${ }_{\text {(2, 566) }}^{(69)}$ | \% ${ }_{\text {9517 }}$ | \% ${ }_{\text {9517 }}$ | : | - | 2,21 2, 1 | ${ }_{2,265}^{61}$ |  | : | 1,135 1, | $\begin{array}{r}1,135 \\ \hline 31\end{array}$ |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1228 | Muscaine Power and Water | u.s. | 8.538 | ${ }^{8.538}$ |  |  | 4,754 | 4,754 | . |  | (2,237) | (2,237) | 3,066 | 3,066 |  |  | 1,966 | 1,966 |  |  | 989 | 989 |  |
| 2009 | MRO | ${ }_{1229}^{1229}$ | Nebraska city Uilities | u.s. | 1,634 | 1,634 | - |  | 910 | 910 | - | - | (428) | (428) | 587 | 587 | - |  | 376 | 376 |  | - | 189 | 189 |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | MRO | 1234 <br> 1236 | Rochester P Pubic Uutites Southern Minesotat Municipal Powe AgE | U.S. | 28,883 | 12 28.883 |  | $\because$ | 16,082 | 16,082 | : | $:$ |  |  | $\stackrel{4}{40,371}$ | 10.371 | - | - | 6.649 | 3 6.649 | - | : | 1 3,347 | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  |
| 2009 2009 | MRO | 1241 | Willmar Municipal utities | u.s. | ${ }_{2}^{2,882}$ | 2,882 |  |  | 1,605 | 1,605 |  | - | (755) | (755) | 1,035 | 1.035 |  |  | 664 | 664 |  | - | 334 | ${ }^{334}$ |  |
| 2009 | MRO | 1242 | Wisconsin Pubic Power, Inc. (East and 4 | U.s. | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 52, } 2 \text { 21 } \\ \hline 885636\end{array}$ |  | 54.683 | . | $\begin{array}{r}29.093 \\ \hline 1.45773\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 29,093 } \\ \hline .236,626\end{array}$ | 9,147 |  | (13,688) ${ }_{(581.809)}$ | ${ }_{(581,588)}^{(13,68)}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}18,761 \\ \hline 97461\end{array}$ | ${ }^{160.667}$ |  | $\frac{12,029}{614326}$ | $\xrightarrow{1212029}$ | 3.015 |  | $\begin{array}{r}6,055 \\ \hline 09218\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}6,055 \\ \hline 25.366\end{array}$ | 51.852 |
|  |  |  | New Engand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | NpCC | 1339 | New York | u.s. | ${ }_{1}^{1,510,928}$ | ${ }^{1,5050,928}$ |  |  | ${ }_{922,547}$ | 922,547 | $\vdots$ |  | ${ }_{(434,511)}^{(346,73)}$ | ${ }_{(434,511)}^{(3467)}$ | ${ }_{595,566}^{475,29}$ | ${ }_{595,566}^{475,29}$ |  |  | ${ }^{3804,361}$ | ${ }_{381,861}^{304,766}$ |  | : | ${ }^{34,4665}$ | ${ }_{4}^{34,466}$ |  |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1337 | Ontario | Canada | ${ }^{1,1757.701}$ |  | 1,175,701 |  | ${ }^{804,383}$ |  | ${ }^{804,383}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 32,590 |  | 332,500 | - | ${ }^{38,729}$ |  | 38,729 |
| 2009 2009 | NPCC NPCC | 1341 1388 | Quebec New Bruswick | Canada | 1,773,069 | - | 1,773,069 | - | 1,047,284 | - | 1,047,284 | - | - |  | 675,361 |  | 675,361 |  | 0 | - | 40 | : | 50,224 |  | 50,224 <br> 3,824 |
| 2009 | NPCC | 1340 | Nova Scoia | Canada | 142.414 | - | 142,414 |  | 67,.007 | - | 67,607 | . |  |  | 43.598 |  | 43.598 | . | ${ }_{\text {27, } 2 \text {,954 }}$ | : | 27,954 | : | (3.255 |  | (e.24 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL NPCC |  | 5.923,966 | 2.716,692 | 3,207,274 |  | 3,659,263 | 1,660.563 | 1,998,700 | . | (781,264) | (781,264) | 1,789,804 | 1.070,845 | 718,959 | - | 1.079,980 | 686.597 | 393,384 | - | 176,182 | 79,951 | 96,231 |
| 2009 | RFC |  | Alger Deta Cooperative Electric Associai | u.s. | 552 | 552 |  |  | 328 | 328 |  |  | (154) | (154) | 212 | 212 |  |  | 136 | 136 | - |  | ${ }^{32}$ | ${ }^{32}$ | - |
| 2009 | RFC | 1097 | American Municipal Power | u.s. | 30,870 | 30,870 |  |  | 18,331 | 18,331 |  |  | (8,624) | (8,624) | 11,821 | ${ }^{11,821}$ |  |  | ${ }^{7,579}$ | ${ }^{7.579}$ |  |  | 1,763 | 1,763 |  |
| 2009 2009 | ${ }_{\text {Rec }}^{\text {Rec }}$ | 1104 | Bay City | U.s. | 3,098 | 3,098 | : |  | ${ }_{\text {1, }}^{1.840}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,840} 1$ | : | - | ${ }_{(866)}^{(886)}$ | ${ }_{\substack{(886) \\(76)}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1,186 \\ 105}}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,1186}$ | - | - | 761 67 | ${ }_{67}^{761}$ | : | : | ${ }_{16}^{177}$ | ${ }_{16}^{177}$ | : |
| 2009 | ${ }_{\text {RFCC }}^{\text {RFC }}$ | 1101 | Buckeye Power Inc. (DUKE-CIIN) | U.s. | ${ }_{2,502}^{24}$ | 2,502 | - |  | 1,486 <br> 1.48 | 1,486 | : |  | ${ }_{\text {(699) }}($ (76) | ${ }_{\text {(699) }}\left({ }^{(69)}\right.$ | ${ }_{958}^{105}$ | 105 <br> 958 | . | - | ${ }_{614}^{67}$ | ${ }_{614}^{67}$ | : | : | 16 143 | 16 143 | : |
| 2009 | ${ }_{\text {Rec }}^{\text {Rec }}$ | 1100 | Buckeye Power Inc. (ATSI) | u.s. | ${ }^{9,536}$ | 9,536 | - |  | ${ }^{\text {5,662 }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{1,662}$ |  | - | (2,664) | ${ }^{(2,664)}$ | 3,652 | ${ }^{3,652}$ | - | - | ${ }^{2,341}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,341}$ | - | - | 545 | 545 | - |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | ${ }_{\text {RFC }}^{\text {Rec }}$ | ${ }_{1105}^{1102}$ | Cannelton utities | U.s. | ${ }_{865}^{157}$ | ${ }_{865}^{157}$ |  |  | ${ }_{514}^{93}$ | ${ }_{514}^{93}$ |  |  | ${ }_{(242)}^{(44)}$ | ${ }_{(242)}^{(42)}$ | ${ }_{331}^{60}$ | ${ }_{331}^{60}$ |  |  | - ${ }_{212}$ | ${ }_{212}^{38}$ |  | : | 49 | 49 |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Total NERC Assessments} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{NERC NEL Assessments} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Penaly Sanctions} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{NERC Compliance Assessments (ex. IESO \& New Brunswick)} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{NERC IIC Assessments} \\
\hline (oatar \& \(\substack{\text { Regional } \\ \text { Enity }}\) \& 10 \& Entity \& Country \& Total \& US Total \& Canada Total \& Mexico Total \& Total \& US Total \& Canada Total \& Mexico \& Total \& US Total \& Total \& US Total \& Canada Total \& Mexico \& Total \& USTotal \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Canada } \\
\text { Total }
\end{array}
\] \& Mexico \& Total \& US Total \& da \\
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1106 \& City of Croswell \& u.s. \& \({ }^{34}\) \& \({ }^{34}\) \& - \& \& 204 \& 204 \& \& \& (96) \& (96) \& 131 \& \({ }^{131}\) \& \& \& 84 \& \({ }_{84}\) \& \& \& 20 \& 20 \& \\
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1107 \& City of Crystal Falls \& u.s. \& 128 \& 128 \& - \& . \& 76 \& 76 \& \& - \& (36) \& (36) \& 49 \& 49 \& \& \& 31 \& 31 \& \& \& 7 \& 7 \& \\
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1108 \& City of Eaton Rapids \& u.s. \& \({ }^{738}\) \& \({ }^{738}\) \& - \& \& 438 \& 438 \& - \& - \& \({ }^{(206)}\) \& (206) \& 282 \& 282 \& \& - \& 181 \& 181 \& \& \& \({ }^{42}\) \& \({ }^{42}\) \& - \\
\hline 2009
209 \& \({ }_{\text {Rec }}^{\text {Rec }}\) \& \({ }_{1111}^{1110}\) \& Cily of taniton
City of that \& u.s.
u.s. \& \({ }_{\text {4,173 }}{ }_{34}\) \& 4.173
340 \& : \& : \& 2,478 \({ }_{202}\) \& 2.478 \({ }_{202}\) \& : \& : \& \({ }_{\text {(1,1, }}^{\text {(95) }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {(1,1, }}^{\text {(95) }}\) \& (1.988 \& 1.598
130 \& \& : \& 1,025
83 \& \({ }_{\text {1,025 }}^{10}\) \& : \& : \& 238
19 \& 238
19 \& \\
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1490 \& City of tansing \& u.s. \& 19,806 \& 19,806 \& - \& - \& 11,761 \& 11,761 \& - \& - \& (5,533) \& (5,533) \& 7.584 \& 7,584 \& \& - \& 4,863 \& 4,863 \& \& \& 1,131 \& \({ }_{1,131}\) \& \\
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1112 \& City of Marcuete Baard of Light P Pwer \& u.s. \& 3,194 \& 3,194 \& - \& - \& 1,897 \& 1,897 \& \& - \& (892) \& (892) \& 1,223 \& 1,223 \& \& - \& \({ }^{784}\) \& 784 \& \& \& 182 \& 182 \& \\
\hline 2009 \& \({ }_{\text {RFC }}^{\text {RFC }}\) \& 1116 \& City of Painessille \& u.s. \& 1,493 \& 1,490 \& - \& . \& \({ }^{885}\) \& 885 \& . \& - \& \(\left({ }^{(416)}\right.\) \& \({ }^{(416)}\) \& 571 \& 571 \& - \& - \& \({ }^{366}\) \& \({ }^{366}\) \& - \& - \& 85 \& 85 \& \\
\hline 2009
209 \& \({ }_{\text {RFCC }}^{\text {Rec }}\) \& 1114
1116 \& City of Porland
City St St louis \& u.s. \& \begin{tabular}{l}
334 \\
368 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
334 \\
368 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& : \& . \& 198 \& 198 \& \& \& \({ }^{(93)}\) \& \({ }^{(93)}\) \& 128 \& \({ }_{121}^{128}\) \& \& \& \({ }_{90}^{82}\) \& \({ }_{90}^{82}\) \& \& \& \({ }_{21}^{19}\) \& \({ }_{21}^{19}\) \& \\
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1117 \& City of Willamstown KY \& u.s. \& 508 \& 508 \& \& \& 301 \& 301 \& . \& \& (142) \& (142) \& 194 \& 194 \& - \& \& 125 \& 125 \& - \& - \& \({ }_{29}\) \& 29 \& \\
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1118 \& Cily of Wyandote \& u.s. \& 617 \& 617 \& - \& \& 367 \& 367 \& \& \& (172) \& (172) \& 236 \& 236 \& \& \& 152 \& 152 \& \& \& \({ }^{35}\) \& 35 \& \\
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1119 \& Cleveland Public Power \& u.s. \& 15,377 \& 15,377 \& - \& \& \({ }^{9,131}\) \& \({ }^{9,131}\) \& - \& - \& \((4,296)\) \& \((4,296)\) \& 5,888 \& 5,888 \& - \& - \& 3,775 \& 3,775 \& . \& - \& \({ }^{878}\) \& 878 \& \\
\hline 2009 \& \({ }_{\text {Rec }}^{\text {ReC }}\) \& 1120 \& Cloverand Electric Cooperative \& u.s. \& 2,224 \& 2,244 \& : \& : \& \({ }_{\text {1,320 }}^{1,322}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1,320 \\
3 \\
\hline 222
\end{tabular} \& - \& : \& (621) \& (621) \& 851 \& \({ }^{851}\) \& - \& - \& \({ }^{546}\) \& 546 \& \& \& \({ }^{127}\) \& \({ }^{127}\) \& - \\
\hline 2009
209 \& \({ }_{\text {Rec }}^{\text {Rec }}\) \& \({ }_{1122}^{1132}\) \& Cloverand (t.ka - Edison Saut Electric C
CMS ERM Michigan LLC \& u.s.
u.s. \& ¢, \({ }_{\text {c,268 }}^{1,214}\) \& 6,268
1,214 \& : \& : \& 3,722 \& \({ }^{3,722}\) \& : \& : \& \({ }_{(139)}^{(1.751)}\) \& \({ }_{(139)}^{(1,751)}\) \& 2.400 \& 2,400
465 \& : \& : \& \({ }_{1}^{1.539}\) \& 1.539

298 \& : \& : \& $\begin{array}{r}358 \\ 69 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 358
69 \& : <br>
\hline 2009 \& ${ }_{\text {RFCC }}^{\text {RFC }}$ \& 1124 \& Constelalation New Energy (MECS-Cons; \& u.s. \& ${ }_{4,561}^{1,294}$ \& ${ }_{4,561}^{1,214}$ \& ; \& \& 2,708 \& 2,708 \& ; \& ; \& ${ }_{(1,274)}$ \& ${ }_{(1,274)}$ \& 1,747 \& 1,747 \& \& ; \& ${ }_{1,120}^{298}$ \& ${ }_{1,120}^{29}$ \& \& \& 261 \& ${ }_{261}^{69}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& ${ }_{1123}^{1123}$ \& Constelation New Energy (MECS-DET) \& u.s. \& ${ }_{6,527}$ \& ${ }_{6,527}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }^{3,876}$ \& ${ }^{3,876}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(1,1823)}$ \& ${ }^{(1,1823)}$ \& 2,499 \& 2,499 \& \& - \& 1,602 \& 1,602 \& \& \& ${ }^{373}$ \& ${ }^{373}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RRC \& 1534 \& Constellation New Energy Inc. (ATSI) \& u.s. \& 1,897 \& ${ }_{1}^{1,897}$ \& \& - \& ${ }^{1,126}$ \& 1,126 \& - \& \& (535) \& (535) \& ${ }^{726}$ \& ${ }^{2726}$ \& \& - \& ${ }^{466}$ \& ${ }_{266}^{467}$ \& \& \& ${ }^{108}$ \& ${ }_{108}^{108}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& Rec \& 1125 \& Constelation New Energy Inc. (DUKE-C \& u.s. \& ${ }^{1,127}$ \& ${ }^{1,127}$ \& - \& - \& 669 \& 669 \& - \& - \& (315) \& (315) \& 432 \& 432 \& \& \& 277 \& 277 \& \& \& ${ }^{64}$ \& ${ }^{64}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RRC \& 1126 \& Consumers Energy Company \& u.s. \& 312,962 \& 312,962 \& - \& - \& 185, 39 \& ${ }^{135,539}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(87,434)}$ \& ${ }^{(87,434)}$ \& 119,442 \& 1199.842 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{76,839}$ \& 76,339 \& - \& \& 17,876 \& 17,776 \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& ${ }_{\text {RFCC }}^{\text {Rec }}$ \& 1128
1129 \& Detroit Edison Company
Dominion Retail (ATsI) \& u.s.
u.s. \& ${ }^{429,503} 9$ \& ${ }^{429.503} 942$ \& : \& : \& $\xrightarrow{255.042}$ ¢60 \& ${ }^{255,042} 50$ \& : \& : \& $\underset{(129092)}{(12992)}$ \& $\underset{(119,992)}{(263)}$ \& 164,469
361 \& 164,469
361 \& : \& : \& ${ }^{105,453}$ \& 105.453
231 \& : \& - \& 24,533 54 \& 24,533 ${ }_{54}$ \& $:$ <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1130 \& Dominion Retail Inc. (UUKE-CIIN) \& u.s. \& 3,481 \& 3,481 \& \& \& 2,067 \& 2,067 \& - \& \& (972) \& (972) \& 1,333 \& 1,333 \& - \& \& 855 \& 855 \& . \& - \& 199 \& 199 \& - <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1131 \& DTE Energy Trading \& u.s. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& ${ }_{\text {Rec }}^{\text {Rec }}$ \& 1166
1179 \& Duke Energy Indiana
Duke Energy kenucky \& U.S. \& 269.145
39.500 \& 269,145
39500 \& : \& : \& 159,820

23,45 \& | 159,820 |
| :--- |
| 23,455 |
| 10, | \& - \& : \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { (75,122) } \\(11.035)}}^{(120)}$ \& ${ }_{(11.055)}^{(75,122)}$ \& 103,063

15.126 \& 103,063
15.126 \& : \& : \& $\underbrace{\text { a }}_{\substack{66,081 \\ 9.698}}$ \& $\underbrace{\text { c, }}_{\substack{66,081 \\ 9.698}}$ \& \& : \&  \& 15,373
2,266
2, \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1178 \& Duke Energy Ohio \& u.s. \& 169,229 \& 199,229 \& - \& - \& 100,489 \& 100,489 \& - \& - \& (47,278) \& (47,278) \& 64,802 \& 64,802 \& \& - \& 41.549 \& 41.549 \& \& \& ${ }_{9,666}$ \& ${ }_{9,666}^{2,63}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& Rec \& 1608 \& Duke Energy Reail Sales (ATSI) \& u.s. \& ${ }^{17,387}$ \& ${ }^{17,387}$ \& - \& - \& 10,324 \& 10,324 \& - \& - \& (4,857) \& (4,857) \& ${ }^{6,658}$ \& ${ }_{6,658}^{6,072}$ \& \& \& 4,269 \& 4,269 \& \& \& ${ }^{993}$ \& 993 \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& ${ }_{\text {RFCC }}^{\text {Rec }}$ \& 11609 \& Duke Energy Retail sales (DUKE-CIN)
Eneryy \& U.S. \& 8,021 \& 8,021 \& - \& - \& 4,763 \& 4,763 \& - \& - \& (2,241) \& (2,241) \& 3,072 \& 3,072 \& \& \& 1,969 \& 1,969 \& \& \& 458 \& 458 \& $:$ <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1135 \& Ferdinand Municipal Light \& Water \& u.s. \& 380 \& 380 \& - \& - \& 226 \& 226 \& - \& - \& (106) \& (106) \& 146 \& 146 \& - \& \& 93 \& 93 \& \& \& 22 \& 22 \& - <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1138 \& Firstenerg \& u.s. \& 408,192 \& ${ }^{408,192}$ \& - \& . \& 242,387 \& 242,387 \& . \& - \& (114,039) \& (114,039) \& 156,308 \& 156,308 \& \& \& 100,220 \& 100,220 \& \& \& ${ }^{23,315}$ \& ${ }^{23,315}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& RFC \& 1137
154 \& Firstenery Soutions (ATSI)
Firstenery Soutions (MECS-DET) \& u.s. \& 211,960 \& 211.960 \& : \& - \& 125,863 \& 125,663 \& : \& : \& (59,216) \& (59,216) \& ${ }^{81,165}$ \& ${ }^{81,165}$ \& - \& - \& 52,041 \& 52,041 \& - \& \% \& 12,107 \& ${ }^{12,107}$ \& <br>
\hline 209 \& RFC \& 1550 \& Fistinergy Solutions (OUKE-CIIN) \& U.s. \& ${ }_{3,233}^{207}$ \& ${ }_{3,233}^{207}$ \& : \& . \& 1,920 \& 1,920 \& : \& - \& (903) \& ${ }_{\text {(903) }}$ \& 1,238 \& 1,238 \& - \& - \& ${ }_{794}$ \& 794 \& . \& - \& 185 \& 185 \& - <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1610 \& Gexa Energy \& u.s. \& 14,335 \& 14,335 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{8,512}$ \& ${ }^{8,512}$ \& - \& - \& (4,005) \& (4,005) \& 5,489 \& 5,489 \& \& - \& 3,520 \& ${ }^{3,520}$ \& \& \& 819 \& 819 \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& ${ }_{\text {RFCC }}^{\text {RFC }}$ \& 1141 \& Gerofetown

Glacial Energy (ATSI) \& U.s. \& | 521 |
| :---: |
| 9 | \& $\stackrel{521}{9}$ \& : \& : \& 309

6 \& 309
6 \& : \& : \& ${ }_{(3)}^{(145)}$ \& ${ }_{(14)}^{(145)}$ \& 199
4 \& 199
4 \& : \& : \& 128
2
2 \& 128
2 \& : \& : \& 30 \& ${ }_{30}^{30}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1612 \& Glacial Energy (MECS-DET) \& u.s. \& 254 \& 254 \& - \& \& 151 \& 151 \& \& : \& (71) \& (71) \& 97 \& 97 \& \& \& ${ }_{62}$ \& 62 \& \& \& 15 \& 15 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& Rec \& 1143 \& Hamerssile \& u.s. \& 52 \& -52 \& : \& : \& ${ }^{31}$ \& ${ }_{\text {31 }}^{31}$ \& - \& : \& (15) \& (15) \& ${ }^{20}$ \& 20 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{13}$ \& 13 \& \& \& ${ }^{3}$ \& 94 \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& ${ }_{\text {Rec }}^{\text {Rec }}$ \& 1144 \& Holand Board of Pubic Works
Hosies Enery \& u.s. \& \% $\begin{array}{r}6,889 \\ 6,586\end{array}$ \& 6.889
6,3586 \& : \& : \& $\begin{array}{r}4,091 \\ 37758 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}4.091 \\ 37758 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ \& - \& : \& ${ }_{(1,1.25)}^{(17764)}$ \& ${ }_{(1,1.25)}^{(17764)}$ \&  \& - $\begin{aligned} & 2.638 \\ & 24.39\end{aligned}$ \& \& \& ${ }^{1.691}$ \& ${ }^{1.691}$ \& \& \& - ${ }_{3}^{394}$ \& 394
3632 \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& RFC \& 1145
1148 \& Hosier Energy $\begin{aligned} & \text { Indiana Municipal Power Agency (DUKE, }\end{aligned}$ \& u.s.s.
u.s. \& ${ }^{63,586}$ \&  \& $:$ \& $:$ \& 37,58
16,089 \& 37,758
16,089 \& : \& : \& ${ }_{\substack{(17,64) \\(7,500)}}^{(1,7)}$ \& $\underset{\substack{(17,64) \\(7,50)}}{(1)}$ \& 24,349
10,376 \& 24,349
10,376 \& $:$ \& : \& $\underset{\substack{15,612 \\ 6,653}}{1,1}$ \& $\underset{\substack{15,612 \\ 6,653}}{\text { c, }}$ \& : \& \& ( $\begin{aligned} & 3,632 \\ & 1,548\end{aligned}$ \& 3,632
1,548 \& $:$ <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1485 \& Indiana Municipal Power Agency (NIPSC، \& u.s. \& ${ }^{3,573}$ \& ${ }^{3,573}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }_{2,122}$ \& ${ }^{2,122}$ \& - \& - \& (998) \& (998) \& 1,368 \& 1,368 \& - \& \& 877 \& 877 \& - \& \& 204 \& 204 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1486 \& Indiana Municipal Power Agency (SIIE) \& u.s. \& 5,279 \& 5,279 \& - \& - \& 3,135 \& 3,135 \& - \& - \& (1,475) \& (1,475) \& ${ }^{2} .022$ \& ${ }^{2}, 022$ \& - \& - \& 1,296 \& 1,296 \& \& \& 302 \& 302 \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& RFC \& 1149
1613 \& Indianapois Power $\alpha$ Light Co. \& U.S.s.
U.s. \& 141,248
0 \& 141,248
0 \& : \& : \& 83,874
0 \& ${ }^{83,874}$ \& : \& : \& ${ }^{(39,461)}$ (0) \& ${ }_{(39,461)}^{(0)}$ \& 54,088 \& \& $:$ \& : \& \& 34,680 \& - \& \& 8,068
0 \& ${ }^{8,068}{ }_{0}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1552 \& Integys Energy Senices (DUKE-CII) \& u.s. \& 177 \& 177 \& - \& - \& 105 \& 105 \& \& - \& (50) \& (50) \& 68 \& ${ }_{68}$ \& - \& - \& 44 \& 44 \& - \& - \& 10 \& 10 \& - <br>
\hline 2009 \& Rec \& ${ }_{1554}^{1553}$ \& Integrs Enerys Services (MECS.CONS) \& U.S. \& 2,297
2,305 \& 2,297
2,305 \& : \& : \& 1.364
1.369 \& 1,364
1.369 \& : \& : \& (642) \& (642) \& 880
883 \& 880
883 \& - \& : \& 564
566 \& 564
566 \& - \& - \& ${ }_{132}^{131}$ \& ${ }_{132}^{131}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1614 \& Inters \& u.s. \& ${ }_{2}^{2,347}$ \& ${ }_{247}^{2,395}$ \& \& \& ${ }_{1}^{147}$ \& ${ }_{147}^{1439}$ \& - \& - \& (69) \& (69) \& 95 \& ${ }_{95}$ \& - \& - \& 61 \& 61 \& - \& \& 14 \& 14 \& $:$ <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1151 \& Lebanon \& u.s. \& 2.608 \& 2,608 \& - \& - \& 1.549 \& 1.549 \& - \& - \& (729) \& (729) \& 999 \& 999 \& - \& - \& 640 \& 640 \& \& \& 149 \& 149 \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& ${ }_{\text {Rec }}^{\text {ReC }}$ \& 1154 \& Michigan Public Power Agency \& u.s. \& ${ }_{\substack{10,997 \\ 5145}}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}10,997 \\ 5145 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { 6,530 }}}^{\text {3,55 }}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{6,530}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(3,072)}$ \& ${ }^{(3,072)}$ \& ${ }^{4.211}$ \& ${ }^{4,211}$ \& - \& - \& 2.700 \& 2.700 \& - \& - \& 628 \& 628 \& - <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& RFC \& 1155
1158 \& Michigan South Central Power Agency
Midameican Energy Company Reaial \& U.s. \& ${ }_{\text {5,145 }}{ }_{273}$ \& ${ }_{\text {5, } 12145}^{273}$ \& $:$ \& : \& 3,055
162 \& ${ }_{1}^{3} 10.055$ \& $:$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{(1,46)}^{(1,47)}$ \& ${ }_{(1,46)}^{(1,437)}$ \& 1.970
104 \& ${ }^{1,970} 104$ \& \& $:$ \& ${ }_{\text {1,263 }} \mathbf{6 7}$ \& ${ }_{\text {1,263 }}{ }_{67}$ \& \& \& 294
16 \& 294
16 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1163 \& Northern Indiana Pubic Senice Co . \& u.s. \& 148,700 \& 148,700 \& - \& - \& 88,299 \& 88,299 \& - \& - \& (41,543) \& (41,543) \& 56,941 \& 56,941 \& - \& - \& 36,509 \& 36,509 \& - \& - \& 8,494 \& 8,494 \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& Rec \& 1154 \& Ontonago Count Rural Electrification A : \& U.s. \& 20,701 \& 20,701 \& $:$ \& $:$ \& (12.292 \& ${ }_{12,292}^{159}$ \& $:$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{\text {(5,783) }}^{(73)}$ \& ${ }_{\text {(5,783) }}^{\text {(173) }}$ \& 7,927 \& 7,927 \& \& - \& ${ }_{5.083}^{64}$ \& 5,083 \& \& \& 1,182 \& 1.182 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1265 \& PJM interconnnection, LLC \& u.s. \& 5.,54,231 \& 5,548,231 \& \& \& 3,294,577 \& 3,294,577 \& - \& - \& (1,550,037) \& (1,550,037) \& 2,124,569 \& 2,124,569 \& - \& \& 1,362,216 \& 1,362,216 \& - \& - \& 316,906 \& 316,906 \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& RFCC \& ${ }_{11167}^{1167}$ \& Pubic L Lighting Department of Detoit
Ripley \& U.S. \& 178 \& 178 \& : \& : \& 106 \& 106 \& : \& : \& (50) \& (50) \& 68 \& 68 \& - \& : \& 44 \& 44 \& - \& - \& 10 \& 10 \& : <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1580 \& Sempra Energy Soutions (ATSI) \& u.s. \& 3,844 \& 3,844 \& - \& \& ${ }_{2,282}$ \& 2,882 \& . \& - \& ${ }_{(1,074)}$ \& ${ }_{(1,074)}$ \& 1.472 \& 1,472 \& \& - \& 944 \& 944 \& - \& - \& 220 \& 220 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& ReC \& 1615 \& Sempra Enery Solutions (IUKE-CIN) \& u.s. \& ${ }_{5233}^{6}$ \& ${ }_{5233}^{6}$ \& - \& : \& \& ${ }_{3}^{3}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(2)}$ \& ${ }^{(2142)}$ \& $\stackrel{2}{2}$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& - \& : \& $\stackrel{1}{1}$ \& ${ }_{1}^{1}$ \& \& \& 9 \& 0 \& - <br>
\hline 2009 \& ${ }_{\text {RFC }}^{\text {RFC }}$ \& ${ }_{1171}^{117}$ \& Sempara Enery Soultions (MECSCOCNS) \& U.s. \& ${ }_{\text {c, }}^{\text {5,547 }}$ \& ${ }_{\text {c, }}^{\text {5,547 }}$ \& : \& \& ${ }_{1}^{3,512}$ \& ${ }_{1,512}^{3,107}$ \& \& : \& ${ }_{\text {(172) }}^{(1,462)}$ \& ${ }_{\text {(172) }}^{(1,462)}$ \& ${ }_{975}^{2,004}$ \& ${ }^{2,004}$ \& \& \& ${ }_{625}^{1,285}$ \& ${ }_{625}^{1,285}$ \& \& \& ${ }_{145}^{299}$ \& 145 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& Rec \& 11773 \& Direct Energy (lka-Strategic Energy) (AT \& u.s. \& ${ }^{2,743}$ \& 2,743 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{1,629}$ \& 1.629 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(766)}$ \& ${ }^{(766)}$ \& 1,050 \& 1,050 \& - \& - \& ${ }_{6}^{673}$ \& ${ }_{693}^{673}$ \& - \& - \& 157 \& 157 \& - <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& RFCC \& 11175 \& Direct Energy (tak. Strategic Energy LlC) \& U.s.s.
u.s. \& 2,434
108 \& $\underset{\substack{2,344 \\ 108}}{ }$ \& $\vdots$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{\text {1,445 }}^{1,64}$ \& ${ }_{\text {1,445 }}^{1,4}$ \& : \& $:$ \& $\underset{(1680)}{(180)}$ \& $\underset{(1680)}{(180)}$ \& ${ }_{41}^{932}$ \& 932
41 \& \& \& 598
27 \& 598

27 \& \& \& 139 \& 139 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1174 \& Diect Energy (kka:Stategic Energy,LLC) \& u.s. \& 2,439 \& 2,439 \& - \& - \& 1,448 \& ${ }^{1.448}$ \& - \& - \& (681) \& ${ }_{\text {(681) }}$ \& 934 \& 934 \& - \& - \& 599 \& 599 \& . \& - \& 139 \& 139 \& - <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& ${ }_{\text {RFCC }}^{\text {RFC }}$ \& 1616
1581 \& Smar Paper Holdings
Sparan Renewable Energy \& u.s.
u.s. \& 61
604 \& 61
604 \& : \& : \& 36
359 \& 36
359 \& : \& : \& ${ }_{(169)}^{(177)}$ \& ${ }_{(169)}^{(177)}$ \& ${ }_{231}^{23}$ \& 231
231 \& . \& \& 15
148 \& 15
148 \& . \& : \& 35 \& 35
35 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1180 \& Thumb Electric Cooperative \& u.s. \& 1.526 \& 1.526 \& - \& . \& 906 \& 906 \& - \& - \& (426) \& (426) \& 584 \& 584 \& \& \& 375 \& 375 \& - \& \& 87 \& 87 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& ${ }_{\text {Rec }}^{\text {ReC }}$ \& 1181 \& Vectren Energy Delivery of N \& u.s. \& 50,242 \& 50,242 \& : \& : \& 29,834 \& 29,834 \& : \& : \& $\underset{(14,036)}{(205)}$ \& $\underset{(14,036)}{(205)}$ \& 19,239 \& 19,239
280 \& : \& : \& 12,336
180 \& 12,386
180 \& : \& \& 2,870 \& 2,870 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& ${ }_{\text {RFC }}^{\text {RFC }}$ \& 1183 \& Viliage of tanchester \& U.s. \& ${ }_{415}$ \& ${ }_{415}$ \& : \& \& ${ }_{246}^{435}$ \& ${ }_{246}$ \& : \& : \& ${ }_{(116)}$ \& ${ }_{(116)}$ \& ${ }_{159}^{280}$ \& ${ }_{159}^{289}$ \& \& \& 102 \& 102 \& : \& \& ${ }_{24}^{42}$ \& ${ }_{24}^{42}$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1184 \& Wabash valley Power Associaito Inc. ([ \& u.s. \& 24,135 \& 24,135 \& \& \& 14,332 \& 14,332 \& . \& - \& (6,743) \& (6,743) \& 9,242 \& 9,242 \& - \& - \& 5.926 \& 5,926 \& - \& - \& 1,379 \& 1,379 \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& ${ }_{\text {RFCC }}^{\text {Rec }}$ \& 1487
1488 \& Wabash valle Power Associatio Inc. (n)
Wabash valey Power Associaion Inc.(N) \& u.s.
u.s. \& 1.582
14,734 \& 1.582
14,734 \& : \& : \& ( $\begin{array}{r}940 \\ 8.749\end{array}$ \& \% $\begin{array}{r}940 \\ 8.749\end{array}$ \& : \& - \& ${ }_{(4,16)}^{(442)}$ \& ${ }_{(4,16)}^{(442)}$ \& 5.642 \& ( ${ }_{\text {5,642 }}^{606}$ \& \& : \& ( $\begin{array}{r}389 \\ 3.618\end{array}$ \& 389
3.618 \& : \& : \& 90
842 \& 90
842 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1185 \& Wisconsin Electric Power Co. \& u.s. \& 258,479 \& 258,479 \& - \& . \& 153,486 \& 153,486 \& . \& - \& (72,213) \& (72,213) \& 98,979 \& 98,979 \& - \& . \& ${ }_{63,462}$ \& 63,462 \& - \& . \& 14,764 \& 14,764 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1189 \& Wovveine Power Marketing Cooperative \& u.s. \& 9,365 \& 9,365 \& - \& - \& 5,561 \& 5.561 \& - \& - \& $(2,616)$ \& (2,616) \& ${ }^{3,586}$ \& 3,586 \& \& - \& 2,299 \& 2,299 \& - \& - \& 535 \& 535 \& - <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& Rec \& 1191
1190 \& Wolveerine Power supply cooperative
Woverine Power Marketing Cooperative \& U.S. \& 23,053
950 \& 23,053
950 \& : \& . \& 13,689
564 \& 13,689
564 \& . \& - \& $\underset{(6,45)}{(6,400)}$ \& $\underset{(6,59)}{(6,440)}$ \& ${ }_{\text {8, }}^{364} \mathbf{3 6 4}$ \& 8,827
364 \& \& . \& 5,660
233 \& 5.660 \& . \& - \& 1,317
54 \& 1,317
54 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& RFC \& 1194 \& zeieinople \& u.s. \& 299 \& 299 \& - \& - \& 178 \& 178 \& - \& \& (84) \& (84) \& 115 \& 115 \& \& \& ${ }_{74}^{23}$ \& ${ }_{74} 23$ \& ; \& \& ${ }_{17} 54$ \& ${ }_{17}^{54}$ \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& TOTAL RELABLIITYFIRST \& \& 8.403,722 \& 8,403,722 \& - \& . \& 4.900,187 \& 4.990,187 \& - \& - \& (2,347, 790) \& (2, 347, 790) \& 3,218,015 \& 3.218,015 \& - \& - \& 2,06, 303 \& 2.063,303 \& - \& - \& 480,007 \& 480,007 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& SERC \& 1267 \& Alabama Municipal Electric Authorit \& u.s. \& 33,349 \& 33,349 \& \& \& 19,973 \& 19,973 \& \& \& (9,397) \& $(9,397)$ \& 12,880 \& 12,880 \& \& \& 8,258 \& 8,258 \& \& \& 1,635 \& 1,635 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& SERC \& 1268 \& Alabama Power Company \& u.s. \& 508,255 \&  \& - \& \& ${ }_{3}^{304,396}$ \& ${ }^{304,366}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(1143,212)}$ \& ${ }^{(1143,212)}$ \& 196,295 \& 1196,295 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{125,599}$ \& 125,859 \& - \& - \& 24,918 \& 24,918 \& - <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& SERC \& ${ }_{1271}^{1269}$ \& ${ }_{\text {Ameren - llinis }}^{\text {Amere - Missouri }}$ \& U.s. \& ${ }_{369,727}^{37984}$ \& 379,984
369727 \& $:$ \& - \& ${ }_{221,431}^{227,514}$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2271,531}$ \& \& : \& ${ }_{(104,79)}^{(107,041)}$ \& ${ }_{(0}^{(107,041)}(104,79)$ \& ${ }_{1}^{146,71794}$ \& 1464,777
14294 \& . \& : \& 94,071
91,555 \& ${ }_{9}^{94,5955}$ \& : \& $:$ \& 18,624
18,126 \& 18,684
18,126 \& - <br>
\hline 2009 \& SERC \& 1272 \& APGI - Yakkin Division \& u.s. \& ${ }^{303}$ \& \& \& \& 181 \& 181 \& - \& \& (85) \& (85) \& 117 \& 117 \& - \& \& 75 \& 75 \& - \& - \& 15 \& 15 \& - <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& SERC \& ${ }_{1582}^{1273}$ \& Associated Electric Cooperative elc. \& U.s. \& ${ }_{\substack{177,943 \\ 9,726}}$ \& $\underset{\substack{177,943 \\ 9,726}}{\text { 2, }}$ \& $:$ \& , \& $\underset{\substack{106,571 \\ 5.825}}{1.85}$ \& $\underset{\substack{106,571 \\ 5,825}}{ }$ \& \& \& $\underset{\substack{\text { (50,140) } \\(2,740}}{(10)}$ \& $\underset{\substack{\text { (50,140) } \\(2,740}}{(10)}$ \& 68,724
3,756 \& ¢ $\begin{gathered}68,724 \\ 3,756\end{gathered}$ \& \& \& 44,064
2,408 \& $\underset{\substack{44,064 \\ 2,48}}{\text { 4, }}$ \& \& : \& 8,724
477 \& 8,724
477 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& SERC \& 1462 \& Benton Utilly D Distict \& u.s. \& 2.474 \& 2.474 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{1,482}$ \& 1,482 \& - \& - \& (697) \& (697) \& 955 \& 955 \& \& \& 613 \& 613 \& - \& - \& 121 \& 121 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}





\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Total NERC Assessments} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{NERC NEL Assessments} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Penaty Sanctions} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{NERC Compliance Assessments (ex. IESO\& \& New Brunswick)} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{NERC Compliance Assessments (ex.Ouebec \& A AESO)} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{NERC IIC Assessments} \\
\hline Oeat \& \(\substack{\text { Regional } \\ \text { Enity }}\) \& 10 \& Entity \& untry \& Total \& us Total \& Canada Total \& mexico Total \& Total \& US Total \& Canada Total \& Mexico \& Total \& us Total \& Total \& US Total \& Canada Total \& Mexico \& Total \& US Total \& Canatal \& Mexico \& Total \& us Tota \& Canada To \\
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Navaio Tribal Uuility uuthorit (APS) \& u.s. \& 366 \& 366 \& - \& - \& 230 \& 230 \& \& - \& (108) \& (108) \& 149 \& 149 \& - \& - \& 95 \& \({ }^{95}\) \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Navaio Tribal utilit Authorit (PSCOtNM) \& u.s. \& 1,920
4221 \& 1,920
4.212 \& : \& \& 1,209 \& 1,209
2,653 \& \& \& (559) \& (569) \& 780 \& 780 \& \& \& 500 \& \({ }^{500}\) \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECCC \& \& Navopache Electric Coperative, , inc
Nebraska Public Power Maketing \& u.s.s.
U.s. \& 4,212
32 \& \({ }_{\text {4,212 }}\) \& \(:\) \& : \& 2,653
20 \& 2,653
20 \& : \& : \& \({ }_{(9)}^{(1,248)}\) \& \({ }_{(9)}^{(1,248)}\) \& 1,711
13 \& 1,711
13 \& \& \& 1,097
8 \& 1,097
8 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Needes Public Cutities Authority \& u.s. \& 326 \& 326 \& - \& - \& 206 \& 206 \& \& - \& (97) \& (97) \& 133 \& \({ }^{133}\) \& \& \& 85 \& 85 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& NESPELEM VALLEY ELECTRIC Coope \& u.s. \& 470 \& 470 \& - \& - \& 296 \& 296 \& - \& - \& (139) \& (139) \& 191 \& 191 \& \& - \& 123 \& 123 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Nevada Power Company \& u.s. \& 196,282 \& 196,282 \& - \& - \& 123,614 \& 123,614 \& - \& - \& (58,158) \& (58,158) \& 79,715 \& 79,715 \& \& \& \({ }^{51,111}\) \& 51,111 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& New Harcuahala \& u.s. \& 12 \& 12 \& - \& . \& 7 \& 7 \& - \& : \& (4) \& (4) \& \({ }^{5}\) \& \({ }^{5}\) \& \& \& 4 \& \({ }^{3}\) \& \& \& \& - \& - \\
\hline 2009 \& WECC
WECC \& \& ( Norther Light inc. \& U.s.s. \& 2,746 \& 2,746 \& : \& : \& - 1.729 \& -1.729 \& : \& : \& \({ }_{(814)}^{(73)}\) \& \({ }_{(814)}^{(73)}\) \& 1,115 \& 100
1,115 \& \& \& 64
715 \& 64
7 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& NORTHERN WASCO COUNTY PUD \& u.s. \& 5,354 \& 5,354 \& - \& - \& 3,372 \& 3,372 \& \& - \& (1,586) \& (1,586) \& \({ }_{2}^{1,174}\) \& 2,174 \& - \& - \& 1,394 \& 1,394 \& - \& - \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009 \& WECC
WECC \& \& NWMT \({ }_{\text {OHOP MUTUAL LIGHT COMPANY }}\) \& u.s. \& 2,706 \& 2,706 \& : \& \& (1,704 \& (1,704 \& \& : \& \({ }_{(882)}^{(836)}\) \& \({ }_{(802)}^{(882)}\) \& 1,099 \& 1,099 \& \& \& 7705 \& \({ }_{208}^{705}\) \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 209 \& WECCC \& \& ORCAS POWER \& LIGHT COOPPRATI \& U.s. \& 1,923 \& 1,923 \& \(:\) \& : \& - \({ }_{1,211}^{502}\) \& - \({ }_{1,211}^{502}\) \& \(:\) \& \(:\) \& \({ }_{(570)}^{(236)}\) \& \({ }_{(050)}^{(236)}\) \& 324
781 \& 324
781 \& - \& : \& \({ }_{501}^{208}\) \& 208
501 \& - \& \& . \& - \& \\
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& OREGON TRALL ELECTRIC CONSUME \& u.s. \& 6,007 \& 6,007 \& - \& \& 3,783 \& \({ }_{3,783}\) \& \& \& (1,780) \& \({ }^{(1,780)}\) \& 2.440 \& 2.440 \& \& \& \({ }_{1,564}\) \& 1.564 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Overton Power District \#5 \& u.s. \& 3,444 \& 3,444 \& - \& - \& 2,169 \& 2,169 \& - \& - \& (1,020) \& (1,020) \& 1,399 \& 1,399 \& \& \& 897 \& 897 \& \& \& \& - \& \\
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& PACIFICORP (BPA) \& u.s. \& \({ }^{138}\) \& \({ }^{138}\) \& \& \& 87 \& 87 \& - \& - \& (41) \& (41) \& 56 \& 56 \& - \& \& \({ }^{36}\) \& \({ }^{36}\) \& \& \& - \& - \& \\
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \& PACIIFCORP (PGE)
PACIFICORP(WAPA) \& U.s. \& 29
1.845 \& \({ }_{1.845}^{29}\) \& : \& : \& 18
1.162 \& -188 \& : \& : \& (547) \& (547) \& \({ }_{749}^{12}\) \& 12 \& - \& - \& \({ }^{7}\) \& \({ }^{7}\) \& \% \& \% \& - \& - \& - \\
\hline \({ }_{2009}^{2009}\) \& WECC \& \& PACIIFCORP(WAPA)
Pacificorp (PACE) \& U.s. \& (1,845 \& (1, \(\begin{array}{r}1,45 \\ 402,536\end{array}\) \& : \& : \& \({ }_{\text {253,508 }}^{\text {1,162 }}\) \& [1,628 \& : \& : \& (119,271)
(57) \& (119,271) \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
163,480 \\
\hline 189
\end{tabular} \& 163,480 \& \(:\) \& \(:\) \& (104,819 \& 104,819 \& \& \& : \& \(:\) \& \\
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Pacificorp (PACW) \& u.s. \& 191,742 \& 191,742 \& - \& - \& 120,755 \& 120,755 \& - \& - \& (56,813) \& \((56,813)\) \& 77,871 \& 77,871 \& \& \& 49,929 \& 49,929 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \&  \& U.S. \& 1177
1,107 \& 117
1,107 \& : \& : \& 74
697 \& 74
697 \& : \& : \& \({ }_{(328)}^{(35)}\) \& \({ }_{(328)}^{(35)}\) \& 48
449 \& 48
449 \& : \& : \& 31
288 \& 388
288 \& \& \& - \& : \& \\
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& PENISSULA LIGHT COMPANY, INC. \& u.s. \& 5,587 \& 5,587 \& - \& - \& 3,519 \& 3,519 \& - \& - \& \({ }_{(1,655)}\) \& (1,655) \& 2,269 \& 2,269 \& - \& - \& 1,455 \& 1,455 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 2009 \& WECC
WECC \& \&  \& U.s. \& 28,184

1

1758 \&  \& \& : \& 17,750 \& ${ }_{\substack{17,50 \\ 1,107}}$ \& : \& : \& ${ }_{(8,351)}^{(521)}$ \& ${ }_{(8,351)}^{(551)}$ \& 11,446 \& ${ }_{\text {11, } 7146}$ \& - \& - \& 7,339 \& | 7,339 |
| :--- |
| 458 | \& \& \& \& : \& <br>

\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \& PORT TOWNSEND PAPER CORPORAT
Portand General Electic Company \& u.s. \& 1,758 \& 1,758
162,003 \& : \& : \& 1,107
102,026 \& 1,107
102,026 \& : \& $:$ \& $(521)$
$(88,001)$ \& (48,017) \& 714
65,793 \& 714
65,93 \& : \& : \& -42,185 \& 458
42,185 \& - \& - \& : \& : \& $:$ <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Pubic Senice Company of Colorado \& u.s. \& 258,640 \& 258,640 \& - \& - \& 162,886 \& 162,886 \& - \& - \& $(77,635)$ \& (76,635) \& 105,040 \& 105,040 \& \& \& 67,349 \& 67,349 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Pubic Serice Company of Colorado (Xce \& u.s. \& 290 \& 290 \& - \& - \& 183 \& 183 \& - \& - \& (86) \& (86) \& 118 \& 118 \& \& \& 76 \& 76 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Public Serice Company of New Mexico \& u.s. \& 90,997 \& 90,997 \& \& \& 57,308 \& 57,308 \& - \& - \& (26,962) \& (26,962) \& 36,956 \& 36,956 \& - \& \& 23,695 \& 23,695 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& WECC
WECC \& \& PUD NO 1 OF Dougtas County \& U.s. \& ${ }_{47}^{79}$ \& ${ }_{47}^{79}$ \& $:$ \& : \& ${ }_{30}^{50}$ \& ${ }_{30}^{50}$ \& $:$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{(14)}^{(23)}$ \& ${ }_{(14)}^{(23)}$ \& ${ }_{19}^{32}$ \& ${ }_{19}^{32}$ \& - \& . \& ${ }_{12}^{21}$ \& ${ }_{12}^{21}$ \& . \& - \& : \& : \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& PUD No. 1 Of BENTON COUNTY \& u.s. \& 15.873 \& 15.873 \& - \& - \& 9,997 \& 9,997 \& - \& - \& (4,733) \& (4,703) \& 6.446 \& ${ }^{6.446}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }_{4.133}$ \& 4,133 \& \& \& \& 1 \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC
WECC

cec \& \& PUD No. 1 of Chelan County \& U.S. \& $\underset{\substack{29,022 \\ 6.17}}{ }$ \& - 29.022 \& - \& : \& | 18,277 |
| :---: |
| 3,852 |
| 2 , | \&  \& : \& : \& ${ }_{(18,599)}^{(1212)}$ \& ${ }_{(12809}^{(8,599)}$ \& cince \&  \& : \& : \& 7,557

1.593 \& | 7,557 |
| :--- |
| 1.593 |
| 1.50 | \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Pud No. 1 OF COWLITZ Countr \& u.s. \& ${ }_{43,771}$ \& ${ }_{43,771}$ \& \% \& . \& 27,566 \& 27,566 \& - \& - \& (12,969) \& (12,969) \& 17,776 \& 17,776 \& - \& - \& 11,398 \& 11,398 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& PUD No. 1 of Douglas County \& u.s. \& 13,236 \& 13,236 \& - \& - \& ${ }_{\text {8,336 }}$ \& ${ }^{8,336}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(3,922)}$ \& ${ }^{(3,922)}$ \& 5,375 \& 5,375 \& - \& - \& 3,447 \& 3,447 \& \& \& \& - \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECCC \& \& PUD No. 10 F FERRY County
PUD No. 1 OF FRANKLIN COUNTY \& u.s. \& \% ${ }_{8,923}^{885}$ \& 8,923 \& $:$ \& : \& ${ }_{5}^{5567}$ \& - ${ }_{\text {5,619 }}$ \& : \& : \& ${ }_{(2,644)}^{(262)}$ \& ${ }_{(2,644)}^{(262)}$ \& 359
3,624 \& 359
3,624 \& : \& : \& - ${ }_{2,320}^{230}$ \& 230
2,323 \& $:$ \& $\because$ \& : \& $:$ \& : <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& PUD No. 1 Of Grars harbor \& u.s. \& 9,848 \& 9,848 \& - \& - \& 6,202 \& 6,202 \& - \& - \& (2,918) \& (2,918) \& 3,999 \& 3,999 \& \& - \& 2,564 \& 2.564 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \& PUD No. 1 Of KITITAS COUNTY
PUO No. 1 OF KLICKITAT COUNTY \& u.s.
u.s. \& - 4.689 \& - ${ }_{2.678}$ \& : \& : \& 308
1.686 \& 308
1.686 \& : \& $:$ \& (145) \& $\underset{\substack{(145) \\(793)}}{(20)}$ \& 199
1.088 \& 199
1.088 \& - \& : \& 127
697 \& 127
697 \& . \& \& $:$ \& $:$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& PUD NO. 10 I LEWIS COUNTY \& u.s. \& 8.619 \& 8.619 \& - \& - \& 5,428 \& ${ }_{5}, 428$ \& - \& - \& (2,554) \& (2.554) \& 3,500 \& 3,500 \& - \& - \& 2,244 \& 2,244 \& - \& \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& PUD NO. 1 OF MASON COUNTY \& U.S. \& ${ }^{114}$ \& ${ }_{8}^{714}$ \& - \& - \& -450 \& -450 \& - \& - \& (211) \& (211) \& 290 \& 290 \& \& \& ${ }^{186}$ \& ${ }^{186}$ \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& WECC
WECC \& \& PUD No. 1 of Pend Oreille County
PUD No. 1 OF SKAMANIA COUNTY \& U.s. \& 8,634
1,237 \& 8,683
1,237 \& $:$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{\text {5,438 }}^{779}$ \& 5,438
779 \& $:$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{(366)}^{(2,55)}$ \& ${ }_{(366)}^{(2,55)}$ \& 3,507
502 \& ${ }^{3,507} 502$ \& $:$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{3}^{2,248}$ \& 2,248
322 \& - \& - \& : \& : \& $:$ <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& PUD No. 1 Of SNOHOMISH COUNTY \& u.s. \& ${ }^{63,637}$ \& 63,637 \& - \& - \& 40,077 \& 40,077 \& - \& - \& (18,856) \& $(18,856)$ \& 25.844 \& 25,844 \& - \& - \& ${ }_{16,571}$ \& 16,571 \& \& \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC
WECCC \& \& PUD NO. 1 OF WAHKAKUM COUNTY
PUD No. 1 OF WHATCOM COUNTY \& u.s. \& 1,995 \& (1998 \& $:$ \& : \& - 2.250 \& - $\begin{array}{r}250 \\ 1,256\end{array}$ \& $:$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{(591)}^{(118)}$ \& ${ }_{\text {(118) }}^{(118)}$ \& ${ }_{810}^{161}$ \& ${ }_{810}^{161}$ \& $:$ \& : \& 104
519 \& 104
519 \& $:$ \& : \& : \& $:$ \& : <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Pud No. 2 OF Grant countr (Avista \& u.s. \& 806 \& 806 \& - \& - \& 508 \& 508 \& - \& - \& (239) \& (239) \& 327 \& 327 \& - \& - \& 210 \& 210 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& PUD No. 2 OF GRANT COUNTY (BPA) \& u.s. \& 437 \& 437 \& - \& - \& 275 \& 275 \& - \& - \& (129) \& ${ }^{(129)}$ \& 177 \& 177 \& - \& - \& 114 \& 114 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& WECC

WECC \& \&  \& U.s. \& $\underbrace{}_{\substack{2,788 \\ 6,239}}$ \&  \& : \& : \& ${ }_{\substack{1,756 \\ 1,929}}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{1,756 \\ 3,929}}$ \& : \& $:$ \& ${ }_{(1,849)}^{(826)}$ \& ${ }_{(1,899)}^{(826)}$ \& 1,132 \& | 1,132 |
| :--- |
| 2,534 |
| 1 | \& - \& $\because$ \& [1,625 \& +126 \& - \& : \& : \& : \& <br>

\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Puget Sound Energy \& u.s. \& 226,882 \& 226,882 \& - \& - \& 142,885 \& ${ }_{1}^{142,885}$ \& - \& - \& (67,225) \& (67, 225) \& 92,142 \& 92,142 \& \& \& 59,079 \& 59,079 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \& RAFT RIVER RURAL ELECTRIC COOP!

RAVALIL COUNTY ELECTRIC Cooper \& u.s. \& | 1,974 |
| :--- |
| 1,404 |
| 1 | \& 1,974

1,404 \& : \& : \& 1,243
884 \& 1,243
884 \& : \& : \& ${ }_{(4156)}^{(555)}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { (5455) } \\(418)}}^{(5)}$ \& 802
570 \& (870 \& : \& : \&  \& ${ }_{366}^{514}$ \& \& - \& : \& : \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& RBS Sempra Energy Soutions \& u.s. \& 16,792 \& 16,792 \& - \& - \& 10,575 \& 10,575 \& \& - \& $(4,976)$ \& (4,976) \& 6,820 \& 6,820 \& \& \& 4,373 \& 4,373 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& RIVERSIDE ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD \& u.s. \& ${ }_{3}^{179}$ \& ${ }_{355}^{179}$ \& \& \& ${ }_{223}^{113}$ \& ${ }_{223}^{113}$ \& : \& \& (53) \& (53) \& ${ }^{73}$ \& ${ }^{73}$ \& - \& \& ${ }_{92}^{47}$ \& ${ }^{47}$ \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Rocky Mountial Generation cooperative \& U.s. \& ${ }_{266}$ \& ${ }_{266}$ \& : \& : \& ${ }_{167}$ \& ${ }_{167}^{223}$ \& : \& : \& (79) \& (79) \& 108 \& ${ }_{108}^{194}$ \& : \& : \& ${ }_{69}$ \& ${ }_{69}$ \& : \& - \& : \& - \& : <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& SALEM ELECTRRIC \& u.s. \& ${ }^{2}, 997$ \& 2.997 \& - \& \& 1.887 \& 1,887 \& - \& - \& (888) \& (888) \& ${ }_{1}^{1,217}$ \& 1.217 \& - \& - \& 780 \& $\begin{array}{r}780 \\ \hline 5058\end{array}$ \& - \& - \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& Wecc
WECC \& \& Salt River Project (sRP)
San Carto indian rrigaion Project \& U.S. \& 249,842
1 \& 249,842
1 \& : \& : \& 157,345
1 \& 157,345
1 \& $:$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{(74,028)}^{(0)}$ \& $\stackrel{(74,028)}{(0)}$ \& 101,467
1 \& 101,467
1 \& \& - \& 65,058 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& 65,058 ${ }_{0}$ \& \& \& : \& $:$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Seatte Cily Light \& u.s. \& ${ }^{90,606}$ \& 90,606 \& - \& - \& 57,062 \& 57.062 \& - \& - \& (26,847) \& (26,847) \& ${ }^{36,797}$ \& ${ }^{36,797}$ \& - \& . \& ${ }^{23,593}$ \& ${ }^{23,593}$ \& - \& - \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \& Siera Pacitic Power Company
SMGT / BPA \& U.S. \& 77,660
143 \& 77,60
143 \& : \& $:$ \& 48,909
90 \& 48,909
90 \& $:$ \& - \& ${ }_{(23,011)}^{(42)}$ \& ${ }_{(03,011)}^{(42)}$ \& ${ }_{\text {31,540 }}^{58}$ \& ${ }^{31,540}$ \& - \& - \& 20,222 \& ${ }^{20,222}$ \& \& \& : \& : \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& smud \& u.s. \& 102,012 \& 102,012 \& - \& - \& 64,245 \& 64, 345 \& - \& - \& $(30,226)$ \& (30,226) \& 41,430 \& 41,430 \& \& \& 26,564 \& 26,564 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& SOUTH SIDE ELECTRIC, INC. \& U.S. \& ${ }^{4888}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{488}$ \& : \& - \& -308 \& - 308 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(1245)}$ \& (145) \& 198 \& 198 \& - \& - \& ${ }_{1}^{127}$ \& 127 \& - \& \& : \& : \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Southem Mevanad Water Authority \& U.s. \& ${ }_{7}^{6,322}$ \& ${ }_{7}^{6,132}$ \& ; \& : \& ${ }_{4,611}^{3,693}$ \& ${ }_{4}^{4,611}$ \& : \& : \& ${ }_{(2,169)}^{(1,232)}$ \& ${ }_{(2,169)}^{(1,23)}$ \& ${ }_{2,974}^{2,911}$ \& ${ }_{2,974}^{2,911}$ \& \& \& ${ }_{1}^{1,907}$ \& ${ }_{1,907}^{1,920}$ \& : \& : \& ; \& ; \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Southwest Transmission Cooperative, inc \& u.s. \& 24.175 \& ${ }^{24,175}$ \& - \& - \& 15,225 \& 15,225 \& \& - \& ${ }^{(7,1263)}$ \& ${ }^{(7,1,163)}$ \& ${ }^{9,818}$ \& ${ }^{9,818}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }^{6,295}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{6,295}$ \& \& \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \& SPRINGFIELD UTLLTY BOARD \& U.S. \& 7,602
309 \& 7,602
309 \& : \& : \& $\begin{array}{r}4,788 \\ \hline 194\end{array}$ \& 4,788
194 \& : \& : \& $\underset{(121)}{(2,253)}$ \& ${ }_{(1,21)}^{(2,253)}$ \& ${ }^{3,087} 125$ \& ${ }^{3,087} 125$ \& : \& : \& ${ }^{1,980}{ }_{80}$ \& 1.980
80 \& $:$ \& $:$ \& : \& $:$ \& $:$ <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Tacoma Power \& u.s. \& 44,656 \& 44,656 \& - \& - \& 28,124 \& 28.124 \& - \& - \& (13,232) \& (13,232) \& 18,136 \& ${ }_{18,136}^{1296}$ \& - \& - \& 11,628 \& 11,628 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& WECC
WECC \& \& The incorporated County of Los Alamos
TTLLAMOOK PUD \& U.S.s. \& 3,436

3,146 \& ¢, | 3,436 |
| :--- |
| 3,146 | \& : \& $:$ \& 2,164

1,982 \& 2,164
1,982 \& $:$ \& $:$ \& ${ }_{(1,018)}^{(932)}$ \& $\underset{(932)}{(1,018)}$ \& ${ }_{1}^{1,295}$ \& 1, 1,395 \& - \& $:$ \& 895
819 \& 895
819 \& \& - \& : \& $:$ \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Tohono 0 Oddham Uutily Authority \& u.s. \& 597 \& 597 \& - \& - \& 376 \& 376 \& - \& - \& (177) \& (177) \& 242 \& 242 \& - \& - \& 155 \& 155 \& \& \& - \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \& Tonopan Irigation District

Toual NWMT Load Owner \& | u.s. |
| :--- |
| u.s. | \& ${ }_{79.070}^{201}$ \& ${ }_{79.070}^{201}$ \& : \& : \& 127

49.796 \& 127
49,796 \& : \& : \& (60) \& (23.48) \& ${ }^{82}$ \& ${ }^{82} 812$ \& : \& : \& 52
20.589 \& 52
20589 \& \& : \& : \& : \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Town of Center-Transmission \& u.s. \& 108 \& 108 \& - \& - \& ${ }_{68}$ \& ${ }_{68}$ \& - \& - \& (32) \& ${ }_{(32)}$ \& ${ }_{44}$ \& ${ }_{44}$ \& - \& \& ${ }^{20} 8$ \& ${ }_{28}$ \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Town Of COULEE DAM \& u.s. \& 170 \& 170 \& \& \& 107 \& 107 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(50)}$ \& ${ }^{(50)}$ \& ${ }^{69}$ \& ${ }^{69}$ \& - \& - \& 44 \& 44 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECCC \& \& TOWN OF EATONYILE
TOWN OF STELACOOM \& u.s.s.
u.s. \& 260
378 \& 260
378 \& : \& $:$ \& 164

238 \& | 164 |
| :--- |
| 238 |
| 18 | \& : \& $:$ \& (112) \& ${ }_{(112)}^{(77)}$ \& 106

153 \& 106
153 \& $:$ \& : \& ${ }_{98}^{68}$ \& ${ }_{98}^{68}$ \& : \& $:$ \& $:$ \& : \& : <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Town of Wickenburg \& u.s. \& 259 \& 259 \& \& \& 163 \& 163 \& - \& - \& (77) \& (77) \& 105 \& 105 \& - \& - \& 68 \& 68 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \&  \& U.s. \& 17,403
76 \& 17,003
76 \& : \& : \& 10,960
48 \& 10,960 ${ }_{48}$ \& : \& : \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { (5,156) } \\ \text { (22) }}}^{\text {(12) }}$ \& ${ }_{\text {(5,156) }}^{(122)}$ \& 7,068
31 \& 7,068
31 \& : \& . \& ${ }_{\text {4,532 }}{ }_{20}$ \& ${ }_{4}^{4.532}$ \& - \& - \& , \& - \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Ti-State Generation and Transmission A : \& u.s. \& 59,186 \& 59,186 \& - \& - \& 37,274 \& 37,274 \& - \& - \& (17,537) \& (17,537) \& 24,037 \& 24,037 \& - \& - \& 15,412 \& 15,412 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& Tristate Generation and Transsission Ass \& u.s. \& 21,590 \& 21,590 \& - \& - \& 13,597 \& ${ }^{13,597}$ \& - \& - \& (6,397) \& ${ }_{(6,397)}$ \& 8,768 \& 8,768 \& \& \& 5,622 \& 5.622 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
209 \& WECC
WECC \& \& Truckee Donner Public Uuiliy District \& u.s.
u.s. \& 1,338
120.873 \& 1,338
120.873 \& : \& : \& 843
76.124 \& \% $\begin{array}{r}843 \\ 76.124\end{array}$ \& : \& : \& (35975) \& ${ }_{(35.85)}^{(397)}$ \& - 5494 \& 5444 \& \& \& 349
31.475 \& 349
31.45 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Turlock lrigation District \& u.s. \& 18,384 \& 18,384 \& - \& \& 11,578 \& 11,578 \& \& - \& (5,447) \& (5,447) \& 7,466 \& 7,466 \& \& \& 4,787 \& 4,787 \& \& - \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& U.S. Amy Yuma Proving Ground \& u.s. \& 175 \& 175 \& - \& - \& 110 \& 110 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(52)}$ \& ${ }^{(52)}$ \& ${ }_{61}$ \& ${ }_{62}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }^{46}$ \& 46 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& WECC
WECC \& \& U.S. BOIA WAPATO IRRIIGATION PROJ
U.S. BoR EAST GREENACRES (RATHC \& U.s. \& 153
48 \& 153
48 \& $:$ \& : \& 30 ${ }_{30}^{96}$ \& 96
30 \& - \& : \& ${ }_{(14)}^{(45)}$ \& ${ }_{(14)}^{(45)}$ \& 62
19 \& 62
19 \& - \& - \& 40
12 \& 40
12 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}





|  |  |  |  |  | Total Regiona | Assessm | (ncluding Wras | Assessments |  | mal Entity NEL | sessments |  | Penaty Sanci | us only | Necc Cor | Iy stat | NpCC Audid | 45\% | rogram | wecc | nce A | ent |  |  | IIRAB Ass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (eata | $\substack{\text { Regional } \\ \text { Enity }}$ | 10 | Enity | country | Total | us Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | $\underbrace{\text { a }}_{\substack{\text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total }}}$ | Total | US Total | Total | US Total | Total | US Total | Conada | Total | us Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { canadata } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Mexico | Total | us Total | Canala | Mexico |
| 2009 | SERC | 1274 | Big Rivers Electric Corporation | u.s. | 107,164 | 107,164 |  |  | 116,393 | 116,393 | - |  | (9,229) | (9,229) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1275 | Black Warior EMC | U.s. | ${ }^{4.4990}$ | ${ }^{4.4990}$ |  |  | 4.877 | ${ }^{4.8777}$ |  |  | (387) | ${ }^{(387)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC SERC | ${ }_{1263}^{1276}$ | Bue Ridge EMC Canton MS | u.s. | (12,382 | (12,382 | : | : | (13,488 | $\underset{\substack{13,488 \\ 1,435}}{ }$ | : | - | (1,066) | ${ }^{(1,066)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | SERC | ${ }_{1277}^{1263}$ | Canton, Ms Central Electric Power Cooperative Inc. | U.s. | 1,322 166553 | 1,322 166553 | : | : | 1,1435 180,896 | 180,396 | $:$ | : | ${ }_{(12,343)}^{(114)}$ | ${ }_{(124,343)}^{(114)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1278 | City of Blountsown FL | u.s. | 430 | 430 | - | - | ${ }^{467}$ | 467 | - |  | (37) | (37) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | SERC SERC | 1278 | city of canden SC City colins MS | u.s. u.s. | $\underset{\substack{2,205 \\ 484}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{2,205 \\ 484}}{\text { 2 }}$ | : | $:$ | $\underset{5}{2,395}$ | 2,395 526 | : | : | ${ }_{(120)}^{(190)}$ | $\underset{\substack{(190) \\(42)}}{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1281 | City of columbia Mo | u.s. | 14.802 | 14.802 | - | - | ${ }^{16,076}$ | ${ }^{16,076}$ | - | - | (1,275) | (1,275) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | SERC | ${ }_{1284}^{1282}$ | City of Conway AR (Conway Corporation) | u.s. u.s. | 10,347 668 | 10,347 668 | : | $:$ | 11,238 7 725 | $\begin{array}{r}11,238 \\ \hline 725\end{array}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(57)}^{(891)}$ | ${ }_{(891)}^{(597)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1285 | City of tampoon GA | u.s. | 265 | 265 |  |  | 288 | 288 |  |  | (23) | (23) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | ${ }_{1286}^{1286}$ |  | U.s. | - 337 | - 337 | : | : | \% 366 | 366 7709 | : |  | ${ }_{\text {(211) }}$ | ${ }_{\text {(29) }}^{(2911)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | SERC | 1287 <br> 1288 | City f henderson (K) Municipa Power. | U.s. | 7,098 10,393 | 7,098 10,393 | : | : | 7,709 11,288 | 7,709 11,288 | : | : | ${ }_{\text {(895) }}^{(611)}$ | ${ }_{(895)}^{(611)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | ${ }_{1289}^{1290}$ | City of Orangeburs 9 SC Department of Pu | u.s. | 9,978 | 9,978 | - | : | 10,938 | 10,938 | - | : | ${ }_{(889)}^{(859)}$ | ${ }_{(889)}^{(859)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | SERC | 1290 |  | U.S. | 2,910 | 2,910 | : | : | ${ }^{\text {3,161 }}$ | 3,161 | : | : | ${ }_{\text {(251) }}{ }^{(78)}$ | ${ }_{(251)}^{(78)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | 1292 |  | u.s. | 1.648 19.561 | 1.648 19.561 | : | : | 1,790 21.268 | - $\begin{array}{r}1,790 \\ \text { 21,246 }\end{array}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(12085)}^{(1.14)}$ | (142) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | SERC | 1115 |  | U.S.s. | 19.561 170 | 19.561 170 | : | : | 21,246 184 | 11,246 184 | : | : | ${ }_{(15)}^{(1,685)}$ | ${ }_{(15)}^{(1,68)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1293 |  | us.s. | 3,984 4.279 | 3,984 4.279 | : | : | ${ }_{4}^{4,367}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4,327}$ | - | - | ${ }_{(033)}^{(343)}$ | ${ }_{(343)}^{(343)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | SERC | 11583 | City f West Memphis AR (West Memphi | U.S.s. U.s. | ${ }_{6,547}^{4,279}$ | ${ }_{6,547}^{4,279}$ | : | : | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{4,647}$ | 4,647 7,111 |  |  | ${ }_{(564)}^{(368)}$ | ${ }_{\substack{(368) \\(564)}}^{(53)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1584 | Concoridia Electric Cooperative, 1 nc . | u.s. | 2,669 | ${ }^{2,669}$ | - | - | ${ }_{2} 2,898$ | ${ }^{2}, 1898$ |  |  | (230) | (230) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | SERC | ${ }_{1585}^{1283}$ | Dation Uuities ${ }_{\text {diele }}^{\text {Die Electric Membership Corporation }}$ | U.S. | 15,888 23,988 | 15,888 23,988 | : | $:$ | ${ }_{20} \mathbf{1 7 , 2 5 9 7}$ |  | - |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { (1,368) } \\(2,059)}}^{(1)}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { c, } \\(2,059)}}^{(1,368)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1295 | Dominion Virginia Power | u.s. | 897,782 | 897,782 | - | - | 975,097 | 975,097 | - |  | (77,314) | (77,314) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | 1296 | Duke Energy Caroinas, LLC | u.s. | ${ }^{871.520}$ | ${ }^{871.520}$ | : | : | 946,573 | ${ }^{946,573}$ | : | : | ${ }^{(75,053)}$ | ${ }_{(75,053)}^{(29)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1478 | E.an u. .s. Serices Inc. | u.s. | ${ }_{362,147}$ | 362,147 | : | : | з93,334 | 393,334 | - | - | (31,187) | ${ }^{(31,187)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1297 | East Kentucky Power Cooperative | u.s. | ${ }_{\text {13,331 }}^{1372}$ | ${ }^{133,331}$ | - | - | 144,813 | ${ }^{144,813}$ | - | - | (11,482) | ${ }^{(11,482)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | SERC | ${ }_{1298}^{1298}$ | East Mississipp Electric Power Associaic | U.S.s. | ( $\begin{aligned} & 4,747 \\ & 14,284\end{aligned}$ | ( $\begin{aligned} & 4,747 \\ & 14,284\end{aligned}$ | : | : | 5,156 ${ }_{\text {15,515 }}$ | 5,156 15.515 | : | : | ${ }_{(1,230)}^{(409)}$ | ${ }_{(1,230)}^{(420)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | 1300 1301 | Energy Enterced EMC | u.s. | 25,866 | ${ }^{25.866}$ | : | : | 28,093 1.278914 | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {28,093 }}$ | : | - | ${ }^{(2,227)}$ | ${ }_{(12,27)}^{(12127)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERRC | 1302 | Fayenteville (NC) Public Works Commissi | U.s. | ${ }_{\text {1,174,497 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {1, }}^{1 \times 26,099}$ | : | : | ${ }_{\text {1,277,814 }}^{26,166}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,277,814} 2$ | : | : |  | $\underset{(2,075)}{(120,37)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1303 | Florida Public Uutities (FLPanhandle Loa | u.s. | 3,764 | ${ }^{3,764}$ | - | - | 4,088 | 4,088 | - | - | (324) | (324) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERR | ${ }_{1305}^{1304}$ | French Broad emc Georgia Power company | U.s. | ¢520,666 | ${ }_{920,066}^{\text {5,57 }}$ | : | : | 99, ${ }^{6,046}$ | \%99,299 | : | : | (79,233) | (79,23) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | ${ }_{1306}^{1379}$ | Georia System Optiss Corporation Greowwod (MS) Unities commission | u.s. | 408,457 | 408,457 | : | : | 443,632 | 443,332 | : | : | ${ }_{\text {(35.175) }}^{\text {(262) }}$ | $\underset{\substack{(35,175) \\(262)}}{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | SERC | 1479 1307 | Greenwood (MS) Uutities Cominission | U.S. | ${ }_{3}^{3,542}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3,541}$ | : | : | (3,3830 |  | : | : | ${ }_{(028)}^{(262)}$ | ${ }_{(208)}^{(262)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1308 | Guif Power Company | u.s. | 125,743 | 125,743 | - | - | 136,571 | 136,571 | - | - | (10,829) | $(10,829)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | 1586 1309 | Haywood EMC Ilinois Munical Electric Agency | U.s. | 3,281 19.488 | 3,281 19.488 | : | $:$ | ${ }_{2}^{31.1 .177}$ |  | . | . | ${ }_{(12.69)}^{(283)}$ | ${ }_{(1.679)}^{(283)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1480 | Ita eena, Ms | u.s. | 1772 | ${ }^{19} 172$ | - | - | ${ }_{186}$ | ${ }_{2} 186$ | - | - | ${ }_{(15)}$ | ${ }_{(15)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | 1587 | Jefferson Davis Electric Cooperative, Inc. | u.s. | 2,428 | 2,428 7790 | - | - | ${ }^{2,637}$ | 2,637 | - | - | (209) | (209) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1481 | Kosciusko, Ms | u.s. | 787 | $\begin{array}{r}787 \\ \hline 787 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | : | : | ${ }_{855}^{8,461}$ | ${ }_{8,855}^{8,401}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(68)}$ | ${ }_{(68)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | SERC | 1482 | Leald, MS | u.s. | ${ }_{247}^{347}$ | ${ }_{247}^{347}$ | : | : | ${ }_{268}^{376}$ | ${ }_{268}^{376}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(21)}^{(30)}$ | ${ }_{(21)}^{(30)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1314 | Misisisippi Power Company | u.s. | 107,84 | 107, 884 | - | - | 116,740 | 116,740 | - | - | (9,256) | (9,256) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | SERC | 1315 | Municipal Electic Authorit of Georgia N. Electic Membership Corp. | U.s. |  |  | - | - | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{123,737}$ | ${ }_{\substack{123,737 \\ 14512}}$ | : | - | ${ }^{(9,911)}$ | ${ }^{(9,811)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | 1317 |  | U.S. |  |  | : | : | 1124,512 86,566 5060 | 148,566 | : | : | (16,864) | (16,864) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | SERC | 1318 | North Carolina Municipal Power Agency $\#$, Northeast Louisina Power Cooperativ, 1 | U.S. | 49,682 | 49,682 | : | : | 53,960 | 53,960 3,252 | - | - | (4,278) | $\underset{\substack{(4,278) \\(258)}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1574 | Noothern Virginia Electric Cooperative | u.s. | 37,684 | 37,684 | - |  | 40,929 | 40,929 |  |  | (3,245) | ${ }^{(3,245)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | SERC | 1319 | Old Dominion Electric Cooperative | U.S. | 60,169 2.899 | 60,169 2.899 | : | : | ${ }_{\substack{\text { c5,351 } \\ 3,148}}$ | 65.351 <br> 3,148 | : | : | ${ }_{\text {(5,182) }}^{(250)}$ | ${ }_{\text {(5,182) }}^{(250)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1320 | Owensboro (KY) Municipal Uuities | u.s. | 9,477 | 9,477 | - | - | 10,293 | 10,293 | - | - | (816) | (816) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | 1321 1323 | Piedmont EMC in Duke and Progress Are Piedmot Municial Power Agency PMP. | u.s. | 5,4,488 24,64 |  | : | : | 5.928 | 5.928 26768 | : |  | ${ }_{(2122)}^{(470)}$ | ${ }_{(2122)}^{(470)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1589 | Pointe Coupee Electric Memb. Corp. | u.s. | 2, 2,857 | 24,685 | : | : | ${ }_{3,103}^{20,080}$ | $\underset{3,103}{ }$ | - | : | ${ }_{(246)}$ | ${ }_{(246)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC SERC | 1266 1330 | Powersouth Energy | u.s. | 89,231 10,602 | 89,231 10,602 | : | : | 96,995 18,031 | 96,915 18,031 | : | $:$ |  | $\underset{(1,4,380)}{(7,684)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1324 | Progess Energy Carolinas | u.s. | 492,229 | 492,029 | - | - | 534,401 | 534,401 | - | - | (42,372) | (42,372) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2090 | SERC | 1325 <br> 1326 | Rutheforod EMC South Caroina Eectric \& Gas Compan | u.s. |  | (13,637 | : | : | 14,812 26093 | 14,812 26,396 | : | : | (1,174) | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{(1,174)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | ${ }_{1327}^{1327}$ | Sout Caroina Electir \& Gas Company | U.s. | 239,799 77,137 | 239,799 77,137 | : | : | ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{260,366}$ 83,80 | ${ }_{88,780}^{26,396}$ | : | : | $\underset{(6,643)}{(20,647)}$ | $\underset{(0,683)}{(20,647)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1590 | South Louisiana Electric Cooperative Assi | u.s. | ${ }_{\text {c, }}^{6,512}$ | ${ }^{6.512}$ | - | - | 7,073 | ${ }^{7.073}$ | - | - | (561) | (561) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | ${ }_{1329}^{1328}$ | Sout Missisisipi Electric Powe Associal | U.S. | 106,69 15.968 | 106,69 15.968 | $:$ | : |  | $\underset{\substack{111,072 \\ 17,343}}{ }$ | - | : | ${ }_{(1,3,35)}^{(0,203)}$ | ${ }_{(0,12,35)}^{(9,203)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1591 | Southwest Louisiana Electric Membershif | u.s. | 26,155 | 26,155 | - | - | 28,408 | 28,408 | - | - | (2,252) | ${ }^{(2,252)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | SERC SERC SRC | 11619 | Soutwestem Eleatric Cooperative, Inc. | u.s. | (1,783,740 | 4,450 1,783,700 | : | : | (1,4,833 | 4,833 1,937,350 | : | : | ${ }_{(153,611)}^{(383)}$ | ${ }_{(153.611)}^{(383)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1332 | Tombigbe Electric Cooperative Inc. | u.s. | ${ }^{1.411}$ | ${ }^{1.411}$ | - | . | ${ }^{1.533}$ | 1.533 | - |  | (122) | (122) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | SERC SERC | 1592 | Town of Black creek. N.C. | u.s. | 135 226 | 135 226 | : | : | 147 246 | ${ }_{246}^{147}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(129)}^{(12)}$ | ${ }_{(12)}^{(12)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | serc | 1594 | Town of Sharssurg, N.C. | u.s. | 232 | 232 | - | - | 252 | 252 | - | - | (20) | (20) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 1595 | Town of Stantonsturg, ...C. | u.s. | 249 | 249 | - | - | ${ }^{271}$ | ${ }^{271}$ | - | - | ${ }^{(21)}$ | ${ }^{(21)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | serc | 1334 | Town of Winssoro sc | u.s. | ${ }_{8}^{120}$ | ${ }_{8}^{1} 8$ | : | : | ${ }_{891}$ | ${ }_{8} 81$ | - | : | (71) | (71) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SERC | 1335 | Town of Winterille NC Valley Electic Membershic Corporation, | u.s. | 555 | 555 | : | : | 603 1061 | 603 1.961 | : | : | ${ }^{(48)}$ | ${ }^{(48)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SERC | 159 | Washingor-St Tammany Electric cooper | U.s. | -11,839 | +11,833 | : |  | 12,906 | 12,906 | : |  | (1,023) | (1.023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TOTAL SERC |  | 10.671.508 | 10,671.508 | . | . | 11,590.508 | 11,590.508 | . | . | (919,000) | (919.000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Spp | 1246 | American Electric Power | u.s. | 1,549,506 | 1,549.506 |  |  | 1.581,450 | 1,581,450 |  |  | (31,944) | ${ }^{(31,944)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | Spp | ${ }_{1247}^{1435}$ | Alkansas Electric Cooperative Corporatic Board of Public Uutites (Kansas civy ks | U.S. |  | 167,840 106403 | : |  | 171,300 108.597 | 171.300 108,597 | : | : |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{(3,4604)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20092009 | SPP | 1620 | Board of Public Uutities, Cily of McPhers | u.s. | ${ }^{37,989}$ | ${ }^{37,989}$ |  |  | ${ }^{38,772}$ | ${ }^{38,772}$ |  |  | ${ }^{(783)}$ | (783) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SPP | 1468 | Cap Rock Energy | u.s. | 36,221 | 36,221 | - |  | ${ }^{36,968}$ | 36,968 | - |  | ${ }^{(747)}$ | (747) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessmens (incuding wiras Assesments) |  |  |  | Regional Enity NELA Assessments |  |  |  | Penaly Sanctions . Us only |  | NpCc corc us only staft |  | NPCC Audit Based 45\% corc Program |  |  | WEcC Compliance Assessments (ex.AESO) |  |  |  | wirab assessment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{\text { Data } \\ \text { vear }}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { enity }}}_{\text {Regional }}$ | 10 | Enity | county | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico | Total | US Total | Total | US Total | Total | US Total | cean | Total | US Total | canat | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | Total | US Total | canatal | Mexico |
| 2009 | spp | 1469 | Central valey Electric Cooperative | u.s. | 34,208 | ${ }^{34,208}$ | - | - | 34,913 | 34,913 | - | - | (705) | (705) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | SpP | ${ }_{1556}^{1557}$ | City of Bentonvile ciryof Clarssala, Mississipipi | u.s. | 25,203 | 25,203 | : | : | $\underset{\substack{25.722 \\ 7.803}}{ }$ | -25,722 <br> 7.803 | : | : | (520) | (520) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1558 | Hope Water \& Light (HWL) | u.s. | 12,785 | 12,785 | - | - | 13,048 | 13,048 | - | - | (264) | ${ }^{(264)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1559 | City of Minden | u.s. | 7.504 | 7.504 | . | - | 7.659 | 7,659 | - | - | (155) | (155) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | Spp | 1248 <br> 1436 | Independence Power 8 Light (Independe City yulites of Sprinfield, MO | u.s. | 48,370 138,403 | 48,370 138,403 | - | : | 49,368 141256 | 49,368 141,256 | $:$ | : | ${ }_{(2,553)}^{(997)}$ | ${ }_{(2,585)}^{(997)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1249 | Cleco Power LLC | u.s. | ${ }_{498,836}^{143,036}$ | ${ }_{493,836}$ |  | - | ${ }_{504,417}^{141,26}$ | 504,017 | : | : | ${ }_{(00,181)}^{(2,53)}$ | ${ }_{(10,181)}^{(2,153)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1437 | East texas Electric Coop, Inc. | u.s. | 18,127 | 18,127 | - | - | 18,501 | 18.501 | - | . | (374) | (374) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | Spp | 1250 1470 | The Empie District Electric Company Farmest Electric Coop | u.s. | 235,780 18,297 | 235,780 18,297 | : | : | 240,641 18,675 | 240,641 18,675 | : | : | $\underset{(3,861)}{(4,87)}$ | ${ }_{(0,481)}^{(4,87)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1438 | Golden Spread Electric Coop | u.s. | 200,216 | 200,216 | - | - | 204,343 | 204,343 | - | - | (4,128) | (4,128) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1251 | Grand River Dam Authority | u.s. | 191,906 | 191,906 | - | - | 195,863 | 1995863 | - | - | (3,956) | (3,956) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SpP | 1252 1439 | Kansas City Power 8 Light (KCPL) Kansas Electric Power Cooo, Inc | u.s. u.s. | 700.042 91.113 | 700.042 91.113 | : | : | 714,474 92999 | 714,474 92991 | : | : | $\underset{\substack{(1,4,38) \\(1.878)}}{(1,9)}$ | $\underset{\substack{(1,4,432) \\(1,88)}}{(129)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Spp | 1490 | Kansas Municipal Energy Agency (KCPL | u.s. | 32,432 | 32,432 | - | - | ${ }^{33,101}$ | ${ }_{3}^{33,101}$ | - | - | ${ }_{(669)}$ | ${ }_{(6,69)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | SPP | 1598 <br> 1471 | KCPRL GMOC (Greater Missoui Operal | u.s. | ( $\begin{gathered}37.037 \\ 93,190\end{gathered}$ | ( $\begin{gathered}37.037 \\ 93,190\end{gathered}$ | : | : | 385,830 <br> 95,11 <br> , | 385,330 95111 | : | : | (1,794) | (1,794) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | SPP | ${ }_{1472}^{147}$ | Leatyente Unitites System | U.s. | ${ }_{55,344}^{93,190}$ | ${ }_{55,344}^{93,190}$ | : | : | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {56,485 }}$ | ${ }_{56,485}^{95.111}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(1,141)}^{(1,1,21)}$ | ${ }_{(1,141}^{(1,1921)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Spp | ${ }_{1253}^{1251}$ | Louisiana Energy \& Power Authorit (LEI | us. |  |  | - | : |  |  | : | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 209 | Spp | 1441 <br> 144 | Miduest Eneray Inc. Missuri Joint Municipa Electric uvility | U.S. | ${ }_{\substack{71,873 \\ 98,87}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{71,873 \\ 98,87}}$ | : | : | 73,35 10, 864 | 73,35 100,864 | $:$ | : |  | ${ }_{(0}^{(1,483)}{ }_{(2,037)}^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1442 | Northeast Texas Electric cooperative, Inc | u.s. | 134,576 | 134,576 | - | - | 137,350 | 137,350 | - | - | ${ }_{(2,774)}$ | ${ }_{(2,774)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2009}$ | Spp | ${ }_{1}^{1255}$ | Okahoma Gas and Electric Co . | u.s. | 1,240,164 | 1,240,164 | - | - | 1,265,731 | ${ }_{1}^{1,265,731}$ | - | - | ${ }^{(22,567)}$ | ${ }^{(22,567)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | SpP | 1444 1561 | Okahoma Municipa Power A Authority | U.S.s. U.s. | 112,193 5,349 | 1212,193 <br> 5,34 <br> , | : | : | 514,5066 |  | $:$ | : | $\underset{\substack{\text { (12, } \\(1213)}}{(2,3)}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { (2,313) } \\(110)}}{(2,18)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | spp | 1473 | Roosevet County Electric Coop | u.s. | 9.666 | 9,666 | - | - | 9,865 | 9,865 | - | - | (199) | (199) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | Spp | 1258 1257 | Southwester Power Administation (SP, | U.s. | ${ }_{8876,485}^{179,735}$ | ${ }_{8876,485}^{179,735}$ | : | : |  | 183,40 894,54 | : | : | ${ }_{(18,069)}^{(3,75)}$ | ${ }_{(18,069)}^{(3,75)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SPP | 1256 | Sunflower Electric Cooperative (SECI) | u.s. | 239,201 | 239,201 | - | - | 244,132 | 244,132 | - | - | (4,931) | (4,931) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | Spp | 1445 1475 | Tex- La Llectric C Coperative of Texas Ti County lectric Coop | U.S. | 20,683 18.061 | 20,683 18.061 | : | : | 21.110 <br> 18.434 | 21,110 | : | : | ${ }_{(372)}^{(426)}$ | ${ }_{(372)}^{(426)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | SpP | 1260 | Westar Energy, Inc. | u.s. | 990,700 | 990,700 | - | - | 1,011,124 | 1,011,124 | - | - | ${ }_{(20,424)}$ | (20,424) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | spp | 1259 1501 | Westem Farmers Electric Cooperative West Texas Municical Power Agency | U.S. | 331.396 85.682 | 331.396 85.682 | - | : | 338,228 87,449 | 338,228 <br> 87,49 | : | : |  | $\underset{\substack{(0,832) \\(1,766)}}{(0,5)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total SPP |  | 9,094,985 | 9,094,985 | . | . | 9,282,485 | 9,282,485 | . | . | (187,500) | (187,500) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | TRE | 1019 | ercot | u.s. | 9,227,823 | 9,227,823 |  |  | 9,24,823 | 9,24, 823 | - | - | (20.000) | (20.000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9,227,823 | 9,227, 823 | . | . | 9,247,823 | 9,247,823 | . | . | (20,000) | (20,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Albera Electric System Operator | Canada | 2,258,305 | - | 2,258,305 | $\checkmark$ | 2,237,035 | - | 2,237,035 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21,270 |  | 21.270 |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | WECC WECC |  | British Columbia Transmission Corporatio Comision fedearal de lectricidad | ${ }_{\text {conada }}^{\text {Mexico }}$ | $2,819,973$ 50,126 | : | 2,819,973 | 500.126 | $2,399,702$ 425,590 | : | 2,399,702 | 425.590 | : | - |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{397,455} 70.489$ |  | 397,455 | 70.489 | ${ }^{22,817} 4$ |  | 22,817 | 4,047 |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Aguila lrigation District | U.s. | ${ }^{1,286}$ | ${ }^{1,286}$ | - | , | 1.124 | ${ }^{1,124}$ | - |  | (34) | (34) |  |  |  |  |  | 186 | 186 |  |  | 11 | 11 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Aha Macav Power Serice | u.s. | 1,125 | 1,125 | - |  | ${ }^{983}$ | ${ }^{983}$ |  | - | (30) | (30) |  |  |  |  |  | 163 | 163 |  |  | 9 | 9 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC WECC cec |  | ${ }^{\text {Aio ol mprovement District }}$ | u.s. | -631 | ${ }^{631}$ | - | : | 551 1223 | ${ }_{1}^{551}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(177)}^{(17)}$ | ${ }_{(177)}^{(17)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{203}^{91}$ | ${ }_{203}^{91}$ |  |  | 12 | 12 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECCC |  | ALCOAINC. | u.s. | ${ }_{112,776}^{1,400}$ | ${ }^{\text {112,700 }}$ | : | : | 9, 9 9,512 | ${ }_{98,512}^{1,223}$ | : | - | ${ }_{(2,989)}$ | ${ }_{(2,989)}^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{16,316}^{203}$ | ${ }_{16,316}^{203}$ |  |  | ${ }_{937}^{12}$ | ${ }_{937}^{12}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Arizona Pulic Serice Company ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | u.s. | 1,392,895 | 1,392,895 |  | - | ${ }^{1,2126,726} 9$ | 1,2126,726 | - | : | ${ }^{(36,922)}$ | ${ }_{(36,922)}^{(297)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{201,522}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{11,569}$ | ${ }^{11.569}$ |  |  |
| 2009 209 | WECC WECCC |  | Aransas River Power Authority (ARPA) | u.s. | ${ }_{\text {112,211 }}^{12}$ | ${ }^{11,211}$ | : | : | ${ }^{9,793}$ | ${ }^{9,793}$ | $:$ | : | ${ }_{(1)}^{(297)}$ | ${ }_{(1)}^{(297)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1,622 8 | ${ }_{1,622}^{8}$ |  |  | ${ }^{93}$ | ${ }_{0}^{93}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Avista Adiusted LSE NEL | u.s. | 432,374 | 432,374 | - | - | 377,689 | 377,689 | - | - | (11,461) | (11,461) |  |  |  |  |  | 62,555 | 62,555 |  |  | 3,591 | 3,591 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | avista corporation | u.s. | 10,486 | ${ }^{10,486}$ | - | - | 9,160 | 9,160 | - | - | (278) | (278) |  |  |  |  |  | 1.517 | ${ }^{1.517}$ |  |  | 87 | 87 |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | WECC WECCC |  | Barrick Gold Stike Basin Electic Power Cooperative | U.S.s. | 56,871 138,865 | 56,871 138,865 | : | : | 49,678 121,302 | ${ }_{\text {4, }}^{421,6788}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(3,681)}^{(1,588)}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { (1,6881) }}}^{(1.58)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8,288 20,91 | 8,228 20,091 |  |  | 4,153 | - ${ }_{\text {1,153 }}^{47}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Basin Electric Power Cooperative (Waun | u.s. | 2,609 | 2,609 | - | - | 2,279 | 2,279 | - | - | (69) | (69) |  |  |  |  |  | 377 | 377 |  |  | 22 | 22 |  |  |
| 2009 209 | WECC WECC |  |  | U.S. | $\underset{\substack{26,404 \\ 8,453}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{26,404 \\ 8.453}}{ }$ | : | : | $\underset{\substack{23,064 \\ 7,384}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{23,064 \\ 7,384}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | : | : | ${ }_{\text {(224) }}^{(700)}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { (270) } \\(224)}}^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3,820 1,223 1 | 3,820 1,223 |  |  | 219 70 | 219 70 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Big bend Ellectric Cooperative, | u.s. | 15,720 | 15,720 | - | - | 13,732 | ${ }_{13,732}$ | - | - | (417) | (417) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2,274}^{122}$ | ${ }_{2,274}^{122}$ |  |  | 131 | 131 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC cec |  | BLACHLY-ANE ELECTRIC COOPERA- Black Hill Power | u.s. | - ${ }_{8}^{6.443}$ | ${ }^{6.443} 8$ | : | : | 5.5.288 | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{5.628}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(2239)}^{(171)}$ | (171) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{12,222}^{932}$ | +12322 |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{54}$ | ${ }_{7}^{54}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | ${ }_{\text {Black }}^{\text {Bill }}$ State Univesity (State of SD) | u.s. | ${ }^{89} 790$ | ${ }^{84,490}$ | : | : | ${ }^{73,91} 690$ | ${ }_{6}{ }_{690}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(21)}^{(2,23)}$ | ${ }_{(212)}^{(2,23)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{12,222}$ | ${ }_{12}^{12,222}$ |  |  | ${ }_{7} 7$ | 702 |  |  |
| ${ }^{2009}$ | WECC |  | Black Hills Wyoming Inc. | u.s. | 202,394 | 202,394 | - | - | 176,796 | 176,796 | - | - | (5, 365) | ${ }_{(5,365)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 29,282 | 29,282 |  |  | ${ }^{1,681}$ | ${ }^{1.681}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{209}^{2009}$ | WECCC |  |  | U.s. | ${ }_{6,367}^{1,403}$ | ${ }_{6,367}^{1,403}$ | : | : | ${ }_{5,562}^{1,266}$ | ${ }_{5,562}^{1,226}$ | $:$ | : | ${ }_{(169)}$ | ${ }_{(169)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{921}^{203}$ | ${ }_{921}^{203}$ |  |  | ${ }_{53}^{12}$ | ${ }_{53}^{12}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Bonneville Power Administration (Avista) | u.s. |  | ${ }^{7721}$ | - | - | ${ }^{656}$ | ${ }^{656}$ | - | - | (20) | (20) |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | 109 |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | WECC WECCC |  | ${ }_{\text {BPA }}^{\text {Bonnevile Power Adminstation }}$ | U.S. | $\underset{\substack{172,866 \\ 8,993}}{\text { c, }}$ | $\underset{\substack{172,866 \\ 8,93}}{\text { c, }}$ | : | : | $\underset{\substack{151,003 \\ 7,768}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{r}151,03 \\ 7,768 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $:$ | : | $\underset{(236)}{(4,582)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,010 1,287 | 25,010 1,287 |  |  | ${ }_{74}$ | 1,436 74 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | BPA - Power Busines Line | u.s. | 337 | 337 | - | - | 295 | 295 | - | - | (9) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  | 49 | 49 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | WECC WECC |  | Buckeye Water Conservaton and Dranaç Califoria 1 ISo | U.s. | ${ }_{\text {10,515,721 }}^{812}$ | ${ }_{\text {10,555,721 }}^{812}$ | : | : | 9,185,728 | 9,185,729 | : | : | ${ }_{(278,788)}^{(22)}$ | ${ }_{(278,748)}^{(22)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1,521,402 | 1,521,402 |  |  | 87,339 | 87,339 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Canby Public Utility Board | u.s. | 8,072 | 8,072 | - | - | 7,051 | 7,051 | - | - | (214) | (214) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1,168}$ | ${ }_{1,168}$ |  |  | 67 | 67 |  |  |
| 2009 209 | WECC WECC |  | Central Arizona Water Consevation Distr CENTRAL LLECTRIC COOP | U.s. | ${ }_{21}^{11,9,521}$ | ${ }_{2}^{119,921}$ | : | : | 104,753 20,540 | 104,53 <br> 20.540 | : | : | ${ }_{\substack{\text { (3,179) } \\(623)}}^{(1)}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { (3,179) } \\(623)}}^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{17,350 \\ 3,402}}{\text {, }}$ | cine $\begin{gathered}17,350 \\ 3,402\end{gathered}$ |  |  | 996 195 | 996 195 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CENTRAL LINCOLN PUD | u.s. | 60,980 | 60,980 | - | - | ${ }_{53,268}$ | ${ }_{55,268}$ | - | - | (1,616) | (1,616) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{8,823}$ | ${ }_{8,823}$ |  |  | 506 | ${ }_{506}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Central Montana | U.s. | 2,906 | 2,906 | - | : | 2,539 | 2,539 | : | $\therefore$ | (77) | ${ }^{(77)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{420}$ | ${ }_{4}^{420}$ |  |  | ${ }_{28}^{24}$ | ${ }_{28}^{24}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | WECCC |  | Citr of alion | U.s. | ${ }_{162}^{3,393}$ | ${ }_{162}^{3,393}$ | : | : | ${ }_{1}^{2,964}$ | ${ }_{141}^{2,964}$ | : | : | (9) | (4) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{23}$ | ${ }_{23}$ |  |  | 28 1 | ${ }_{1}^{28}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | WECC |  | City fot Azec | U.s. | ${ }_{1}^{1,735}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,7355}$ | : | : | +1,515 |  | - | : | ${ }_{(46)}$ | ${ }_{(46)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{251}$ | ${ }_{442}^{251}$ |  |  | 14 25 | 14 25 |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | WECCC |  | CITY OF BonNers ferry | U.S. | ${ }_{\substack{3,055 \\ 3,168}}^{1,585}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3,055 \\ 3,168}}^{1}$ | $\because$ | : | 2,7688 | ${ }_{\text {2,768 }}$ | - | : | ${ }_{(84)}$ | ${ }_{(84)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{448}$ | ${ }_{458}^{442}$ |  |  | 25 26 | 25 26 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | City of Bualder | u.s. | 8.077 | 8.077 | - | - | 7,055 | 7.055 | - | - | (214) | (214) |  |  |  |  |  | 1,169 | ${ }^{1,169}$ |  |  | 67 | 67 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECCC |  |  | U.S. | 5,508 1.388 | 5,508 1.388 | : | : | +1,812 | ${ }_{\substack{4,812 \\ 1.212}}^{\text {d, }}$ | $:$ | : | ${ }_{(137)}^{(146)}$ | ${ }_{(137)}^{(146)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{201}^{797}$ | ${ }_{201}^{797}$ |  |  | 46 12 | 46 12 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | CITY OF CASCADE LOCKS | u.s. | 978 | ${ }_{9} 978$ | - | - | ${ }_{854}$ | ${ }_{854}$ | - | - | (26) | (26) |  |  |  |  |  | 141 | 141 |  |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |
| 2009 209 | WECC WECCC |  | CITY OF CENTRALA CITY OF CHENEY | U.S.s. U.S. |  | 12,570 6,233 | : | : | $\underset{\substack{10,980 \\ 5.444}}{\text { c, }}$ | 10,980 <br> 5.444 | : | : | ${ }_{\substack{\text { (133) }}}^{(165)}$ | ${ }_{\substack{(333) \\(165)}}^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1.819 | ${ }_{1}^{1.819}$ |  |  | 104 52 | 104 52 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF CHEWELAH | u.s. | ${ }_{1,121}$ | ${ }_{1,121}^{6,21}$ | - | - | ${ }_{979}$ | ${ }^{5} 979$ | - | : | (30) | (30) |  |  |  |  |  | 162 | 162 |  |  | 9 | 5 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | CITY OF DECLO | u.s. | ${ }_{1}^{136}$ | ${ }_{1}^{136}$ | - | - | 119 | ${ }_{694}^{119}$ | : | : | ${ }^{(4)}$ | ${ }_{(2)}^{(4)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 20 115 | ${ }^{20}$ |  |  | 1 | ${ }_{7}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ | WECCC |  | CITY OF ELLENSESURG | U.s. | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \% } \\ \text { 10,078 } \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 10,078 | . | . | ${ }_{8,804}^{694}$ | \%,804 | : | : | ${ }_{(267)}^{(21)}$ | ${ }_{(267)}^{(21)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1,458 | 1,458 |  |  | 84 | 84 |  |  |
| ${ }^{2009}$ | WECC |  | City of Fallon | u.s. | 5,294 | 5,294 | - | - | 4,624 | 4,624 | - | - | ${ }^{(120)}$ | ${ }^{(120)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{766}$ | ${ }^{766}$ |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{44}$ | ${ }^{44}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{2099}^{2009}$ | WECCC |  | City of Gallup | U.s. | 11,232 10,294 | ${ }_{1}^{11,232}$ | . |  | ${ }_{\text {¢,992 }}$ | ${ }_{8}^{9,992}$ | - | : | ${ }_{(273)}^{(298)}$ | $\underset{(273)}{(208)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1,489 | ${ }_{1}^{1,489}$ |  |  | ${ }_{85}^{93}$ | ${ }_{85}^{93}$ |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  | Total Regional Entity Assessments (nncluding WiraB Assesments) |  |  |  | Regional Enity NEL Assessments |  |  |  | Penalty Sanctions Us only |  | NPCC corc us only staft |  | NPCC Audit Rased 45\% corc Program |  |  | WECC Compliance Assessments (ex.AESO) |  |  |  | wriab assessments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Datar } \\ \text { vear }}]{ }$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { Regional } \\ \text { Enity }}}$ | 10 | Enity | untry | Total | US Total | Canada Total | Mexico Total | Total | US Total | Canada Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total | US Total | Total | US Total | Total | US Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canadal } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Total | US Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { canada } \\ \text { Totall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mexico } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total | US Total | $\underset{\substack{\text { canadal } \\ \text { Total }}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | Mexico |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Navaio Triba U Uilly Authority (APS) | u.s. | 1.862 | ${ }^{1.862}$ | - |  | 1,626 | 1.626 | - |  | (49) | (49) |  |  |  |  |  | 269 | 269 |  |  | 15 | 15 |  |  |
| ${ }^{2009}$ | wecc |  | Navio Tribal Uutili Authorit (PSCOINM) | u.s. | 9.772 | ${ }^{1,772}$ | - |  | ${ }^{8.536}$ | ${ }_{8,536}$ | - |  | (259) | ${ }^{(259)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,144}$ | ${ }_{1,144}$ |  |  | 81 | 81 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | Navopache Eleatric Cooperative, Inc | U.S. | 21.440 160 160 | 21.440 160 | : |  | 18,728 140 | 18,728 140 | : |  | ${ }_{(568)}^{(4)}$ | $\underset{(4)}{(568)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3,102 23 | 3.102 23 |  |  | 178 1 | 178 1 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Needes Publici Uuitites Authority | u.s. | 1,661 | 1,661 | - |  | 1,451 | 1,451 |  |  | (44) | (44) |  |  |  |  |  | 240 | 240 |  |  | 14 | 14 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | NESPELEM VALLEY ELECTRIC Coope | u.s. | 2,395 | 2,395 | - |  | 2,092 | 2,092 |  |  | (63) | (63) |  |  |  |  |  | 346 | 346 |  |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | WECC WECC |  | Nevada Power Company New Haruuanala | U.S. | 998,993 ${ }_{60}$ | ${ }^{998,993}$ | : |  | ${ }^{872,644}$ 53 | 872,644 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(26,481) }}^{(2)}$ | ${ }_{\text {(26,481) }}^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 144,533 | 144,533 |  |  | 8,297 | 8,297 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Noothem Lights inc. | u.s. | 1,249 | 1,249 | - | - | 1,091 | 1,091 | . | - | ${ }_{(33)}$ | (33) |  |  |  |  |  | 181 | 181 |  |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | NORTHERN LIGHTS, INC. | u.s. | 13,974 | 13,974 | - |  | 12,207 | 12,207 |  |  | ${ }^{(370)}$ | ${ }^{(370)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2.022 | 2.022 |  |  | ${ }^{116}$ | ${ }^{116}$ |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | NORTHERN WASCO COUNTY PUD NWMT | U.S. | 27,248 13,72 | 27, 2138 13,772 | : |  | 23,802 12,031 | 23,802 12,031 | - |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { (365) }}}^{(722)}$ | ${ }_{\text {(365) }}(722)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3,992 1,993 | 3,942 1,993 |  |  | 226 114 | 226 114 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | OHOP MUTUAL LIGHT Company | u.s. | 4,059 | 4,059 | - |  | ${ }_{3,546}^{14,01}$ | ${ }_{3,546}^{14,01}$ |  | - | ${ }^{(108)}$ | (108) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{158}$ | ${ }_{\text {187 }}$ |  |  | 34 | 114 34 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | ORCAS POWER \& LIGHT COOPERATI | u.s. | 9.786 | ${ }^{9,786}$ | - |  | 8.548 | ${ }^{8,548}$ |  |  | (259) | (259) |  |  |  |  |  | 1.416 | ${ }_{1,416}$ |  |  | 81 | 81 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC |  | OREGON TRAALELELECTRIC CONSUME | us. | 30.575 115529 | 30.575 1759 | - | - | ${ }^{26,708}$ | 26,708 | - | - | ${ }^{(810)}$ | ${ }^{(810)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4.424 | ${ }_{4}^{4,424}$ |  |  | 254 | 254 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | Overto Power District $\# 5$ PACIFICOPPP (BPA) | U.S. | ${ }^{17,529}$ | 17,529 701 | : |  | ${ }_{\substack{15,312 \\ 612}}$ | ${ }_{\text {15,312 }}^{612}$ | $:$ |  | ${ }_{(1965)}^{(196)}$ | ${ }_{(1265)}^{(465)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2.536 101 | 2.536 101 |  |  | 146 6 | 146 6 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | PACIIFCORP (PGE) | u.s. | 145 | 145 | - |  | 127 | 127 | - | - | (4) | (4) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{21}$ | 21 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PACIFIFORPP(WAPA) | u.s. | 9,392 | 9,392 | - |  | 8,204 | 8,204 | - | - | (249) | (249) |  |  |  |  |  | 1,359 | 1,359 |  |  | 78 |  |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | Pacticoro (PACE) Paciicorp ( PACW) | U.S. | 2,048,743 975,880 | ${ }_{\text {2,048,743 }} \times$ | : |  | ${ }_{\text {1, }}^{1,799,625}$ | ${ }_{\text {1, }}^{1,789,625}$ | : | : | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{(54,308)}$ | (54,308) |  |  |  |  |  | 296410 141191 | ${ }_{\text {2064110 }}^{29610}$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{17,016 \\ 8,105}}{\substack{\text { che }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{17,016}}^{\substack{105}}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Page Electric Uuility | u.s. | ${ }^{9598}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | : |  | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5} 522$ | : |  | ${ }_{(16)}^{(25)}$ | ${ }_{(156)}^{(25,89)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}141,191 \\ \hline 86\end{array}$ | -14,191 |  |  | 8,105 5 | 8,105 5 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PARKL LND LIGHT AND WATER COMP | U.s. | ${ }_{\text {chers }}^{5.633}$ | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{5.683}$ | - |  | 4,921 | ${ }^{4}, 921$ | - |  | ${ }_{(1254)}^{(129)}$ | ${ }_{(154)}^{(149)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{8115}$ | ${ }_{8115}^{815}$ |  |  | 47 | ${ }_{27}^{47}$ |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | WECC WECCC |  | PENINSLLAL LIGHT COMMANY, INC. Plate River Powe Authority | U.S. | 28,436 143,44 | 28,366 143,444 | : | : | (24,840 $\begin{array}{r}24,301\end{array}$ | 24,840 125,301 | : | : | ${ }_{(3,802)}^{(754)}$ | ${ }_{(3,802)}^{(754)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{20,753}^{4,114}$ | ${ }_{\text {4, }}^{4.114}$ |  |  | 1,191 | ${ }_{\text {1,191 }}^{236}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wECC |  | PORT TOWNSEND PAPER CORPORAT | u.s. | 8,949 | ${ }^{8,949}$ | - |  | 7,817 | 7,817 | - | - | (237) | (237) |  |  |  |  |  | 1,295 | 1,295 |  |  | 74 | 74 |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | WECC WECC |  | Portand Seneral leectic Company Public Senice Company of Colorado | U.S. | ${ }_{\text {1 }}{ }_{1,324,5,371}$ | ${ }_{\text {r }}^{\text {1,346,371 }}$ | : | : | 720,244 1,149,881 | [20,244 $\begin{array}{r}\text { 1,49,881 }\end{array}$ | $:$ | : | ${ }_{(34,894)}^{(21,196)}$ | (21,856) |  |  |  |  |  | 19,292 190,451 | 19,292 190,451 |  |  | 6,948 10,933 | - $\begin{array}{r}6,848 \\ 10,933\end{array}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Pubic Senice Company of Colorado (Xce | us. | 1.478 | 1,478 | - |  | 1,291 | 1,291 | - | . | (39) | (39) |  |  |  |  |  | 214 | 214 |  |  | 12 | 12 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | Pubic Senice Company of New Mexico PuO No 1 OF Douclas countr | U.S. | 463,136 402 | 463,136 402 | $:$ | : | 404,560 ${ }_{351}$ | 404,560 351 | : | $:$ | ${ }_{(112)}^{(12,27)}$ | ${ }_{(12,27)}^{(12)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{67,06 \\ 58}}{ }$ | 67,06 58 |  |  | ${ }^{3,847}{ }_{3}$ | ${ }^{3.847}{ }_{3}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | PUd No. 1 OF ASOTIN COUNTY | u.s. | 238 | 238 | - | - | 208 | 208 | - | - | (6) | (6) |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 34 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | PUD No. 10 O BENTON COUNTY PUO No. 1 of Chean County | U.S. | 80,788 147,708 | 80,788 147,788 | : | : | 70,570 1290026 | 70.570 129026 | : | : | ${ }_{(3,915)}^{(2,141)}$ | ${ }_{(3,95)}^{(2,141)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{11,688 \\ 21,370}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{11,688 \\ 21,370}}^{\text {20, }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{1.227}^{671}$ | ${ }_{1.227}^{671}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | PUUNO. 1 Of CLALLAM County | us. | 31.134 | ${ }^{31,134}$ | - |  | ${ }^{27,196}$ | ${ }^{27,196}$ | - | : | ${ }_{(825)}$ | (825) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.504 | 4.504 |  |  | ${ }_{259}^{1 / 27}$ | ${ }_{259}^{1,27}$ |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC cec |  | PUD No. 1 OF CowLITZ COUNTY | U.S. | 222,775 67364 | 222,775 66364 | : | - | 194,600 | 194,600 58884 | : | : | ${ }_{(1,595)}^{(5,986)}$ | ${ }_{(1,1786)}^{(5,905)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{32,231 \\ 9774}}{\text { a }}$ | $\underset{\substack{32,231 \\ 97762}}{ }$ |  |  | $\xrightarrow{1,850}$ | 1,850 559 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | PUO No. 1 OF FERRR COUNTY | U.s. | ${ }_{\text {4,504 }}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4,504}$ | : | : |  | ${ }^{5} \times 1,934$ | : | : | ${ }_{(119)}$ | ${ }_{(119)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{9,756}}^{652}$ | ${ }_{6} 9.42$ |  |  | 37 | ${ }_{37}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | PUD No. 1 OF FRANKLIN COUNTY | u.s. | ${ }^{45,414}$ | ${ }^{45,414}$ | - | - | 39,670 | 39,670 | - | - | ${ }^{(1,204)}$ | (1,204) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,570}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6.570}$ |  |  | ${ }^{377}$ | 377 |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | WECC WECC |  | PUD No. 1 OF Grars harbor PUD No. 1 OF KITITAS COUNTY | U.S. | 50,121 2,490 | $\underset{\substack{50.121 \\ 2,490}}{ }$ | : | : | ${ }_{\substack{4,175}}^{4,782}$ | ${ }^{43,782}{ }_{2}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(6,129)}^{(1,329}$ | ${ }_{(1,36)}^{(1,29)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 7,251 360 | 7,251 360 |  |  | ${ }_{21}^{416}$ | ${ }_{21}^{416}$ |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | wecc |  | PUD No. 1 OF Kllckitac County | u.s. | ${ }^{13,629}$ | 13,629 | - | - | ${ }^{11,906}$ | ${ }^{11,906}$ | - | - | ${ }^{(361)}$ | ${ }^{(361)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1,972 | ${ }_{1,972}$ |  |  | 113 | 113 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | PUD No. 1 OF LEWIS COUNTY PUD NO. 1 OF MASON COUNTY | U.S. | 43,866 3,633 | 43,866 3,633 | : | : | ${ }_{\substack{38,318 \\ 3,173}}^{1,159}$ | 38,318 3,173 | : | : | $\underset{(196)}{(1,163)}$ | ${ }_{(1,166)}^{(1,16)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 6,346 526 | 6,346 526 |  |  | 364 30 | 364 30 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Pud No. 1 of Pend Oreille County | u.s. | 43,946 | 43,946 | - | - | 38,388 | 38,388 |  | - | ${ }^{(1,165)}$ | (1,165) |  |  |  |  |  | 6,358 | ${ }_{6,358}$ |  |  | 365 | 365 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | PUD NO. 1 OF SKAMANA County PUD NO. 1 OF SNOHMMSH COUNTY | U.S. | \%,2944 | \% $\begin{array}{r}6,294 \\ 32,885\end{array}$ | : | : | [5,4988 |  | : | : | ${ }_{(8,585)}^{(167)}$ | ${ }_{(8,555)}^{(167)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{46,859}^{991}$ | - ${ }_{46,859}^{911}$ |  |  | 52 2.690 | 52 2.690 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | PUD No. 1 If WAHKIAKUM COUNTY | u.s. | 2,023 | 2,023 | - | - | 1,767 | 1,767 | - | . | (54) | (54) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{293}$ | ${ }^{293}$ |  |  | 17 | 17 |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | WECC WECC |  | PUD No. 1 OF WHATCOM COUNTY PUD No. 2 OF GRANT COUNTY (Avista | U.S. | 10,154 4.102 | 10.154 4.102 | : | : | 年,869 | 8,869 3,583 | : | : | $\underset{\substack{(269) \\(109)}}{(5)}$ | $\underset{\substack{(269) \\(109)}}{(9)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}1,469 \\ \hline 593\end{array}$ | (1,469 |  |  | 84 34 | 84 <br> 34 <br> 1 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | PUD No. 20 F GRANT COUNTY (BPA) | u.s. | ${ }_{2,223}$ | ${ }_{2,223}$ | - | - | ${ }_{1,942}$ | 1,942 | - | - | (59) | (59) |  |  |  |  |  | 322 | 322 |  |  | 18 | 18 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC wecc cec |  | PUD NO. 2 OF PACIIIC County PUD No 3 OF Mason County | U.s. | 14,190 ${ }_{31} 1756$ | ${ }_{1}^{14,190}$ | : | : | 12,396 27770 | 12,396 27770 | : | : | ${ }_{\substack{(376) \\(882)}}^{(1)}$ | (376) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{2,5953}$ | 2,053 4.594 |  |  | ${ }_{264}^{118}$ | ${ }_{264}^{118}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Puget Sound Energy | u.s. | 1,154,735 | ${ }_{\text {1,154,735 }}^{\substack{\text { 13,765 }}}$ | : |  | 1,008,688 | 1,008,688 | : | - | (30,609) | ${ }_{(30,609)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {16,7,966 }}^{\text {4,93 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {167,066 }}^{4.504}$ |  |  | ${ }_{9,591}^{264}$ | ${ }_{\text {9,591 }}$ |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC |  | RAFT RIVER RUVAL ELLECTRIC COOPI | u.s. | 10,046 | ${ }^{10,046}$ | - | - | ${ }^{8,776}$ | ${ }^{8,776}$ | - | - | ${ }^{(266)}$ | ${ }^{(266)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1,453}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,453}$ |  |  | 83 | ${ }_{59}^{83}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | RBS Sempra Energy Solutions | u.s. | 85,465 | ${ }_{85,465}$ | - | . | 74,656 | 74,656 | - | - | $(2,265)$ | (2,265) |  |  |  |  |  | 12,365 | 12,365 |  |  | 710 | 710 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  |  | u.s. | -910 | -910 | : | : | 795 1.577 | 7959 |  | : | ${ }_{\text {(28) }}^{(24)}$ | ${ }^{(24)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{262}^{132}$ | ${ }^{132}$ |  |  | ${ }^{8}$ | 8 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | Rocky Mountan Generation Cooperative Rosevelt Ifigation District | U.s. | ${ }_{1}^{1,3,554}$ | 1,805 1,354 | : | : | ${ }_{1,182}^{1,577}$ | ${ }_{1,182}^{1,577}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(36)}^{(48)}$ | ${ }_{(36)}^{(48)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 261 196 | 261 196 |  |  | 15 11 | 15 11 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | SALEM ELECTRIC | u.s. | 15,251 | 15,251 | - | - | 13,322 | 13,322 | - | - | (404) | (404) |  |  |  |  |  | 2,207 | 2,207 |  |  | 127 | 127 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | Salt River Project (SRP) San Caros indian rrigato Project | U.S. | 1,271,592 ${ }_{6}$ | 1,271,592 ${ }_{6}$ | : | : | ${ }^{1,110,765}$ | 1,110,765 ${ }_{6}$ | : | - | ${ }^{(33,707)}$ | ${ }_{(33,707)}^{(0,0)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 183,972 | 183,972 |  |  | 10,561 | ${ }^{10,561}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Seatte City Light | u.s. | 461,148 | 461,148 |  |  | 402,824 | 402,824 |  |  | (12,224) | (12,224) |  |  |  |  |  | 66,718 | 66,718 |  |  | 3.830 | 3,830 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC wecc |  | Siera Pactiti Power Company SMGT / BPA | U.S. | 395,259 <br> 727 | ${ }_{\text {395, } 729} 7$ | : | - | $\xrightarrow{345,268}$ | ${ }^{345,268}$ 635 | : | : | ${ }_{(10,47)}^{(19)}$ | $\underset{(10,477)}{(19)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57,186 cos 105 |  |  | ${ }^{3,883}$ | 3,283 6 |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | SMud | U.s. | 519,201 | 519,201 |  | - | 453,534 | 455,534 |  |  | ${ }_{(13,763)}$ | (13,763) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{75,117}$ | 75,117 |  |  | 4,312 | 4,312 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | wecc wecc |  | SOUTH SIIE ELECTRIC, INC. | u.s. | 2,486 | 2,486 | : | : | ${ }_{\text {2, }}^{2,172}$ | 2.172 | - | : | ${ }_{(86)}^{(63)}$ | (66) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{360}$ |  |  |  | 21 | ${ }^{21}$ |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | WECC WECC |  | Souther Motana Southern Nevada Water Authority | U.S. | 31,64 <br> 37,264 | 314.64 <br> 37,264 | : |  | ${ }_{\substack{27,45 \\ 32,551}}$ | ${ }_{\text {27,485 }}^{32,51}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(088)}^{(834)}$ | ${ }_{(088)}^{(834)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{4,552}{ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{\text {4,5392 }}$ |  |  | 261 <br> 309 | 261 309 |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | WECC WECC |  | Soutwest Transmission Cooperative, Inc SPRIINGFIELI UTLITY BOARD | U.s. | 123,040 38,692 | 123,040 38,69 | : | : | 107,478 33,798 | 107,478 3,798 | : | : | $\underset{( }{\substack{(3,262) \\(1,026)}}$ | $\underset{(1,026)}{(3,262)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ $\begin{array}{r}1,7801 \\ 5,598 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | (17,901 $\begin{gathered}\text { 5,598 }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{1,022 \\ 321}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1,022 \\ 321}}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | SURPRIIS VALLEY ELLECTRIFICATION | us. | ${ }^{1,575}$ | 1.570 | - | - | 1,372 | ${ }^{1,372}$ | - | - | ${ }^{(42)}$ | ${ }^{(42)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{227}$ | ${ }^{227}$ |  |  | 13 | 13 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | ${ }_{\text {T }}$ Tacom Power ${ }_{\text {The }}$ Incororated County of Los Alamos | U.S. | 227,282 ${ }^{17,486}$ | 227,282 17.486 | : | : | 198,536 15.275 | 198,536 <br> 15,275 | : | : | $\underset{\substack{(6,025) \\(464)}}{(0,0)}$ | (6,025) |  |  |  |  |  | 32,83 <br> 2,530 <br> 2, | 32,883 2,530 2, |  |  | $\underset{\substack{1,888 \\ 145}}{1.2}$ | $\underset{\substack{1,888 \\ 145}}{1 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | TLLAMOOK PUD | u.s. | 16,014 | 16,014 | - | . | 13,988 | 13,988 | - | - | (424) | (424) |  |  |  |  |  | 2,317 | ${ }_{2,317}^{2,317}$ |  |  | 133 | 133 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  |  | U.S. | 3,039 1.024 | 3.039 1,024 | : |  | (2,655 |  | : | : | ${ }_{(181)}^{(81)}$ | ${ }_{(181)}^{(88)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{148}^{440}$ | ${ }_{148}^{440}$ |  |  | ${ }_{9}^{25}$ | ${ }^{25}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Total NWMT Load Owner | u.s. | 402, 332 | 402,432 | - |  | 351,534 | 351,534 |  |  | ${ }_{(10,668)}$ | (10,668) |  |  |  |  |  | 58,23 | 58,223 |  |  | 3,342 | 342 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Town of Center-Transmission | u.s. | ${ }^{552}$ | 552 | - | - | ${ }^{482}$ | 482 | - | - | (15) | ${ }^{(15)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{80}$ | ${ }^{80}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ | 5 |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | WECC WECCC |  | Town Of Coule dam | U.S. | - 1.385 | - ${ }_{1,324}$ | : |  | 1,1567 | ${ }^{1,1557}$ | : | : | ${ }_{(35)}^{(23)}$ | ${ }_{(35)}^{(23)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 125 192 | 125 192 |  |  | ${ }_{11}$ | 11 |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | TOWN OF STELAACOOM | U.s. | 1,924 1,320 | 1,924 1,320 | : | - | +1,680 $\begin{aligned} & 1,153 \\ & 1,53\end{aligned}$ | +1,680 $\begin{aligned} & 1,153 \\ & 1,53\end{aligned}$ | : | - | ${ }_{(31)}^{(51)}$ | ${ }_{(35)}^{(51)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 278 191 | 278 191 |  |  | 16 11 | 16 11 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Tris State $G$ \& T Assoc., Inc | u.s. | ${ }_{88,573}$ | 88,573 |  |  | 77,371 | ${ }_{77,371}$ |  |  | ${ }_{(2,348)}$ | (2,348) |  |  |  |  |  | 12,815 | 12,815 |  |  | 736 | ${ }_{736}$ |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | Ti.State Generation \& Transmisision Ass! | U.S. | 386 301.232 | - $\begin{array}{r}386 \\ 301,23\end{array}$ | : |  | 337 263,133 |  | : |  | $(10)$ (7, 295 | ${ }_{(7,985)}^{(10)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 56 43.582 | 56 43.582 |  |  | 3 2.502 | 3 2.502 |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | Tristate Generation and Transmission Ass | u.s. | 109,886 | 109,886 | . | . | 95,988 | 95,988 | . | . | (2,913) | (2,913) |  |  |  |  |  | 15,998 | 15,998 |  |  | 913 | ${ }_{913}$ |  |  |
| 2009 2009 | WECC WECC |  | Tuckee Donner Public Uuily Tusson Electicic Power | U.s. | \% $\begin{array}{r}6.812 \\ 615.196\end{array}$ | 年,812 | - | - | \%.950 | \%.950 | - |  | ${ }^{(181)}$ | (181) |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{986}$ | 986 |  |  | 57 | ${ }^{57}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | WECC |  | Tustock Irrigation District | U.s. |  | ${ }_{9}^{615,196}$ | : |  | 557,388 81,73 | ${ }_{\text {ckin }}^{537,388}$ |  |  | $\underset{(2,480)}{(16,307)}$ | $\underset{\substack{(16,307) \\(2,80)}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{13,5037}^{89,068}$ |  |  | 5.1177 | ${ }_{5,117}^{5 / 77}$ |  |  |
| 2009 | wecc |  | U.S. Amy Yuma Proving Ground | u.s. | ${ }^{891}$ | ${ }_{779} 89$ |  |  | ${ }^{778}$ | 778 |  |  | (24) | (24) |  |  |  |  |  | 129 | 129 |  |  | 7 | 7 |  |  |
| 2009 2099 | WECC WECC |  | U.S. BOIA WAPATO IRRIGATION PROJ U.S. Bor EAST GREENACRES (RATHI | U.S. | 779 243 | 779 243 |  |  | ${ }_{212}^{681}$ | ${ }_{212}^{681}$ |  |  | ${ }_{(6)}^{(21)}$ | ${ }_{(6)}^{(21)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 113 35 | 113 35 |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | U.S. B BR EAST GREENACRES (RATHC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{${ }_{\substack{\text { pata } \\ \text { vear }}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{$\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Regional } \\ \text { Enity }}]{\text { ata }}$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{10} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Entity} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{entry} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Total Regional Entity Assessments (nncuuding wiras Assesments)} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Regional Enity NEL Assessments} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Penalty Sanctions Us only} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Necc corc us only staft} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{NPCC Audit Eased 45\%\% Corc Program} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{WECC Compliance Assessments (exataso)} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{WIRAB Assessments} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& Total \& US Total \& Canada Total \& Mexico Total \& Total \& Us Total \& Canada Total \& Mexico \& Total \& US Total \& \& US Total \& Total \& US Total \& $\underset{\substack{\text { Canata } \\ \text { Totaal }}}{\text { a }}$ \& Total \& US Total \& $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { canadal } \\ \text { Total }}]{\substack{\text { col }}}$ \& Mexico \& Total \& Us Total \& conalal \& Mexico <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& U.S. bor spokane Indian develop \& u.s. \& 156 \& 156 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{137}$ \& 137 \& - \& - \& (4) \& (4) \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }^{23}$ \& ${ }^{23}$ \& \& \& 1 \& 1 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wEcc \& \& U.S. Doe national energ technc \& u.s. \& 176 \& 176 \& - \& . \& 153 \& 153 \& - \& - \& (5) \& (5) \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }^{25}$ \& 25 \& \& \& 1 \& 1 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& WECC
WECC \& \& U.S. DOE RICHLAND OPERATIONS OF
U.S.A.E. BASE, FAIRCHILD \& u.s. \& 8.569
2.529 \& 8.569
2.529 \& : \& \& 7,485
2,209 \& ${ }_{\substack{7,485 \\ 2,209}}$ \& : \& : \& $\underset{\substack{(227) \\(67)}}{(1)}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { (227) } \\ \text { (67) }}}^{(2)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 1.240

366 \& $\underset{\substack{1.240 \\ 366}}{ }$ \& \& \& ${ }_{21}^{71}$ \& ${ }_{21}^{71}$ \& \& <br>

\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& WECCC \& \& U.S.N SUBMARINE EASE, BANGOR \& U.s. \& ${ }_{8,112}^{2,52}$ \& ${ }_{8,112}^{2,529}$ \& : \& : \& | l, 2,086 |
| :--- | \& c, \& : \& : \& (215) \& ${ }_{(215)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 1,174

1, \& 1,174 \& \& \& ${ }_{67}^{21}$ \& ${ }_{67}^{21}$ \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& U.S.N. NAVAL STATION, BREMERTON \& u.s. \& 11,309 \& 11,309 \& - \& - \& 9,879 \& ${ }^{9,889}$ \& - \& - \& (300) \& (300) \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{1,636}^{1,68}$ \& ${ }^{1,636}$ \& \& \& 94 \& 94 \& \& <br>
\hline ${ }^{2009}$ \& WECC \& \& U.S.N. NAVAL LTAATON, EVERETT, \& u.s. \& ${ }_{\text {430 }}^{6429}$ \& ${ }_{4}^{640}$ \& - \& - \& ${ }_{3} 59$ \& ${ }_{3}^{559}$ \& - \& - \& (17) \& ${ }^{(175)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }^{93}$ \& ${ }^{93}$ \& \& \& 5 \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& WECC
WECC \& \& UMATLLA ELECTRIC COOPERATVE, \& u.s. \& 43,429 \& 43,429 \& - \& - \& 37,936 \& 37,936 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(1,151)}$ \& ${ }_{(1,151)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 6,283 \& 6,283 \& \& \& 361 \& 361 \& \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& WECC
wecc \& \&  \& U.S.s. \& 10,223 \& 10,223 \& : \& \& $8.930^{1}$ \& 8.930 \& \& \& ${ }_{\text {(271) }}^{(0)}$ \& ${ }_{(271)}^{(0)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 1,479 \& $\stackrel{1.479}{ }$ \& \& \& ${ }_{85}$ \& ${ }_{85}^{0}$ \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Valley Electric Association \& u.s. \& 20,912 \& 20,912 \& - \& - \& 18,267 \& 18,267 \& - \& - \& (554) \& (554) \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{3}^{3,025}$ \& 3,025 \& \& \& 174 \& 174 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& WECC

WECC \& \& Vera Waier and Power, V , \& u.s. \& | 10,796 |
| :---: |
| 7453 | \&  \& : \& : \& ${ }_{\substack{9,431 \\ 6.511}}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{9.431 \\ 6.511}}^{\text {a }}$ \& : \& : \& (1286) \& $\underset{\substack{(286) \\(198)}}{(20)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& +1.562 \& 1.562

1.078 \& \& \& ${ }_{62}^{90}$ \& ${ }_{62}^{90}$ \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Wasco Electric Cooperative \& u.s. \& 4,372 \& 4,372 \& - \& - \& ${ }_{3,819}$ \& ${ }_{3,819}$ \& - \& - \& (116) \& (116) \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{633}$ \& ${ }_{633}$ \& \& \& 36 \& 36 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& WECC

WECC \& \& $\mathrm{wh}_{\text {Well }}^{\text {wew }}$ \& u.s. \& | 10,319 |
| :---: |
| 9,352 |
| , 03 | \&  \& : \& : \& 9.014

8.169 \& 9.014
8.169 \& : \& : \& (274) \& $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { (274) } \\ \text { (248) }\end{array}\right.$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 1,993 \& ${ }^{1.4933}$ \& \& \& ${ }_{88}^{86}$ \& ${ }_{88}^{86}$ \& \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{2009}^{2009}$ \& WECC
WECCC \& \&  \& U.s. \& - ${ }_{\text {9, }}^{\text {9,654 }}$ \& ¢, 9,322 \& $:$ \& $:$ \& 8,169
25,995 \& 8,169
25,895 \& $:$ \& $:$ \& $\underset{\substack{(228) \\(786)}}{(1)}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { (286) }}}^{(248)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{\substack{1,353 \\ 4,289}}$ \& ${ }_{4,289}^{1,353}$ \& \& \& 78
246 \& 78
246 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Welltor-Mohauk Irigation \& Drainage Dis \& u.s. \& 335 \& 335 \& - \& \& 292 \& 292 \& \& \& (9) \& (9) \& \& \& \& \& \& 48 \& 48 \& \& \& 3 \& 3 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& WECC \& \& West Oregon Electric Cooperative, Inc \& u.s. \& 3,219 \& 3.219 \& - \& \& 2,812 \& 2,812 \& - \& - \& (185) \& ${ }^{(85)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{466}^{462}$ \& 466 \& \& \& 27 \& 27 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& WECC
WECC \& \& Western (WAPA-Siera Nevada Region)
Western Area Power \& u.s.
u.s. \& 64,156
8,553 \& ${ }_{\substack{64,156 \\ 8.953}}$ \& : \& : \& 56,041

7,734 \& | 56,041 |
| :---: |
| 7,734 | \& : \& : \& $\underset{(1,}{(1,701)}$ \& ${ }_{(0}^{(1,701)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 9,282

1,281 \& 9,282
1,281 \& \& \& 533
74 \& 533
74 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Western Area Power Administration - CR \& u.s. \& 50,844 \& 50,844 \& - \& . \& 44,414 \& 44,414 \& - \& - \& ${ }_{(1,388)}$ \& ${ }_{(1,388)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 7,356 \& ${ }_{7}^{1,356}$ \& \& \& 422 \& 422 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wECC \& \& Western Area Power Administration - Des \& u.s. \& 103,550 \& 103,550 \& - \& . \& ${ }^{90,453}$ \& ${ }^{90,453}$ \& - \& - \& (2,745) \& (2,745) \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }^{14,981}$ \& 14.981 \& \& \& ${ }^{860}$ \& ${ }^{860}$ \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& WECC
WECC \& \& Western Area Power Administration - LAf
Western Area Power Adminstration \& u.s. \& 70,600
22,380 \& 70,600
22,390 \& : \& : \& ${ }_{\text {cki, }}^{61,570}$ \& ${ }_{10}^{61,570}$ \& : \& : \& $\underset{\substack{(1.871) \\(544)}}{(2,}$ \& $\underset{\substack{(1.871) \\(594)}}{(2,5)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 10,214
3,239 \& 10,214
3,239 \& \& \& 586
186 \&  \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& wecc \& \& Wyoming Municipal Power Agency \& u.s. \& 9.008 \& 9.008 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{\text {7,868 }}$ \& 7,868 \& - \& - \& (239) \& (239) \& \& \& \& \& \& 1,303 \& ${ }^{1,303}$ \& \& \& 75 \& 75 \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& WECC
WECC \& \& Yampa valley \& u.s. \& 27,402 \& 27,022 \& - \& - \& 23,937 \& 23,937 \& - \& - \& ${ }^{(726)}$ \& ${ }^{(726)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 3,965 \& 3,965 \& \& \& 228 \& 228 \& \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| 209 |
| :--- |
| 2009 |} \& WECC \& \& Yuma ririgation istritict \& U.S. \& ${ }_{8}^{138}$ \& 138

8 \& $\therefore$ \& $\therefore$ \& 121
7 \& ${ }_{1}^{121}$ \& : \& $\therefore$ \& ${ }_{(0)}^{(0)}$ \& ${ }_{(0)}^{(4)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{1}^{20}$ \& ${ }_{1}^{20}$ \& \& \& 1
0 \& ${ }_{0}^{1}$ \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& TOTAL WECC \& \& 38,234,892 \& 32,656.488 \& $5.078,278$ \& 500.126 \& 33.58.533 \& 28,526,206 \& 4.636,737 \& 22.590 \& (885,650) \& (885, 650) \& \& \& \& \& \& 5.192.646 \& 4.724,702 \& 397,455 \& 70.489 \& 319,363 \& 271,230 \& 44.087 \& 4.047 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{total ero} \& \& \& 105,913,224 \& 93,671,567 \& 11,741,531 \& 500.126 \& 100,237,007 \& 88,615,629 \& 11,195,788 \& 425,590 \& (3,366.550) \& (3,366.550) \& 388.216 \& 382.216 \& 3.148.542 \& 3,044,340 \& 104,202 \& 5.192.646 \& 4.724,702 \& 397,455 \& 70.489 \& 319,363 \& 271,230 \& 44,087 \& 4.047 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Summary by Regional Entity} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009
2009 \& FRCC \& \& \& \& ${ }_{\substack{4,967,060 \\ 8,260,502}}^{\text {a }}$ \& $4,967,060$
$6,852,366$ \& 1,408,166 \& . \& ${ }_{\text {c }}^{5,017,060} 8$ \& $\underset{\substack{5,017,060 \\ 6,989,336}}{\text { a }}$ \& 1,408,166 \& : \& ${ }_{(0)}^{(50,000)}(137,000)$ \& ${ }_{(0)}^{(50,000)}\left({ }_{(13,000}\right)$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& : \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2009 \& npcc \& \& \& \& 12,652,610 \& 7,397,523 \& 5,255,087 \& \& 9,430,352 \& 4,279,467 \& 5,150,885 \& \& (308,500) \& (308,500) \& 388,216 \& 382,216 \& 3,148,542 \& 3,044,340 \& 104,202 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline 2009 \& RFC \& \& \& \& 12,803,844 \& ${ }_{\text {12, }}^{12.803,844} 1$ \& \& : \&  \& | $13,88,744$ |
| :--- |
| $11.59,508$ |
| 1 | \& \& : \& ${ }_{\text {cole }}^{(878,900)}$ \& ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{(878,900)}$ \& \& \& \& \& - \& , \& : \& \& \& : \& : \& : \& <br>


\hline 209 \& SERC \& \& \& \& $\underset{\substack{10,671,508 \\ 9,094,985}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ \& | 10,671,508 |
| :---: |
| $9,094,95$ | \& : \& : \& ${ }_{\substack{11,590,508 \\ 9,282,485}}^{\text {arem }}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { che } \\ 9,282,485}}^{1,59508}$ \& : \& : \& ${ }_{(180,500)}^{(919,000)}$ \&  \& \& \& \& \& $:$ \& \& : \& \& \& - \& : \& : \& <br>

\hline 2009 \& TREC \& \& \& \& ${ }^{9,2277,823}$ \& $9,277,823$ \& \& \& 9,247,823 \& $9,247,823$ \& \& \& (20,000) \& (20,000) \& \& \& \& \& . \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{${ }_{\text {coal }}^{2009}$} \& WECC \& \& \& \& 38,234,892 \& ${ }^{32,656.488}$ \& ${ }_{\text {5 }}{ }_{\text {5,788,278 }}$ \& ${ }_{500.126}^{50126}$ \& $\xrightarrow{33,588.533}$ \& ${ }^{28.556,206}$ \& 4,636,737 \& 422.590 \& ${ }^{(865.650)}$ \& ${ }^{(8656.650)}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& 5.192,646 \& 4.724,702 \& 397,455 \& 70,489 \& ${ }^{319.363}$ \& $\frac{271,230}{271230}$ \& 44,087 \& ${ }^{4.047}$ <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Bulk Power System Critical Infrastructure Strategic Roadmap

## Action Required

None

## Background

At its May 12, 2010 meeting, the NERC Board of Trustees approved a new charter for the Electricity Sub-Sector Coordinating Council (ESCC), and dissolved the Electricity Sector Steering Group (ESSG). Current ESSG members became the members of the newly reconstituted ESCC.

On June 22, 2010, the ESCC held its first in-person meeting at NERC's Washington DC offices. The meeting was conducted in two parts. The first part of the meeting was in held in closed session and the ESCC engaged in a comprehensive discussion regarding the critical infrastructure risks facing the electricity sub-sector, how they are being addressed, and what may need to be done differently by the sub-sector to improve this important aspect of reliability. The ESCC also discussed how to improve the various interfaces with government related to these matters. The second part of the meeting was conducted in open session and ESCC Chairman Cauley provided a summary of the day's discussions and next steps.

A key decision of the ESCC was to develop a Critical Infrastructure Strategic Roadmap (Attachment 1) to identify the sub-sector's priorities, and provide a framework to address severe-impact risks, including those identified in the High Impact, Low Frequency (HILF) report. Taking a broad sub-sector-wide perspective, the Roadmap will provide the NERC Board of Trustees with advice on what should be done to enhance electricity reliability and resilience from an all-threats, all-hazards perspective. The Roadmap will build on the draft Bulk Power System Critical Infrastructure Policy Statement discussed at recent Member Representatives Committee and Board of Trustee meetings, and provide guidance for the sub-sector and NERC's technical committees.

After considering public comments, the ESCC proposes to seek Board of Trustees endorsement of the Strategic Roadmap.
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## Introduction

North America's electric power grids are not immune to severe disruptions that could threaten the health, safety, security, or economic well-being of its citizens. The North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) and the electricity industry are committed to protect the electricity infrastructure and enhance its resilience in an effort to manage risks, whether natural or man-made.

This strategic roadmap, prepared by NERC's Electricity Sub-Sector Coordinating Council ${ }^{1}$ (ESCC), provides the framework to identify those risks that have the potential to seriously disrupt the supply of electricity to customers, and promotes the actions necessary to enhance reliability and resilience. Particular attention is paid to severe-impact risks with the potential to impact large portions of the grid, or disrupt service for an extended period of time. Some of these risks have a low probability of occurring, or have not ever occurred. The most challenging are some of those related to physical and cyber security that are relatively new to the sub-sector, are not completely understood even by experts in the field, and continue to evolve.

Fortunately, managing complex risks is not new to the electricity industry. This roadmap builds on century-long experience and takes an integrated approach that builds on the electricity industry's capabilities to plan and operate North America's electricity system - one of the most reliable in the world.

[^5]
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## Executive Summary

The role of NERC's Electricity Sub-Sector Coordinating Council" is to "foster and facilitate the coordination of sector-wide policy-related activities and initiatives to improve the reliability and resilience of the electricity sector, including physical and cyber security infrastructure."

To help carry out that role, the ESCC has developed this Critical Infrastructure Strategic Roadmap to recommend to NERC's Board of Trustees that NERC's technical committees place renewed emphasis on certain severe-impact risks to electricity system reliability.

In particular, the ESCC has identified three risks that merit increased attention by NERC and the electricity sub-sector. Each of these has the potential to severely impact large portions of the bulk power system, or disrupt electricity service for an extended period of time.

- Coordinated physical attack on significant electricity system equipment
- Organized cyber attack on control systems needed to manage reliability
- Severe geomagnetic disturbance

The ESCC acknowledges that significant effort will be required to properly understand these risks and develop realistic and effective solutions, and has therefore prioritized initiatives that would deliver the greatest benefit to reliability as soon as possible. The ESCC encourages NERC's technical committees to join forces to develop work plans to assess the risks in more detail, consider alternative approaches, and recommend solutions for industry implementation.

The ESCC is committed to enhance our collaboration with government on these matters, and will monitor progress of the technical committees and provide additional guidance as necessary.

[^6]
## Vision and Goals

The electricity sub-sector does not stand alone in facing severe-impact risks. The U.S. and Canadian governments have established programs to work collaboratively with all critical infrastructures to address risks that could have widespread regional, national, or international consequences.

In the spirit of these national initiatives, this roadmap reflects the perspective of the electricity sub-sector as envisioned by the charter of the Electricity Sub-Sector Coordinating Council. It is intended to reflect the interests of all stakeholders, beyond that of NERC in its role as the electricity reliability organization. While this roadmap does not direct standards development, it is anticipated that standards will be developed, where necessary and appropriate, as a result of initiatives undertaken to address severe-impact risks.

In 2007, the NERC Board of Trustees endorsed the Energy Sector-Specific Plan ${ }^{3}$ that provides the framework for collaboration between government and the energy sector to mitigate risk by reducing vulnerabilities, deterring threats, minimizing adverse consequences, and enhancing recovery. This roadmap aligns with that plan's vision and goals and demonstrates the electricity sub-sector's commitment to support this public-private partnership.

## Vision Statement

The Electricity Sub-Sector envisions a robust, resilient electricity infrastructure in which continuity of business and services are maintained through secure and reliable information sharing, effective risk management programs, coordinated response capabilities, and trusted relationships between sub-sector entities and government.

## Goals

## Information Sharing and Communication

Goal 1: Establish robust situational awareness within the electricity sub-sector and with government through timely, reliable, and secure information exchange.

## Physical and Cyber Security

Goal 2: Use sound risk management principles to implement physical and cyber measures that enhance preparedness, security, and resiliency.

## Coordination and Planning

Goal 3: Conduct comprehensive emergency, disaster, and business continuity planning, including training and exercises, to enhance reliability and emergency response.
Goal 4: Clearly define critical infrastructure protection roles and responsibilities.

[^7]Goal 5:Understand key interdependencies and collaborate with other critical infrastructure sectors to address them, and incorporate that knowledge in planning and operations.

## Public and Regulatory Confidence

Goal 6: Strengthen public and regulatory confidence in the sub-sector's ability to manage risk and implement effective security, reliability, and recovery efforts.

## The Risk Landscape

The challenges to adequately protect the electricity system are many. The electricity infrastructure is spread geographically across the continent, in densely populated urban areas as well as lightly populated rural areas. Generating stations, substations, and the transmission and distribution lines that connect them are a familiar and accessible part of our surroundings. While it is not possible to protect everything with absolute assurance, this roadmap guides the electricity industry toward solutions that manage these risks in a responsible, realistic, and effective manner.

NERC supports an all-hazards, all-threats approach to risk management consistent with industry practices ${ }^{4}$ commonly used across the sub-sector. These threats and hazards can be grouped into three categories; natural, human-caused, and technological. The electricity sub-sector consistently demonstrates the ability to successfully manage many of these risks through effective business continuity planning and reliable operations, even during emergency situations.

However, certain severe-impact risks are more challenging to fully understand and address for a number of reasons, including.

- Little information is available regarding the specific nature of the risk, making it difficult to decide which preventive or mitigating actions are necessary or appropriate.
- The likelihood of occurrence is not known, extremely low, or may never have occurred.
- The costs and resources required to comprehensively address the risk may be enormous.
- The events being prepared for may never occur.
- Risks related to national security are considered to be the responsibility of government.

As a result, there is limited consensus across the sub-sector regarding the extent to which these more severe-impact risks need to be addressed, let alone how they should be addressed. The following table provides the ESCC's assessment of the risks facing the electricity sub-sector, and highlights those requiring urgent additional attention.

Table 1: Risk Landscape

| Risk Area | Plans <br> Typically <br> In-Place | Requires <br> Additional <br> Attention |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Naturally Occurring Hazards | Yes |  |
| - Geological (e.g. earthquake) |  |  |
| - Meteorological |  |  |

[^8]| Risk Area | Plans Typically In-Place | Requires Additional Attention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| o Severe storm | Yes |  |
| o Extreme water flows (drought, flood) | Yes |  |
| o Extreme temperature | Yes |  |
| o Geomagnetic disturbance (GMD), solar magnetic disturbance (SMD) | Yes | Yes |
| - Biological disease (e.g. pandemic) | Yes |  |
| Human-Caused (Unintentional) Hazards |  |  |
| - Hazardous material spill or release | Yes |  |
| - Explosion, fire | Yes |  |
| - Interdependency (e.g. fuel shortage, telecommunications service disruption) | Yes |  |
| - Human operational error | Yes |  |
| Human-caused (Intentional) Hazards: |  |  |
| - Criminal activity, sabotage | Yes |  |
| - Civil disturbance, riot | Yes |  |
| - Strike or labor dispute | Yes |  |
| - Terrorism | Varies | Yes |
| - Physical attack | Varies | Yes |
| - Electro-magnetic pulse (EMP) | No | Limited |
| - Cyber security breach | Yes | Yes |
| Technology-Caused Hazards |  |  |
| - Equipment failure | Yes |  |
| - Information/control systems failure | Yes |  |
| - Telecommunications system failure | Yes |  |

## The Electricity Sub-Sector's Risk Priorities

The ESCC recommends to the NERC Board of Trustees that the electricity sub-sector place renewed emphasis on managing the severe-impact risks highlighted in Table 1: Risk Landscape. Some of these risks were examined in the High Impact Low Frequency Risk Workshop ${ }^{5}$

[^9]sponsored by NERC and the U.S. Department of Energy in November 2009. While each of these risks appears to be unique, they can be grouped into a few discrete scenarios that will facilitate developing solutions that can be more readily applied under a variety of circumstances. Solutions that serve to enhance reliability under normal circumstances would be highly desirable. Solutions that have limited application under very narrow circumstances would be less desirable. The ESCC recognizes that the electricity sub-sector is highly diverse, and not all solutions will be applicable to all entities. As with all risk management decisions, entities will need to balance expected outcomes against costs, recognizing that all costs are ultimately borne by the customer.

## Scenario 1: Physical Attack on Significant Electricity System Equipment

A coordinated physical attack on key nodes of the electricity system critically disables difficult to replace equipment in generating stations or substations and could have a significant affect on the remainder of the system. Full restoration and normal operation of the system after the attack is prolonged.

## Scenario 2: Organized Cyber Attack

An organized disruption disables control systems, or intruders take operational control of portions of the bulk power system such that generation or transmission equipment is damaged or mis-operated. The "Aurora" vulnerability, identified by NERC in 2007, is an example of the potential for this scenario.

## Scenario 3: Geomagnetic Disturbance

A severe geomagnetic disturbance (GMD) damages difficult to replace generating station and substation equipment, and could have a significant affect on the remainder of the system. Full restoration and a return to normal operation of the bulk power system are prolonged. While not explicitly part of this scenario, an electro-magnetic pulse (EMP) attack has similar impacts on equipment that GMD solutions may help mitigate.

## Multi-Element Approach

The ESCC recommends that the full spectrum of risk management elements be considered to address these severe-impact risks; planning, prevention, mitigation, and recovery.

## Planning Elements

Clarify Roles and Establish clear responsibilities and authorities for planning and Authorities

Assess Risks responding to an emergency or crisis, across the sub-sector, with other sectors, and with government.

Establish robust situational awareness across the electricity sub-sector through timely, reliable, and secure information exchange. Assess available intelligence from government regarding threats and provide actionable information to sub-sector entities to improve protection and preparedness.

Conduct Technical Use sound risk management principles to conduct technical studies, Studies evaluate risks and potential impacts, and identify possible improvements.

| Prioritize Assets | Prioritize assets most important to reliability and take actions to protect <br> them. Priorities should be developed in consultation with other <br> stakeholders to consider the potential impacts on customers, other <br> critical infrastructures, and government and national security <br> infrastructure. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Identify | Understand key interdependencies with other critical infrastructures and <br> collaborate with other sectors to address them, and incorporate that <br> knowledge in planning and operations. |
| Interdependencies | Develop testing programs to probe vulnerabilities and identify <br> opportunities to improve protection measures and evaluate preparedness. |
| Develop and Promote | Develop and promote guidelines to inform sub-sector entities and <br> prompt protection and recovery solutions. |
| Guidelines | Strengthen public confidence in the electricity sub-sector's ability to <br> manage risk by communicating how the sub-sector is prepared. |
| Communicate | Consider options for funding and cost recovery for critical infrastructure <br> protection, particularly when objectives exceed assuring the reliability <br> of the electricity system itself. |

## Prevention Elements

Detect and Prevent Develop appropriate monitoring controls and protections to deter or prevent severe-impact risks. Employ defense-in-depth strategies. Work with infrastructure vendors and suppliers to enhance protections and recoverability.

## Mitigation Elements

Improve Resilience Strengthen the inherent redundancy, flexibility, and capacity of the bulk power system to reduce the likelihood of unmitigated impacts on the system. Limit the adverse impact and preserve the reliability of the remainder of the system. Enhance, to the extent practical, the survivability of national security and critical infrastructures.

## Recovery Elements

| Readiness | Develop and implement plans to maintain a state of readiness to respond <br> to and manage events or crises that might adversely affect reliability. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Respond | Enhance entity and coordinated bulk power system-wide response. <br> Response must include the capability to communicate quickly and <br> effectively with affected stakeholders. |

Restore the System $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Ensure plans are in place, exercised, and ready to be implemented to } \\ & \text { restore the system to reliable operation in the wake of a severe event. } \\ & \text { Ensure human and material resources are available, with particular } \\ & \text { attention on equipment that may not be readily available. In accordance } \\ & \text { with pre-established plans, restoration should recognize priorities with } \\ & \text { respect to customers, other critical infrastructures, and government and } \\ & \text { national security infrastructure }\end{aligned}$

## Multi-Year Roadmap

The ESCC recommends to the NERC Board of Trustees that NERC and its technical committees develop work plans to address these risk scenarios by more fully assessing the nature of the risks, considering alternative approaches, and recommending solutions for industry implementation. Given the breadth and complexity of these scenarios, the ESCC recommends that the technical committees join forces to make optimal use of their capabilities. The ESCC anticipates that significant resources will need to be brought to bear on some of these initiatives, and encourages the committees to prioritize this work accordingly, including assessing the relative urgency of other work currently underway.

Recognizing that it is not reasonable or effective for the sub-sector to attempt to take on all this work at the same time, the ESCC proposes a staged approach. Initiatives that will more directly enhance reliability and resilience are considered "high priority" and need to be addressed immediately. Others that yield benefits in the longer term are considered "important". Progress will be monitored and reviewed periodically by the ESCC to provide further recommendations to the NERC Board of Trustees and guidance to the sub-sector.

Table 2: "Urgent" and "Important" Characteristics

| Relative Importance | Characteristics |
| :---: | :---: |
| High Priority | - Risk has low likelihood, yet high consequence <br> - Requires immediate action to reduce the risk <br> - Action achievable within available resources <br> - Action is largely within the control of sub-sector entities <br> - Action enhances reliability during normal operations |
| Important | - Risk has unknown likelihood, yet high consequence <br> - Requires immediate action to identify options and resources required to reduce the risk <br> - Action may not be achievable within existing resources <br> - Requires substantial coordination with other critical infrastructure sectors or government <br> - Action has limited opportunity to enhance reliability during normal operations |

The following table describes the relative priority associated with each of the three severe-impact scenarios identified earlier.

Table 3: Strategic Priorities

| Goal | Scenario 1: <br> Coordinated Physical Attack | Scenario 2: <br> Organized Cyber Attack | Scenario 3: <br> Geomagnetic Disturbance | Enhances reliability under less severe scenarios? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Establish robust situational assessment coordination and information exchange | High Priority | High Priority | High Priority | Yes |
| 2. Implement protective measures | Important | High Priority | Important | Limited |
| 3. Enhance contingency planning, training, and exercises | High Priority | High Priority | Important | Yes |
| 4. Clarify critical infrastructure protection roles with government | High Priority | High Priority | High Priority | Limited |
| 5. Address key interdependencies with other sectors | Important | Important | Important | Yes |
| 6. Strengthen public confidence | High Priority | High Priority | Important | Yes |

## Monitor Progress

The ESCC considers the need to quickly demonstrate progress to address severe-impact risks to be a top priority for NERC and its stakeholders. Through its monthly conference calls and inperson meetings, the ESCC will monitor progress and provide additional guidance as necessary.

# Mandatory Data Collection of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit/ System Operating Limit (IROL/SOL) Exceedance 

## Action Required

Approve mandatory data collection of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit/System
Operating Limit (IROL/SOL) Exceedance under NERC's Rules of Procedure: Section 1600 Requests for Data and Information.

## Background

At their September 16, 2009 meetings, the Planning and Operating Committees (PC/OC) approved nine metrics. One of these metrics entitled "ALR3-5, Operating Limit (OL) Excursion," required additional data. ${ }^{1}$ This metric is intended to provide a trend on the frequency and duration of the system operating limit excursions.

An initial voluntary data collection pilot was tested for the months April to December 2009. The results from this voluntary pilot drove increased specificity of operating limits, changing the collection items to IROL/SOL accommodating regional differences.

Following NERC's Rules of Procedure for Section 1600 - Requests for Data and Information, ${ }^{2}$ on March 5, 2010, the Reliability Metrics Working Group (RMWG) posted a data request for industry comment, entitled "ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Excursion" with a due date of April 19, 2010. The RMWG responded on June $1,2010^{3}$ to the eight sets of remarks generated from this posting for public comment. Several remarks suggested changing the term "Excursion" to the term "Exceedance," which was accepted by the RMWG. The changed metric description, entitled "ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Exceedance" was approved by the PC/OC in June 2010.

The PC/OC requests the Board of Trustees approve this data request as part of NERC's Rules of Procedure: Section 1600 Requests for Data and Information. When approved by the Board, the data request will become mandatory for all Reliability Coordinators (RCs) starting in J anuary 2011, with the first data submittal due to NERC on April 30, 2011.

[^10]Attachment 1 Agenda Item 11 Board of Trustees Meeting August 5, 2010
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## Introduction

With Planning a nd Operating C ommittees ( $\mathrm{PC} / \mathrm{OC}$ ) s upport, the Reliability M etrics W orking Group (RMWG) received approval to collect data and calculate nine metrics in 2009. ${ }^{1}$ Data for seven of these metrics is a vailable through information routinely reported to NERC as part of complying $w$ ith e xisting s tandards a nd $m$ andatory $d$ ata $r$ equests. H owever, to obt ain $t$ he data needed t o cal culate t he Adequate Level o fR eliability (ALR) me tric, e ntitled ALR3-5 Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits and System Operating Limits (IROL ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{SOL}$ ), a mandatory data request is required, which is the subject of this document.

In adherence to NERC's Rules of Procedure: Section 1600 Requests for Data and Information, ${ }^{3}$ the Reliability Metrics Working Group (RMWG) completed the following activities: ${ }^{4}$

1. Issued a d ata request for industry c omment on M arch 5, 2010 , entitled " ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Excursion" with a due date of April 19, 2010. ${ }^{5}$
2. Responded to eight sets of comments on June 1, 2010. ${ }^{6}$ Several respondents suggested changing the metric title from "Excursion" to "Exceedance." This proposal was adopted and the enhanced metric was approved by the PC/OC at the June 2010 meetings.
3. Developed a detailed description of the revised metric, ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Exceedance. ${ }^{7}$
4. Created a template for this data request is available on NERC's website. ${ }^{8}$

The requirements for reporting ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Exceedances includes the following elements:

- Reporting Reliability Coordinator
- Reporting Quarter
- Reporting Year
- The total number of exceeded IROLs and SOLs for each of the following time periods:
- Greater than 10 seconds and less than or equal to 10 minutes
- Greater than 10 minutes and less than or equal to 20 minutes
- Greater than 20 minutes and less than or equal to 30 minutes
- Greater than 30 minutes

With NERC's Board of T rustees approval ofthis $d$ ata $r$ equest, as described in the Rules of Procedure: Section 1600 Requests for Data and Information, submittal of this data will become mandatory for all Reliability Coordinators (RCs) starting in January 2011, with provision of the data for the first quarter of 2011 due to NERC on April 30, 2011.

[^11]
## ALR 3-5: Data Request Information

This section describes the data or information being requested, the use of the data or information, and the necessity of the data or information for NERC to meet its obligations under applicable laws and agreements. ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Exceedance will be reviewed by NERC and the OC/PC five y ears after implementation to determine its us efulness in the assessment of bulk pow er system risk as part of the ALR definition filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). ${ }^{9}$ If the $m$ etric does not provide $s$ uitable information $b$ alanced a gainst $t$ he e ffort expended to collection this data, the requirement to record the data supporting will be rescinded, unless such data is being used to support other metrics.

## Description of Data Request

In the U.S., the data needed to fulfill this request was previously identified in an August 17, 2007 data $r$ equest i ssued to comply w ith FERC O rder No. 693, Requirements Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits. ${ }^{10}$ As this FERC Order only pertains only to U.S.-based Reliability Coordinators, those ba sed in C anada may ne ed to de velop enhanced reporting mechanisms to support data collection. In addition, to pilot the collection process, voluntary data submittal has been encouraged beginning January 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010.

This mandatory request for data is scheduled to be provided on a quarterly basis beginning on January 1, 2011. The NERC spreadsheet template, provided on-line is being used to submit this voluntary information. ${ }^{11}$

Each quarter, Reliability C oordinators that ex perience IROL/SOL ${ }^{12}$ excursion e vents should provide the number of IROL/SOL exceedances, categorized in the following time frames:

- Greater than 10 seconds, and less than or equal to 10 minutes
- Greater than 10 minutes, and less than or equal to 20 minutes
- Greater than 20 minutes, and less than or equal to 30 minutes
- Greater than 30 minutes

[^12]The individual data submissions should be sent to NERC at metrics@nerc.net by the end of the month following each calendar quarter (due dates annually being April 30, July 31, October 30, and J anuary 31). The first mandatory da ta collection will be due A pril 30, 2011 . Reliability Coordinators will be required to use a NERC secure web interface to upload the data.

## Use of Data and ALR 3-5 Metrics

The data collected will be used to cal culate the ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Exceedance metrics. The intent is to tr ack the num ber of IROL/SOL exceedances and grouped a ccording $t$ ot he aforementioned time frames. Over a period of time, this data will identify trends measuring an aspect of the operational reliability of bulk pow er system. For ex ample, the data results may identify opportunities t oe nhance Reliability C oordinators operating p ractices or clarify IROL/SOL related reliability standards to eliminate multiple interpretations that may exist.

The RMWG will doc ument ALR 3-5 a long with a p ortfolio of me trics in its a nnual reports, which, after a pproval by NERC's P lanning a nd Operating C ommittee, are pos ted on N ERC's website. Further, quarterly trend updates will be posted on the NERC website.

## Obligations Under Applicable Laws and Agreements

As required by NERC's IRO-009-1 S tandard, R eliability Coordinators ensure prompt action to prevent or mitigate instances of exceeding IROL/SOLs that might cause instability, uncontrolled separation, or cascading out ages. This data will enable NERC to measure the frequency and duration of e xceeded IROL/SOLs. To min imize the data collection effort, NERC is not requesting detailed data for each IROL/SOL ex cursion. Over time, as trends become evident, NERC, R egional Entities, the Operating or P lanning C ommittees may undertake in itiatives to commence detailed analysis and root causes.

IROL and S OL are used in this data request to recognize that, while the IROL is used in the Eastern, Texas Reliability Entity (TRE) and Québec Interconnections, there are no IROLs preindentified int he $W$ estern Interconnection due $t$ oits hi gher ope rating pe rformance 1 evel requirements. The WECC S tandard TOP-007-WECC-1 - System Operating Limits has requirements for reducing actual flows within System Operating Limits (SOL) on Major WECC Transfer Paths. ${ }^{13}$ These major paths are significant components for reliable delivery of power in the Western Interconnection. Further, TRE uses both SOLs and IROLs and is in the process of revising its SOL method, which may result in the TRE Interconnection no longer using IROL.

Because of operating differences, comparisons of Interconnections with this metric will not be meaningful. However, the d ata w ill b e u seful in id entifying tr ends o ver time on a n Interconnection basis.

[^13]
## Data Collection and Validation

As mentioned in the previous section, the individual data submissions will be sent to the NERC at metrics@nerc.net by the end of the month following each calendar quarter beginning January 2011. Submitted data will be subjected to specific rules embedded within the reporting form to validate data entry. Data will be subject to a thorough NERC staff review and results will be vetted by the RMWG and Planning Committee.

## Reporting Entities

All NERC Reliability Coordinators will be required to provide the data.

## Deliverables

Reliability Coordinators are to report the requested data by the end of the month after the close of the calendar quarter for $\operatorname{IROL} / \mathrm{SOL}$ events oc curring dur ing the previous quarter, beginning April 30, 2011.

## Confidentiality

All d ata collected will beagregated at the Interconnection level o nly. The data will be annotated to describe the different IROL/SOL definitions in each Interconnection. Because no details d escribing e ach IROL/SOL ex cursion ar e being reported, $t$ his da ta is not considered Confidential Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII) and can be reported to the public.

## Relative Burden Imposed

For $R$ eliability $C$ oordinators int he $U$ nited $S$ tates, c osts $t$ o de velop $t$ he ne cessary $r$ eporting processes is expected to be less than the cost incurred to meet the August 17, 2007 of ficial data request to fulfill F ERC's Order No. 693 Requirements Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits, as much less detail is being requested. Canadian Reliability Coordinators may need to develop enhanced or new reporting processes to provide the requested data. The underlying data should be available in existing operating logs.

Minimal ongoing cost is expected for Reliability Coordinators to collect, compile, and report to NERC the requested data. Some Reliability Coordinators may need to modify their monitoring systems, or develop applications to track IROL/SOL excursions as specified. Further, Reliability Coordinators $m$ ay $n$ eed $t$ ot rain $t$ heir pe rsonnel a nd pr ovide $r$ esources $t o$ va lidate pot ential IROL/SOLs

## Data Request Schedule

Below provides a schedule for the ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Exceedance data collection process.

| Date | Action |
| :--- | :--- |
| September 9, 2009 | PC/OC approved 2009 report |
| January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010 | Request for voluntary data submission |
| February 12, 2010 | Data request submitted to FERC |
| March 5, 2010 | Data Request posted for Public Comment |
| April 19, 2010 | Deadline for Public Comments |
| June 15, 2010 | PC/OC approved comments and responses |
| August 4-5, 2010 | Request approval of mandatory data <br> collection from NERC's Board of Trustees |
| January 1, 2011 | Reliability Coordinators begin collecting <br> IROL/SOL Exceedance data |
| April 30, 2011 | Due date for first quarter of mandatory data <br> submission |
| July 31, 2011 | Due date for second quarter of mandatory <br> data submission |
| October 31, 2011 | Due date for third quarter of mandatory data <br> submission |
| January 31, 2012 | Due date for fourth quarter of mandatory <br> data submission |

Due dates for the subsequent quarters will follow the calendar outlined above.

# Status Report on Regional Delegation Agreements Metrics 

## Action Required

## Discussion

## Information

The recently renegotiated Regional Delegation Agreements, which are pending approval at FERC, require NERC and the Regional Entities to develop a set of goals, metrics, measures, other parameters and reports to measure the performance of NERC and the Regional Entities in carrying out their respective functions and related activities under these agreements. In furtherance of this requirement, NERC and the Regional Entities have been working to develop a meaningful set of goals, metrics, measures, other parameters and reports. Examples of the metrics recommended to date include: efficiency, transparency, consistency, and effectiveness/quality. For each of these metrics NERC and the Regional Entities have developed preliminary performance measures of the delegated functions covered by the Regional Delegation Agreements: finance and accounting, events analysis, training, reliability assessment, compliance, and standards.

More recently, NERC has been evaluating the benefits of also incorporating a performance management approach called the "Balanced Scorecard Management System" $(\mathrm{BSC})^{1}$ that is designed to help corporate alliances achieve success. Given the nature of the relationship between NERC and the Regional Entities and their common reliability objectives in the context of the overall ERO enterprise model, NERC believes that the BSC method may provide a useful framework to develop, track, and manage the goals, metrics, measures, other parameters and reports governing performance of NERC and the Regional Entities under the Regional Delegation Agreements.

The first step of the BSC method is to identify the strategic objectives of the alliance, or in our case the ERO enterprise, and then to sort these objectives into several strategic themes. Adapting the example given in the Harvard Business Review article to NERC, these themes could be:

- Living the ERO Enterprise (core values)
- Collaboration
- Timely Delivery of Quality Results
- Learning/Growth
- Value Delivered

[^14]The strategic objectives grouped under the several strategic themes are then used to develop an alliance strategy map that shows how the objectives that are embedded in the various themes would collectively deliver value. The map can be further broken down into three areas or perspectives that show how the objectives for the organizations and employees, when executed properly, feed into the objectives for business processes, which in turn deliver stakeholder value. Finally, "scorecards" can be developed for each of the five strategic themes that show for each of their embedded strategic objectives what success looks like (joint wins), the metrics used to measure success, and what initiatives are being undertaken to achieve that success.

Taking this from the abstract stage to a real example will help explain the approach. Under the strategic theme of "Value Delivered" NERC and the Regional Entities have several common strategic objectives one of which is, "Learning, adapting industry." Mapping this strategic theme and its associated strategic objectives under the perspective of "Stakeholder Value", the "scorecard" for this objective might then look something like the following:

| $\|l\| l \mid$    <br> Value Delivered    <br> OBJECTIVES    | Objective 1: Learning, adapting industry. Objective 2 Objective 3 Objective 4 <br> Joint Wins    <br> Timely mitigation of violations.    <br> Metrics    <br> Cumulative violations corrected.    <br> Time to close identified reliability gap.    <br> Initiatives    <br> (What NERC and the REs are doing to meet this objective.)    |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

While the BSC management system takes a somewhat different approach than what was initially envisioned with respect to development of Regional Delegation Agreement metrics, it is expected that much of the work that has been done to date can be incorporated into the BSC framework.

Over the next several months, NERC will be working with Regional Entity senior management to more fully explore and develop this approach, with the goal of bringing a recommended set of goals, metrics, measure, other parameters and reports incorporating the BSC approach to the Finance and Audit Committee for review at its October 2010 meeting and approval by the Board of Trustees at its November 2010 meeting.

## Sample Strategy Map for Balanced Scorecard Method [Conceptual Only]



[^15]
## Value delivered

Reliability performance improvement

Cost-effective reliability and security risk management
Compliance
with
mandatory
reliability
standards

Learning, adapting industry
Trusted
advocacy for
reliability advocacy for reliability

## Timely delivery of quality results

Develop and report on reliability risk and performance metrics, including trends

Perform expert analysis of system events for learning opportunities

Develop unambiguous reliability standards that apply results-based methods in a defense-indepth strategy

Monitor and enforce compliance in a manner that improves reliability, corrects deficiencies, incents culture of compliance, and achieves transparency, consistency, and efficiency

Publish actionable reliability information to bulk power system owners, operators and users

Assist industry in improving the resilience of the bulk power system from physical and cyber vulnerabilities

Maintain situational awareness of bulk power system reliability

Assess future resource adequacy and reliability impact of policy initiatives

## Learning/growth

Improve transparency of processes, expectations

Improve consistency of process, tools and results

Improve efficiencies and minimize duplication

Apply risk-based methods to improve the costbenefit of compliance monitoring

Improve expertise in root cause analysis and conduct of audits and investigations

Improve communication of successful results

Seek continuous feedback on performance

## Collaboration

| Apply | Prioritize | Leverage | Use third | Share |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| consultative, | work based | resources | parties if |  |
| collaborative | on values | across <br> information |  |  |
| decision- | delivered (see | organizations | needed to <br> deliver <br> excellence | management <br> strategies and <br> making |
| above) |  |  |  |  |

## Living the ERO enterprise (core values)

| Ensure trust | Share a | Align | Put reliability | Seek to <br> at all levels; <br> communicate <br> common <br> openly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | vision and |
| :--- |
| goals |$\quad$| gerformance |
| :--- |
| incentives |$\quad$| and public |
| :--- |
| interest first |$\quad$| value and |
| :--- |
| efficiency |
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## Legislative Activity

- Cybersecurity Legislation
- Summer Session Energy Related Drivers
- Energy only bill
- Climate
- RPS
- Oil drilling
- House versus Senate version
- Transmission Planning


## Cybersecurity Legislation

- Over 30 bills introduced
- Comprehensive approach versus Single Sector approach
- Turf battle over agencies/committees of jurisdiction
- DHS
- DOD
- Commerce
- DOE/FERC


## Senate Action on Cyber

- S. 3480 The Protecting Cyberspace as a National Asset Act of 2010
- Sponsored by Sens. Lieberman Collins and Carper
- Status: The bill was introduced on June 10, and was reported from committee with amendment on June 24, 2010
- S. 3538 The National Cyber Infrastructure Protection Act of 2010
- Sponsored by Sen. Bond and Sen. Hatch
- Status: The bill was introduced on June 24
- S. 773 The Cybersecurity Act of 2009
- Sponsored by Sen. Rockefeller
- Status: Reported out of Commerce Committee April 1, 2010


## House Action on Cyber

- HR 5026, the GRID Act
- Sponsored by Reps. Markey, Waxman Barton and Upton
- Status: Passed the U.S. House of Representatives June 10, 2010
- DOD Appropriation Rider (Watson/Langevin amendment)
- House Homeland Security Committee introduced companion bill to Senate Homeland Security bill


## Energy Bills

- U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
- H.R. 2454 American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009
- Sponsored by Rep. Waxman and Rep. Markey
- Status: Passed by the House of Representatives on June 26, 2009
- Climate; Energy, RES, Transmission Planning
- U.S. Senate
- S. 1462 American Clean Energy Leadership Act
- Sponsored by Sen. Bingaman
- Status: Passed the Senate Energy Committee in July of 2009
- Energy, RES, Transmission Planning, Cybersecurity
- Separate Climate bills


## Transmission Planning

- HR 2454
- FERC adopt National Transmission Planning Principles; Encourage regional planning entities cooperation and coordination
- Western Interconnection siting provisions only, with FERC backstop authority; existing backstop siting remains for Eastern Interconnection
- No cost allocation provisions
- S. 1462
- Policy goals for transmission; FERC coordinates development of high priority national transmission projects; Regional plans to FERC
- Siting by states for high priority national transmission projects; FERC may site after one year if no action
- Cost allocation: "reasonably proportionate to measurable economic and reliability benefits"
- FERC NOPR


# Committee, Group and Forum Reports 

## Action

None

## Information

One of NERC's 2010 Corporate Goals is to "review and update technical committee structure to align with ERO functions and optimize use of industry expert resources." ${ }^{1}$

NERC technical committees typically provide in the board agenda background a link to their respective web pages containing the latest sets of committee agendas and minutes, and the respective committee chairs give oral reports of their committee activities to the board. Beginning with the May 2010 board agenda, the Planning and Operating Committees began including in the board agenda background summaries of their key activities, including those of their associated subcommittees, in support of the NERC corporate goals and committee missions (see below) as reflected in their charters. The Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee will begin providing this same information starting with this agenda.

The committee activities highlighted in the reports included with this board agenda indicate that the technical focus of the committees, both in response to directions from the board as well as self-initiated activities, is in good alignment with the strategic priorities of the board and the NERC Corporate Goals. For example:

- All three committees, working together, are developing a work plan to address the 19 Proposals for Action identified in the HILF report. [Corporate Goal 5.a]
- The Planning and Operating Committees, through the Event Analysis Working Group, and in conjunction with Regional Entities and NERC staff, are working to develop improved processes and procedures for analyzing and investigating events on the bulk power system to identify lessons learned that will lead to improvements in reliability. [Corporate Goal 1.a]
- The Planning and Operating Committees provide input and support to the Reliability Assessment and Performance Analysis program, including long-term, seasonal, and special reliability assessments and reliability metrics. [Corporate Goals 1.b and 1.c]
- The Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee continues to work with DHS and DOE in an ongoing effort to encourage the federal government to convert classified information into unclassified information that can be more broadly shared within the industry. In addition, by converting certain information to an unclassified status, it can then be shared in a much more timely manner thereby providing utilities with important information that can be acted upon. [Corporate Goal 5.b]

[^16]Planning Committee
"The Planning Committee proactively supports the NERC mission and the several NERC program areas by carrying out a broad array of functions and responsibilities focused on the reliable planning and assessment of interconnected bulk power systems."

Operating Committee
The Operating Committee's mission is to provide the ERO (stakeholders, Board of Trustees, and staff) with the collective and diverse opinions from the experts in interconnected systems operation to help the industry arrive at informed decisions.

Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee The mission of the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee (CIPC) is to advance the physical and cyber security of the critical electricity infrastructure of North America.

## Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee Report

## Action Required

None

## Background

This report provides a summary of the key activities of the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee (CIPCC) and its associated subgroups in support of the NERC mission and goals and the CIPC charter. The most recent CIPC meeting minutes are posted at:
http://www.nerc.com/docs/cip/CIPC_draft mins_Jun10.pdf.
Summary Report on the November 2009 High-Impact, Low-Frequency Event Risk Workshop. The CIPC, Operating Committee (OC) and Planning Committee (PC) officers and NERC staff are developing a work plan to address the 19 Proposals for Action identified in the HILF report. See Member Representatives Committee Agenda Item 6.c (August 4, 2010) which describes the status of this effort.

Classified Briefing for CIPC and Other Industry Participants. The CIPC is currently working with DHS and DOE to have a classified briefing in conjunction with its December CIPC meeting in Tampa, FL. Last year DHS and DOE provided a classified briefing in Atlanta in conjunction with our CIPC meeting and it was well received. It also eliminates the need for attendees needing to schedule separate travel to Washington, DC, where most briefings are held. The efforts of DHS and DOE in this area are very much appreciated.
Need for Unclassified Information from Federal Government. The CIPC continues to work with DHS and DOE in an ongoing effort to encourage the federal government to convert classified information into unclassified information that can be more broadly shared within the industry. In addition, by converting certain information to an unclassified status, it can then be shared in a more timely manner, thereby providing utilities with important information that can be quickly acted upon.

## CIPC Subgroup Highlights

The CIPC has 5 subgroups and highlights of their work assignments are shown below.

1. Business Continuity Guideline Task Force (BCGTF). The BCGTF was recently assigned the task of updating and combining three CIPC business continuity-related guidelines into one electricity sector-specific guideline for industry use.
2. Control Systems Security Working Group (CSSWG). The CSSWG was recently assigned the task of updating and combining nine CIPC control system-related guidelines into one electricity sector-specific guideline for industry use. The CSSWG anticipates possible future assignments for the development of new guidelines and other reference materials related to the CIP standards that are currently being revised.
3. Protecting Sensitive Information Guideline Task Force (PSIGTF). The PSIGTF was recently assigned the task of updating the CIPC Protecting Sensitive Information Guideline to take into consideration recent developments and to make it more electricity sector-specific.
4. Risk Assessment Working Group (RAWG). The RAWG recently completed the development of the Critical Cyber Asset Identification Guideline which was approved by the full CIPC at the June CIPC meeting. This guideline has been sent to the NERC Standards Committee (SC) to be approved for posting as a supporting guideline for CIP002. Previously, the RAWG completed the Critical Asset Identification Guideline which was approved by the full CIPC and also by the NERC SC for posting as a supporting guideline for CIP-002. CIPC is currently evaluating whether to retire the RAWG or to assign new work to the group.
5. Substation Guideline Task Force (SGTF). The SGTF was recently assigned the task of updating the Physical Security Substation Guideline to take into consideration recent developments and to make it more electricity sector-specific.
6. Future working groups or task forces will be created as needed to address other guidelines that need to be updated, to complete work related to the HILF report and to provide support to new or ongoing standards development work as requested by the NERC SC.

## Operating Committee Report

## Action Required

None

## Background

This report provides a summary of the key activities of the Operating Committee (OC) and its associated subgroups in support of the NERC mission and goals and the OC charter. The most recent OC meeting minutes are posted at:

## http://www.nerc.com/docs/docs/oc/OC\%20Minutes\%20-15-16Jun10.pdf.

High-Impact, Low-Frequency (HILF) Risk Report. The OC, Planning Committee (PC), and Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee (CIPC) officers and NERC staff are developing a work plan to address the 19 proposals for action identified in the report. See Member Representatives Committee Agenda Item 6c (August 4, 2010) which describes the status of this effort.

Event Analysis and Investigation Processes. The OC and PC, through the Event Analysis Working Group (EAWG), as well as the Regional Entities' and NERC Operations and Engineering staffs, are working to develop consensus processes and procedures for analyzing and investigating events on the bulk power system in order to identify lessons learned that will lead to improvements in reliability. This effort is being facilitated by Dave Nevius, Senior Vice President, NERC. The work plan is targeting the end of September 2010 for finalizing a consensus on draft processes and procedures documents, which will then be posted.

## OC Subgroup Highlights

The OC has 15 subgroups, four of which jointly report to the PC and OC.

## Joint OC/PC Subgroup Highlights

1. Event Analysis Working Group. The EAWG developed a draft NERC Event Categories and Levels of Analysis document for comment. The document proposes five event categories and includes a proposed level of analysis for each category.
2. Reliability Metrics Working Group (RMWG). The OC approved the RMWG's 2010 Annual Report on Bulk Power System Reliability Metrics as well as an initial Section 1600 data request for the metric, ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Excursion. The RMWG also requested feedback on the draft Integrated Bulk Power Risk Assessment Concepts white paper and the nine 2010 Reliability Metric Proposals contained in Section 6 of the 2010 Annual Report.
3. Integration of Variable Generation Task Force (IVGTF). The OC approved the Variable Generation Power Forecasting for Operations report. The report addresses wind forecasting models.
4. Reliability Fundamentals Working Group (RFWG). The OC temporarily suspended the activities of the RFWG until the March 2011 meeting, when its status will be reevaluated. The RFWG is charged with oversight of the Reliability Concepts Document.

The RFWG developed a framework document for the addition of subsequent technical chapters; however, the interest in the group's work has dwindled due to other issues that demand its members' attention.

## Other OC Subgroup Highlights

1. Real-time Application of PMUs to Improve Reliability Task Force. The task force requested comment on its draft Real-Time Application of Synchrophasors for Improving Reliability report.
2. Interchange Subcommittee. The OC approved the Dynamic Transfer Reference Guidelines.
3. Resources Subcommittee. The OC approved the Performance Standards Reference Guidelines.

## Planning Committee Report

## Action Required

None

## Background

This report provides a summary of the key activities of the Planning Committee (PC) and its associated subcommittees in support of the NERC or PC mission and corporate goals. All these activities support the NERC or PC mission and NERC corporate goals. The most recent PC meeting minutes are posted at:
http://www.nerc.com/docs/pc/Draft \%20PC \% 20Minutes \%20June \%202010.pdf.
High Impact, Low Frequency (HILF) Risk Report. The PC, Operating Committee (OC), and Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee (CIPC) officers and NERC staff are working to develop a work plan to address the 19 proposals for action in the report. See Member Representatives Committee Agenda Item 6c (August 4, 2010) which describes the status of this effort.

Event Analysis and Investigation Processes. The PC and OC through the Event Analysis Working Group (EAWG), as well as the Regional Entities and NERC Operations and Engineering staffs, are working to develop consensus processes and procedures for analyzing and investigating events on the bulk power system in order to identify lessons learned that will lead to improvements in bulk power system reliability. This effort is being facilitated by Dave Nevius, Senior Vice President, NERC. The work plan is targeting the end of September 2010 for finalizing a consensus on draft processes and procedures documents, which will then be posted.

Generating Availability Data System Task Force. The PC approved a new task force to review and recommend whether Generation Owners on the NERC Compliance Registry should report generator reliability information through the existing GADS data system on a mandatory basis. NERC originally began collecting and analyzing power plant performance and unit outage information in 1982 with a voluntary GADS system. Data submittal to GADS remains voluntary and most ( 77 percent of capacity), but not all, North American Generation Owners provide the performance related data. The task force will:

1. Review GADS and determine what data currently collected by GADS is needed to support and improve bulk power system reliability.
2. Determine if collection of the data identified above should be mandatory by Generation Owners on the NERC Compliance Registry to support bulk power system reliability.
3. If GADS was made mandatory, recommend whether a Section 1600 data request should be used or a new standard should be developed.
4. Define data access to individual GADS unit data.

## PC Subgroup Highlights

The PC has 19 subgroups, four of which report jointly to the PC and OC.

## Joint OC/PC Subgroup Highlights

1. Event Analysis Working Group (EAWG). The EAWG developed a draft NERC Event Categories and Levels of Analysis document for comment. The document proposes five event categories and as well as the level of analysis for each category.
2. Reliability Metrics Working Group (RMWG). The PC approved the RMWG's 2010 Annual Report on Bulk Power System Reliability Metrics as well as an initial Section 1600 data request one of its metrics, ALR3-5 IROL/SOL Excursion. The RMWG also requested feedback on the draft white paper: Integrated Bulk Power Risk Assessment Concepts and the nine 2010 Reliability Metric Proposals contained in Section 6 of the 2010 Annual Report.
3. Integration of Variable Generation Task Force (IVGTF). The PC approved an IVGTF subteam report Standard Models for Variable Generation. The report addresses wind simulation models for power flow, short-circuit, and dynamic simulation. Comments were requested on an IVGTF draft report Flexibility Requirements and Metrics for Variable Generation and their Implications on Planning Studies.
4. Reliability Fundamentals Working Group (RFWG). The activities of the RFWG were temporarily suspended until the March 2011 meeting, when its status will be reevaluated. The RFWG is charged with the oversight of the Reliability Concepts Document. The RFWG had developed a framework document for subsequent technical chapters to be added; however, the interest in the group's work has dwindled due to other issues that demand its members' attention.

## Other Subgroup Highlights

1. Reliability Assessment Subcommittee (RAS): The PC approved two documents: (1) 2010 Special Reliability Scenario Assessment: Potential Reliability Impacts of Rapid Demand Growth after a Long-Term Recession and (2) the Reliability Assessment Guidebook, version 2. In addition, the Reliability Assessment Guidebook Task Force was disbanded and its activities assumed by the RAS.
2. G\&T Reliability Planning Models Task Force (GTRPMTF): The PC approved proceeding with a Web meeting to discuss and clarify draft methodology for the calculation of probabilistic resource adequacy metrics for use in NERC's long-term reliability assessments.
3. Resource Issues Subcommittee (RIS): The PC approved a RIS proposal to treat controllable demand response as a resource in the calculation of reserve margin for NERC reliability assessments. This will make reserve margin reporting consistent in NERC assessments.
4. System Protection and Control Subcommittee (SPCS): The SPCS will be seeking to modify A Technical Reference Document: Power Plant and Transmission System Protection Coordination, which the PC approved at its December 2009 meeting. Since its approval, the SPCS has received comments from industry that it feels are valid for incorporating. In addition, the revised document will, in part, address relay loadability issues raised by FERC in Order 733 that approved PRC-023-1. The modifications will be approved by the PC via an e-mail ballot prior to the September 2010 meeting.
5. Transmission Availability Data System Working Group (TADSWG): The PC approved posting revised 2008 TADS reports as well as 2009 TADS reports that contain Automatic Outage metrics and data for those calendar years. The PC also approved initiating a Section 1600 data request to change TADS Event Type Number definitions.

# Review of Board Oversight of NERC Standing Committees 

## Action Required

None

## Background

The following standing committees report directly to the Board of Trustees:

- Operating Committee (OC)
- Planning Committee (PC)
- Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee (CIPC)
- Standards Committee (SC)
- Compliance and Certification Committee (CCC)
- Personnel Certification Governance Committee (PCGC)

From a general perspective, each committee sets its priorities in annual work plans and reports progress periodically to the board. However, active interactions between the board and each committee are typically limited to review and approval of final products, changes to rules of procedure, or changes to scope documents. One could say these standing committees are largely self-directed in terms of priorities and initiatives, although the board does occasionally direct committees to perform certain tasks to address emerging reliability issues or regulatory mandates. Some of the committees also have standing obligations to the board, such as annual reliability assessments and seasonal assessments.

The form of the relationships with the standing committees may have shifted over time as a result of the transition from a voluntary organization to the ERO. As a voluntary organization, the previous stakeholder board often directed its priorities to standing committees for implementation, supported by staff. The ERO, however, has a number of statutory functions that are principally directed by staff, such as compliance monitoring and enforcement, event analysis, situation awareness, and training.

Self-direction is a particularly apt characteristic of the process for developing reliability standards. Over the several years the process has been in place, there has been an emphasis placed on allowing each drafting team to determine the timing and deliverables from its work. This is consistent with the ERO model adopted by Congress that provides deference to industry technical experts in the development of reliability standards. Work of the drafting teams is, however, guided by a three-year work plan that is periodically reviewed by the board. The board recently approved changes to the Standards Committee scope and the Reliability Standards Development Procedure to strengthen the role of the Standards Committee in achieving accountability for the timely delivery of high priority standards needed for reliability.

The March 18, 2010 orders by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the July 6, 2010 FERC technical conference on reliability standards development provide an opportunity to reflect on the question whether there is presently sufficient oversight and direction from the Board of Trustees in the area of reliability standards development. Regulatory mandates, identification of significant risks to bulk power system reliability, and emerging issues and technologies often dictate action by the ERO. In some cases failing to deliver critical results in a timely fashion could undermine the credibility of the ERO and jeopardize the overall effectiveness and reputation of the ERO.

A parallel set of questions can be asked of the other standing committees, although the question there may be more along the lines of whether NERC is making effective and efficient use of stakeholder resources to produce valuable results to improve reliability? Would the OC and PC benefit from a more robust dialog with the board regarding priority initiatives and deliverables? Similar questions could be asked about the CCC. With the principal responsibilities for the compliance program resting with the Board of Trustees Compliance Committee and the NERC and regional staffs, how could NERC make better use of the CCC as a valuable resource for improving reliability? What oversight and direction should the board be providing to the CCC and how should progress be measured?

The CIPC has unique and perhaps even more urgent challenges, as there is significant uncertainty regarding strategic direction for protection of critical infrastructure both in government and the private sector. The Electricity Sub-Sector Steering Council (formerly the ESSG) is working toward fulfilling this leadership role by developing a strategic roadmap for NERC in this area.

The PCGC is unique in that it has a clearly defined mission to manage the operator certification program.

## Discussion Questions

1. What is the nature of the oversight and direction that the Board of Trustees should provide to the standards development program to ensure the success of the ERO and improve reliability?
2. Should the board consider forming a standards committee of the board to address this oversight role and to provide additional emphasis?
3. What activities could the board, or a committee of the board, undertake to improve the oversight of the standards development program?
4. How can the board improve its oversight of the remaining standing committees: OC, PC, CIPC, CCC, and PCGC, particularly with regard to timely delivery of results that have an impact on improving bulk power system reliability?
5. What is an appropriate set of responsibilities for the CCC, considering the current role of the BOTCC and staff in implementing the compliance program?

# Third Amendment to Loan Documents 

THIS THIRD AMENDMENT TO LOAN DOCUMENTS (this "Amendment") is made as of August , 2010, by a nd be tween NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION (the "Borrower"), and PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION (the "Bank").

## BACKGROUND

A. The Borrower has executed and delivered to the Bank (or a predecessor which is now known by the Bank's name as set forth above), one or more promissory notes, letter agreements, loan agreements, security agreements, mortgages, pledge agreements, collateral assignments, and other agreements, instruments, certificates and documents, some or all of which are more fully described on attached Exhibit A, which is made a part of this Amendment (collectively as amended from time to time, the "Loan Documents") which evidence or secure some or allof the B orrower's obligations to the Bank for on eormore loans or otherextensions of credit ( the "Obligations").
B. The B orrower and the B ank de sire to a mend $t$ he Loan $D$ ocuments as $p$ rovided $f$ or in $t$ his Amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE, in c onsideration of the mutual covenants he rein contained a nd intending to be legally bound hereby, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Certain of the Loan Documents are amended as set forth in Exhibit A. Any and all references to any Loan Document in any other Loan Document shall be deemed to refer to such Loan Document as amended by this A mendment. T his A mendment is deemed i ncorporated into e ach of the Loan D ocuments. A ny i nitially capitalized terms used in this Amendment without definition shall have the meanings assigned to those terms in the Loan Documents. To the extent that any term or provision of this Amendment is or may be inconsistent with any term or provision in any Loan Document, the terms and provisions of this Amendment shall control.
2. The B orrower $h$ ereby cer tifies that: (a) all of its $r$ epresentations and $w$ arranties in the $L$ oan Documents, as amended by this Amendment, are, except as may otherwise be stated in this Amendment: (i) true and correct as of the date of this Amendment, (ii) ratified and confirmed without condition as if made anew, and (iii) incorporated into this Amendment by reference, (b) no Event of Default or event which, with the passage of time or the giving of notice or both, would constitute an Event of Default, exists under any Loan Document which will no $t$ be c ured by the execution a nd effectiveness of this A mendment, (c) no c onsent, a pproval, or der or authorization of, or registration or filing with, any third party is required in connection with $t$ he execution, delivery and carrying out of this Amendment or, if required, has been obtained, and (d) this Amendment has been duly a uthorized, e xecuted a nd delivered s ot hat it constitutes the legal, valid a nd binding obligation of the Borrower, en forceable in accordance with itst erms. T he B orrower co nfirms that he O bligations remain outstanding without de fense, set of f , c ounterclaim, di scount orcharge of a ny kind a sof the date oft his Amendment.
3. The Borrower hereby confirms that any collateral for the Obligations, including liens, security interests, mortgages, and pledges granted by the Borrower or third parties (if applicable), shall continue unimpaired and in full force and effect, and shall cover and secure all of the Borrower's existing and future Obligations to the Bank, as modified by this Amendment.
4. As a condition precedent to the effectiveness of this Amendment, the Borrower shall comply with the terms and conditions (if any) specified in Exhibit A.
5. To induce the Bank to enter into this Amendment, the Borrower waives and releases and forever discharges the Bank and its officers, directors, attorneys, agents, and employees from any liability, damage, claim, loss or expense of a ny kind that it may have a gainst the Bank or a ny of them arising out of or relating to the Obligations. The Borrower further agrees to indemnify and hold the B ank and its officers, directors, attorneys, agents and employees harmless from any loss, damage, judgment, liability or expense (including attorneys' fees) suffered by or rendered against the Bank or any of them on account of any claims arising out of or relating to the Obligations. The Borrower further states that it has carefully read the foregoing release and indemnity, knows the contents thereof and grants the same as its own free act and deed.
6. This Amendment may be signed in any number of counterpart copies and by the parties to this Amendment on separate counterparts, but all such copies shall constitute one and the same instrument. Delivery of an executed counterpart of a signature page to this Amendment by facsimile transmission shall be effective as delivery of a manually executed counterpart. A ny party so executing this Amendment by facsimile transmission shall promptly de liver a manually executed counterpart, provided that any failure to do so shall not affect the validity of the counterpart executed by facsimile transmission.
7. This Amendment will be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Borrower and the Bank and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns.
8. This Amendment has been delivered to and accepted by the Bank and will be deemed to be made in the $S$ tate where the B ank's office indicated in the L oan D ocuments is located. This A mendment w ill be interpreted and the rights and liabilities of the parties hereto determined in accordance with the laws of the State where the Bank's office indicated in the Loan Documents is located, excluding its conflict of laws rules.
9. Except as amended hereby, the terms and provisions of the Loan Documents remain unchanged, are and shall remain in full force and effect unless and until modified or amended in writing in accordance with their terms, and are hereby ratified and confirmed. E xcept as expressly provided herein, this Amendment shall not constitute an amendment, waiver, consent or release with respect to any provision of any Loan Document, a waiver of any default or Event of Default under any Loan Document, or a waiver or release of any of the Bank's rights a nd remedies (all of which a re he reby reserved). The Borrower expressly ratifies and confirms the waiver of jury trial provision contained in the Loan Documents.

WITNESS the due execution of this Amendment as a document under seal as of the date first written above.

WITNESS / ATTEST:

## NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COMPANY

By:
(SEAL)
Print Name:
Title:

By: $\qquad$
Print Name:
Title:

PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

By: $\qquad$
Lori S. Franzon
Vice President

## EXHIBIT A TO <br> THIRD AMENDMENT TO LOAN DOCUMENTS DATED AS OF AUGUST __ , 2010

A. The "Loan D ocuments" that a re the subject of this Amendment include the following (as a ny of the foregoing have previously been amended, modified or otherwise supplemented):

1. Letter A greement da ted F ebruary 23, 2007 between $t$ he $B$ orrower an $d t$ he $B$ ank (the "Agreement")
2. Committed Line of Credit Note dated February 23, 2007 executed and delivered by the Borrower to the Bank(the "Note")
3. Security Agreement dated February 23, 2007 between the Borrower and the Bank
4. Reimbursement Agreement dated February 23, 2007 between the Borrower and the Bank
5. Borrowing Base Rider dated February 23, 2007 between the Borrower and the Bank
6. Amendment to Loan Documents dated July 22, 2008
7. Second Amendment to Loan Documents dated July 31, 2009
8. All o ther doc uments, i nstruments, agreements, a nd certificates executed a nd delivered in connection with the Loan Documents listed in this Section A.
B. The Loan Documents are amended as follows:
9. The Expiration Date, as set forth in the Agreement and the Note, is hereby extended from August 9, 2010 to June 15, 2011, effective on August 10, 2010.
10. The definition of "Minimum Net Assets" set forth in the FINANCIAL COVENANTS section of the Agreement is hereby amended and restated in its entirety to read as follows:
""Minimum Net Assets" means $t$ otal as sets minus intangible as sets minus total liabilities, provided however, that for each fiscal quarter through the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010 "Minimum N et A ssets" s hall include t he a dd ba ck of the pr ior pe riod's bonus adjustment of \$1,742,701.00."
C. Conditions to Effectiveness of Amendment: The Bank's willingness to agree to the amendments set forth in this Amendment is subject to the prior satisfaction of the following conditions:
11. Execution by all parties and delivery to the Bank of this Amendment.
12. Reimbursement of the fees and expenses of the Bank's in-house counsel in connection with this Amendment, which fees and expenses as of the date of this Amendment are $\$ 500.00$.
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